ILLINOIS NATURAL HISTORY SURVEY Bulletin Printed by Authority of the State of Illinois

Sex Ratios and Age Ratios in North American Ducks

FRANK C. BELLROSE THOMAS G. SCOTT ARTHUR S. HAWKINS JESSOP B. LOW

STATE OF ILLINOIS Otto Kerner, Governor DEPARTMENT OF REGISTRATION AND EDUCATION WILLIAM SYLVESTER WHITE, Director NATURAL HISTORY SURVEY DIVISION HARLOW B. MILLS, Chief

CED O . IOOI

NATURAL HISTORY SUDURY



-

ILLINOIS NATURAL HISTORY SURVEY Bulletin

Volume 27, Article 6 August, 1961



Printed by Authority of the State of Illinois

Sex Ratios and Age Ratios in North American Ducks

FRANK C. BELLROSE THOMAS G. SCOTT ARTHUR S. HAWKINS JESSOP B. LOW

STATE OF ILLINOIS OTTO KERNER, Governor DEPARTMENT OF REGISTRATION AND EDUCATION WILLIAM SYLVESTER WHITE, Director NATURAL HISTORY SURVEY DIVISION HARLOW B. MILLS, Chief Urbana, Illinois

STATE OF ILLINOIS

OTTO KERNER, Governor

DEPARTMENT OF REGISTRATION AND EDUCATION WILLIAM SYLVESTER WHITE, Director

BOARD OF NATURAL RESOURCES AND CONSERVATION

WILLIAM SYLVESTER WHITE, Chairman; A. E. EMERSON, Ph.D., Biology; WALTER H. NEWHOUSE, Ph.D., Geology; ROGER ADAMS, Ph.D., D.Sc., Chemistry; ROBERT H. ANDERSON, B.S.C.E., Engineering; W. L. EVERITT, E.E., Ph.D., Representing the President of the University of Illinois; DELYTE W. MORRIS, Ph.D., President of Southern Illinois University

NATURAL HISTORY SURVEY DIVISION, Urbana, Illinois

SCIENTIFIC AND TECHNICAL STAFF HARLOW B. MILLS, Ph.D., Chief BESSIE B. EAST, M.S., Assistant to the Chief

Section of Economic Entomology

Section of Aquatic Biology-continued CHARLES F. THOITS, III, A.B., Field Assistant*

Section of Applied Botany and Plant Pathology

Section of Applied Botany and Plant Pathology J. CEDRIC CARTER, Ph.D., Plant Pathologist and Ilead J. L. FORSBERC, Ph.D., Plant Pathologist G. H. BOEWE, M.S., Associate Plant Pathologist ROBERT A. EVERS, Ph.D., Associate Botanist ROBERT DAN NEELY, Ph.D., Associate Plant Pathologist E. B. HIMELICK, Ph.D., Associate Plant Pathologist WALTER HARTSTIRN, Ph.D., Assistant Plant Pathologist D. F. SCHOENEWEISS, Ph.D., Assistant Plant Pathologist ANNE ROBINSON, M.A., Technical Assistant

Section of Wildlife Research

Section of Wildlife Research THOMAS G. SCOTT, Ph.D., Wildlife Specialist and Head RALPH E. YEATTER, Ph.D., Wildlife Specialist H. C. HANSON, Ph.D., Associate Wildlife Specialist H. C. HANSON, Ph.D., Associate Wildlife Specialist RICHARD R. GRABER, Ph.D., Associate Wildlife Specialist GUEN C. SANDERSON, M.A., Associate Wildlife Specialist GLEN C. SANDERSON, M.A., Associate Wildlife Specialist D. G. ROSE, B.S., Technical Assistant HOWARD CRUM, JR., Field Assistant HOWARD CRUM, JR., Field Assistant REXFORD D. LORD, D.SC., Project Leader* BAEDH J. ELLIS, M.S., Project Leader* RALPH J. ELLIS, M.S., Project Leader* WILLIAM L. ANDERSON, B.S., Assistant Project Leader* GERALD G. MONTOMERY, M.S., Research Assistant* P. J. RAO, B.V.SC., M.A., Research Assistant* T. U. MEYERS, Research Assistant* T. U. MEYERS, Research Assistant* RICHARD W. LUTZ, M.W.M., Research Assistant* RICHARD D. ANDREWS, M.S., Filed Mammalogist* KETH P. DAUPHIN, Assistant Laboratory Attendant*

Section of Publications and Public Relations

JAMES S. AYARS, B.S., Technical Editor and Head BLANCHE P. YOUNG, B.A., Assistant Technical Editor EDWARD C. VISNOW, M.A., Assistant Technical Editor WILMER D. ZEHR, Assistant Technical Photographer

Technical Library

RUTH R. WARRICK, B.S., B.S.L.S., Technical Librarian NELL MILES, M.S., B.S.L.S., Assistant Technical Librarian

CONSULTANTS: HERPETOLOGY, HOBART M. SMITH, Ph.D., Professor of Zoology, University of Illinois; PARASITOLOGY, NORMAN D. LEVINE, Ph.D., Professor of Veterinary Parasitology and of Veterinary Research, University of Illinois; WILDLIFE RESEARCH, WILLARD D. KLIMSTRA, Ph.D., Professor of Zoology and Director of Co-operative Wildlife Research, Southern Illinois University.

*Employed on co-operative projects with one of several agencies: University of Illinois, Illinois Agricultural Extension Service, Illinois Department of Conservation, National Science Foundation, United States Department of Agriculture, United States Fish and Wildlife Service, United States Public Health Service, and others.

This paper is a contribution from the Section of Wildlife Research.

(08324-6M-10-60) 2

Section of Economic Entomology GEORGE C. DECKER, Ph.D., Principal Scientist and Head J. H. BIGGER, M.S., Entomologist U. L. ENGLISH, Ph.D., Entomologist WILLIS N. BRUCE, Ph.D., Entomologist JOHN P. KRAMER, Ph.D., Associate Entomologist RICHARD J. DYSART, Ph.D., Associate Entomologist RICHARD J. DYSART, Ph.D., Associate Entomologist RONALD H. MEYER, M.S., Associate Entomologist REGINALD ROBERTS, M.S., Technical Assistant IAMES W. SANFORD, B.S., Technical Assistant EARL STADELBACHER, B.S., Technical Assistant WILLIAM C. MOYE, M.S., Technical Assistant WILLIAM C. MOYE, M.S., Technical Assistant H. B. PETTY, Ph.D., Extension Specialist in Entomology* STEVENSON MOORE, III, Ph.D., Extension Specialist in Entomology* ZENAS B. NOON, JR., M.S., Research Assistant* CLARENCE E. WHITE, B.S., Instructor in Entomology Extension*

COSTAS KOUSKOLEKAS, M.S., Research Assistant* AMAL CHANDRA BANERJEE, M.S., Research Assistant* VICTOR T. WILLIAMS, B.S., Research Assistant*

Section of Faunistic Surveys and Insect Identification Section of Faunistic Surveys and Insect Identifica H. H. Ross, Ph.D., Principal Scientist and Head Milton W. SANDERSON, Ph.D., Taxonomist LEWIS J. STANNARD, JR., Ph.D., Taxonomist PHILIP W. SMITH, Ph.D., Associate Taxonomist H. B. CUNNINGHAM, M.S., Assistant Taxonomist RUTH P. CASH, Technical Assistant IOHN M. KINGSOLVER, Ph.D., Research Associate EDWARD O. MOLL, Research Assistant TALAAT K. MITRI, M.S., Research Assistant*

Section of Aquatic Biology

Section of Aquatic Biology GEORCE W. BENNETT, Ph.D., Aquatic Biologist and Head WILLIAM C. STARRETT, Ph.D., Aquatic Biologist R. W. LARIMORE, Ph.D., Associate Biologist DAVID H. BUCK, Ph.D., Associate Aquatic Biologist DONALD F. HANNEN, Ph.D., Associate Aquatic Biologist WILLIAM F. CHILDERS, M.S., Assistant Aquatic Biologist WARIFRAN MARTIN, Technical Assistant ROBERT D. CROMPTON, Field Assistant ROLIN D. ANDREWS, HIL, B.S., Field Assistant LARRY S. GOODWIN, Laboratory Assistant DAVID J. MCGINTY, Field Assistant*

FOREWORD

↑HE present publication illustrates again the importance of continuing certain types of research over a long period of time in order to get data which allow for significant deductions to be made. Further, as the investigation reported here is in a sense pioneering work, much thought has had to go into data analysis, into weighing the importance of data, and into attempts to find the significance and relative importance of the many facts discovered. These operations have necessitated the delay of publication until it was felt that the data and conclusions could withstand the inspection of waterfowl scientists and other biologists and, more importantly, contribute significantly to our understanding of North American waterfowl.

Certainly, the analysis of the data and the developing of the philosophy of the place of sex ratios and age ratios in population mechanics was not an easy task; the data have been about as abstruse as any collected in waterfowl research.

The project was conceived by Arthur S. Hawkins in 1938, while he was employed by the Illinois Natural History Survey, and great credit for far-sightedness must go to him. It must be remembered that, at the time of the project's initiation, even good aging techniques were still to be perfected. When Mr. Hawkins entered the armed forces in 1941, Mr. Bellrose took over the study. Dr. Low contributed to the project in Illinois from 1941 to 1943 and furnished Utah data after he left Illinois.

Through the last 20 years, Mr. Bellrose has carried the brunt of the load, and in recent years Dr. Scott has contributed immeasurably to data analysis and the preparation of the study for publication, as well as arranging with the National Science Foundation for the financing of publication costs. Others, mentioned in the text, have given unselfishly of their time and talents.

Last, but by no means least, we should mention the long hours—often extending into the night—spent by James S. Ayars, Technical Editor, in working with the authors and the data. His was, as usual, a heavy and significant contribution.

It is my hope, as well as that of the authors, that this contribution will be of value in the understanding and the management of waterfowl populations over a wide area and for a long time to come.

> HARLOW B. MILLS, Chief Illinois Natural History Survey Urbana, Illinois



Trapping and banding ducks at the Chautauqua National Wildlife Refuge near Havana, Illinois, November, 1939.

CONTENTS

Аски	NOWLEDGMENTS AND SOURCES OF DATA	391
Sex]	Ratios	392
I	Previous Sex Ratio Studies	393
S	Sex Criteria	394
S	Sampling Populations for Sex Ratios	396
	Examination of Trapped Ducks	396
	Inspection of Hunters' Bags	400
	Field Observations	401
	Examination of Disease Victims	402
S	Sex Ratios in Different Age Classes	402
	Primary Sex Ratios	402
	Secondary Sex Ratios	403
	Tertiary Sex Ratios	403
	Quaternary Sex Ratios	405
S	Seasonal Variations in Sex Ratios	408
	Sex Ratios in Fall and Winter	408
	Sex Ratios in Early Spring	411
	Sex Ratios in the Breeding Season	416
ŀ	Regional Variations in Sex Ratios	419
Ţ	Mortality Factors Affecting Sex Ratios	420
	Hunting and Sex Ratios	421
	Disease and Sex Ratios	421
	Predators and Sex Ratios	424
	Agricultural Operations and Sex Ratios	425
	Stress and Sex Ratios	425
	Evaluation of Mortality Factors	426
S	Sex Ratios and Age Composition of Populations	426
3	The Question of Surplus Drakes	427
S	Sex Ratios as Measures of Production	428
Age 1	Ratios	430
A	Age Criteria	430
S	Sampling for Age Ratios	431
~	Examination of Trapped Ducks	431
	Inspection of Hunters' Bags	435
	Examination of Disease Victims	439
S	Seasonal Variations in Age Ratios	440
F	Regional Variations in Age Ratios	444
	Mallards	444
	Other Species	447
H	Factors Affecting Age Ratios	449
	Seasonal Bias	451
	Regional Bias	451
	Hunting Bias	451
A	Age Ratios as Measures of Production	454
F	Production and Environment	458
F	Production in Different Species	166
F	Age Ratios in Population Management	166
SUMM	JARY	468
LITER	ATURE CITED	471



Determining the sex and age of ducks killed by hunters in the Illinois River valley, November, 1952.

Sex Ratios and Age Ratios in North American Ducks

FRANK C. BELLROSE, THOMAS G. SCOTT, ARTHUR S. HAWKINS, AND JESSOP B. LOW*

ANAGEMENT of waterfowl includes the intelligent manipulation of their populations. The sex classes and age classes of various species of ducks constitute measurable elements of the populations. The present study deals primarily with sex ratios and age ratios and the ways in which they relate to population productivity. Nearly three decades ago Leopold (1933:165–6) wrote: "All measurements of either game population or game productivity are enhanced in their significance and value if the sex and age as well as the number of individuals be determined."

Although observations on the age ratios of ducks have been recorded for more than a decade and on the sex ratios for more than two decades, the true relationship of these ratios to productivity has not been well understood. Much of the difficulty in understanding this relationship has stemmed from observed differences in sex and age ratios between species, regions, and seasons. Often the reported samples have favored one sex or age class so markedly as to indicate bias.

Some investigators of sex ratios in waterfowl have pointed out mathematical bias resulting from shortcomings in the techniques used and biological bias resulting from unusual responses of the birds to seasonal changes. Early in this study it was apparent that age ratio data were subject to bias resulting from the same causes. Moreover, there appeared to be additional causes for bias in the data relating to both sex and age ratios. Despite many difficulties in obtaining reliable data, progress has been made in interpreting the role of sex and age ratios in the productivity of waterfowl. In this paper, sex ratios are expressed usually as the per cent of a population consisting of drakes, the ratio of hens to drakes, or the number of drakes per hen; age ratios as the per cent of a population consisting of juveniles (ducks that have reached the flying stage but have not completed 1 year of life), the number of juveniles per adult, or the ratio of adults to juveniles.

The statistical significance of differences among samples or among assumed ratios was determined by either making chi-square tests or referring to tables presented by Mainland, Herrera, & Sutcliffe (1956). These methods assume that the samples taken were independent observations of the characteristics being measured and that the samples were taken from homogeneous populations. It seems likely that often these assumptions were not entirely met. Therefore, the results of these tests should be viewed cautiously. In those instances in which there is a very low probability that the differences could have been due to chance, it seems very likely that the differences were real.

Technical names and all but one of the common names of ducks discussed in this paper are from the Fifth Edition of the *Check-List of North American Birds* (Anonymous 1957). Because of its wide usage among hunters and its inclusion in the Fourth Edition of the *Check-List*, the name baldpate was used in place of *American widgeon*. The listing of species in the tables is in accordance with the phylogenetic arrangement that was in use at the time the greater part of the study reported here was being made.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS AND SOURCES OF DATA

The study on which this paper is based was begun in 1938. Most of the data presented here were collected by personnel of the Illinois Natural History Survey

^{*}Frank C. Bellrose and Thomas G. Scott are members of the staff of the Illinois Natural History Survey. The other two authors were members of the staff in the initial stages of the project reported here. Arthur S. Hawkins is now Biologist, United States Fish and Wildlife Service, stationed at Minneapolis, Minnesota: Jessop B. Low is Leader, Utah Cooperative Wildlife Research Unit, Utah State University, Logan.

from inspection of trapped ducks and bagged ducks taken in Illinois from early autumn of 1939 through 1959. Other extensive data were obtained as follows: from Arthur S. Hawkins and employees of the Delta Waterfowl Research Station and the Canadian Wildlife Service, who checked the age and sex of ducks in Manitoba in 1946 and several subsequent years; from Hawkins and John J. Lynch of the U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service and Frank C. Bellrose, who checked hunters' bags in the Stuttgart, Arkansas, area at various times from 1946 through 1959; from Jessop B. Low, who obtained bag inspection data in Utah in 1943 and 1944; and from Noland F. Nelson of the Utah Department of Fish and Game, who continued this work from 1946 through 1950.

Data obtained from biologists other than the authors of this paper have been acknowledged, when possible, in connection with the table or graph presenting the data. Uncredited data from certain areas should be ascribed to the individuals listed below: John M. Anderson, data from Winous Point Gun Club, near Sandusky, Ohio; George C. Arthur, Illinois Department of Conservation, data from the Mississippi River in Illinois; Merrill C. Hammond, U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service, data from North Dakota; L. R. Jahn, Wildlife Management Institute, and Ralph Hopkins, Wisconsin Conservation Department, data from Wisconsin; Herbert J. Miller and personnel of Pittman-Robertson Project No. 45-R, Michigan Department of Conservation, data from Michigan; Charles T. Shanks, Missouri Conservation Commission, data from Missouri: Harvey W. Miller and John H. Wampole, formerly with the Nebraska Game, Forestation, and Parks Commission, data from Nebraska; T. Stuart Critcher and Yates M. Barber, North Carolina Wildlife Resources Commission, data from North Carolina; and Charles K. Rawls, Jr., 'Tennessee Game and Fish Commission, data from Tennessee.

Roberts Mann, David H. Thompson, and John Jedlicka of the Forest Preserve District of Cook County, along with personnel of the Illinois Natural History Survey, co-operated in the banding program at McGinnis Slough, Cook County, Illinois. Robert D. Crompton and other field assistants of the Illinois Natural History Survey conducted the banding programs at Lake Chautauqua, Mason County, Illinois.

Aelred D. Geis of the U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service and Stuart H. Mann of the Illinois Natural History Survey assisted in the statistical analysis of the sex and age ratio data and gave valuable suggestions for improving the manuscript. George H. Kelker of Utah State University read the manuscript and made helpful comments. Mrs. Frances D. Robbins, formerly with the Illinois Natural History Survey, and Ralph E. Yeatter, now and for many years a member of the Survey staff, aided in the preparation of the paper. James S. Ayars of the Natural History Survey edited the manuscript.

Appreciation is extended to all who assisted in the gathering of data and the preparation of the paper.

Publication of this paper was made possible through financial assistance from the National Science Foundation: Grant NSF-G11143.

SEX RATIOS

For several decades, waterfowl hunters and ornithologists have noted a lack of balance in the ratios of drakes to hens in the populations of various species of ducks. Some of them have called attention to the greater numbers of drakes and have expressed concern because they believed the "extra" drakes served no useful reproductive function. Others have called attention to the unequal sex ratios as possible signs of sick populations.

For example, Leopold (1933:111) in discussing sex ratios in ducks stated:

All of Lincoln's evidence points toward the existence of a seriously deranged sex ratio. How long it has existed, or what causes it, remains unknown. It is barely possible, of course, that it always has existed, and represents a normal condition, but this seems improbable, especially in a group of species less strongly monogamous than most other birds. The reader should note that here again we have an excess of males associated with a known decline in population, and a known trend toward adversity in recent climatic and range conditions.

Although unbalanced sex ratios among ducks were noted many years ago, little research was done on the subject until about 1940.

Determining the causes of unbalance in sex ratios among ducks is difficult because the birds have a high degree of mobility and because each of the species involved has its own population structures, migration schedules, and migration routes. Also, in some species the drakes and hens do not migrate at the same times or along the same routes. In short, the determination of sex composition in duck populations presents a difficult sampling problem complicated by differences in species, seasons, and places, and by sampling techniques that are inadequate.

Previous Sex Ratio Studies

In order to provide for an understanding of the various ways in which calculated sex ratios may vary with sampling methods or with location and season of observation, a brief review of the most important papers on waterfowl sex ratios is offered.

Over 25 years ago Lincoln (1932) asked and answered the question: "Do drakes outnumber susies?" Since that time, observers have agreed unanimously with Lincoln's answer that drakes outnumber hens in the North American duck population. There has been but little agreement, however, on the degree of unbalance or the reliability of the various methods used to obtain sex ratio data.

Lincoln (1932:3, 16) assumed that drakes and hens were taken in traps in the same proportions in which they occurred in nature but that hunters selected drakes in preference to hens. He found that drakes comprised 59.7 per cent of 40,904 ducks representing 10 species trapped and banded in North America and included in his study.

From extensive observations made during trapping and banding of ducks at Avery Island, Louisiana, during 1934–1938, McIlhenny (1940:91–3) concluded that there was a seasonal variation in sex ratios and presented data on the pintail (*Anas acuta*), blue-winged teal (*Anas* discors), ring-necked duck (*Aythya collaris*), and lesser scaup (*Aythya affinis*) which showed that, while drakes consistently outnumbered hens, the difference was less marked in autumn than in winter and spring. Of 51,884 ducks of nine species banded by McIlhenny, 67 per cent were drakes, and only 33 per cent were hens. McIlhenny (1940:87), like Lincoln, believed that hunters selected drakes in preference to hens.

In Minnesota, Erickson (1943:32-3) recorded the sex of 6,008 ducks of 15 species observed in the field during the spring migration periods of 1938, 1939, and 1940; drakes comprised 65 per cent of the populations sampled. There were significant differences between sex ratios in two periods, one early and one late in the spring. Erickson concluded from his study that "the disparity of the sex ratios obtained by trapping have been overemphasized."

On the basis of limited data, Petrides (1944:565-67) concluded that the available evidence, though inadequate, indicated "that banding traps may be less attractive to female than male ducks." He compared the sex ratios of 6,359 banded ducks-mallard (Anas platyrhynchos), pintail, and lesser scaup-killed by hunters, and represented by return cards in the files of the U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service, with the sex ratios of trapped ducks of the same species as recorded by Lincoln (1932:16) prior to hunting. He found that there was "negligible sex selection by hunters." Sight observations that Petrides (1944:568-70) made in and near Washington, D. C., in 1941-1943 on 25,870 ducks (most of them pintails) showed 56 per cent were drakes. After examination of the locations of banding stations, Petrides suggested that early studies of the sex ratios of banded ducks "might have been affected by faulty geographic sampling as well as by selectivity of traps.'

On the West Coast, Beer (1945:118–20) found that sex ratios obtained from field observations were more reliable than those obtained from inspection of hunters' bags. He reported that the calculated sex ratios for most species of ducks remained the same throughout winter as well as early and late in the period of migration. His data, which included 10,180 ducks of 15 species, showed a drake to hen ratio of 1.18:1 (54 per cent drakes).

In eastern Washington, Yocom (1949: 226-7), after comparing sex ratios from

hunters' reports (176 males to 100 females) with those from field observations in November and December (118 males to 100 females), decided that selective shooting accounted for the larger numbers of drakes among mallards reported by hunters. In 8,805 mallards observed in the field from late November to mid-March, the drake to hen ratio was 109:100.

Johnsgard & Buss (1956:384-5) took sex ratios of ducks in central Washington from February 15 to May 16, 1954. From their observations they concluded:

Sex ratios of any single species varied at any given time as a result of at least two influences. First, sex ratios were more unbalanced on areas subject to human disturbance. Paired birds were the first to flush and the last to return to a disturbed area...

Second, sex ratios during any single period varied with the characteristics of the habitat.

After taking sex ratios of four species of ducks in the Netherlands, Lebret (1950:17) stated:

Sex-ratio field counts of migratory duck do not reveal the sex-ratio in the species as a whole, but only differences in the migration of the sexes—provided the difficulty of different sex distribution within the sample areas had been eliminated.

Each of the methods of sampling for sex ratios—field observations, trapping, and inspection of hunters' bags—has inherent weaknesses that produce biased data. Only by determining the magnitude of bias and correcting for it when necessary can the degree of unbalance in sex ratios among waterfowl be ascertained.

Sex Criteria

The sex of a duck may be determined by one or more of the following characteristics: (1) plumage, (2) bill color, and (3) presence or absence of a penis. As a means for distinguishing sex, each characteristic has advantages and disadvantages.

In most species of ducks, differences in the plumage color between drakes and hens usually make possible the distinguishing of sexes at considerable distances in the field. However, at certain times of the year, the plumages of hens and drakes of most species are so similar as to make field separation difficult or impossible.

Drakes in eclipse plumage are so similar in appearance to hens of the same species that usually a bird in this condition must be in the hand before the sex can be ascertained. The sex of ducks in juvenile plumage also is difficult to ascertain unless the birds are in the hand; in some species no plumage differences are visible until the ducklings are several weeks old. For example, in the wood duck (Aix sponsa), the two white bars which extend upward behind each eye of the male and serve to distinguish the male from the female do not begin to appear until the duckling is 4 to 5 weeks of age. In the redhead (Aythya americana), the male first shows the distinguishing marks, delicate white vermiculations of the scapulars and interscapulars, at an age of 5 to 6 weeks (Weller 1957:19). In the canvasback (Aythya valisineria), the male can be separated from the female by gray vermiculations on the scapulars of the male at the age of about 4 weeks (Dzubin 1959:289).

The black duck (*Anas rubripes*) is the only common duck in the United States in which drake and hen adult plumage at all times of the year are so similar as to make difficult the distinguishing of sex. Several other ducks have plumages in which the drake and hen are very similar, but these kinds are generally uncommon and have very limited ranges in the United States. They include the black-bellied tree duck (*Dendrocygna autumnalis*), fulvous tree duck (*Dendrocygna bicolor*), Mexican duck (*Anas diazi*), and mottled duck (*Anas fulvigula*).

The bill color is of most value for distinguishing the sex in certain species when the individuals are juveniles or are adults in eclipse plumages. On the bills of most species there are fine shades of color difference that distinguish between the sexes. In certain dabbling species, the hen is characterized by dark dots, spots, or blotches at the base and sides of the upper mandible; rarely are such markings found on the bill of the drake. Species in this category are the mallard, black duck, gadwall (*Anas strepera*), green-winged teal (*Anas carolinensis*), blue-winged teal, and shoveler (*Spatula clypeata*).

The most reliable characteristic for sexing ducks in which the plumage does not readily distinguish the sexes is the presence or absence of a penis, fig. 1. The technique of examining the cloaca for the August, 1961



Fig. 1.—Waterfowl being sexed and aged by examination of cloaca; A, juvenile male mallard, with small penis; B, adult male mallard, with large penis; C, juvenile female mallard, with closed oviduct and with bursa of Fabricius disclosed by feather probe (bursa present in juvenile, both female and male, absent in adult); D, adult female mallard, with open oviduct (oviduct open in adult female, closed in juvenile).

occurrence of the penis has been described by Hochbaum (1942:302). Ducklings only a few days old have been successfully sexed by this method.

In the study reported here, trapped or bagged ducks that could not be readily sexed by plumage or by bill color were sexed by cloacal characters.

Sampling Populations for Sex Ratios

In the present study, several methods were used to sample waterfowl populations for sex ratios. These were (1) examination of trapped ducks, (2) inspection of ducks in hunters' bags, (3) observation of ducks in the field, and (4) examination of disease victims. In endeavoring to determine the true sex ratios existing among ducks in nature, we found that certain biases were implicit in each method. Biases in some methods were such that they could be corrected or adjusted to the extent that fairly valid ratios could be derived.

Following is a review of the four methods used in sampling duck populations for sex ratios, the advantages of these methods, and the disadvantages.

Examination of Trapped Ducks.— From the start of trapping and banding

Table 1.—Drake percentages in mallards trapped and banded at the Chautauqua National Wildlife Refuge, near Havana, Illinois, and in year-of-banding recoveries, 1939–1944 and 1947–1950. Preponderance of drakes or hens recovered is indicated by differences in percentage points: + for drakes, - for hens.

	DUCKS TRAPPED AND BANDED			Recoveries in Year of Banding			Difference	
YEAR	Total Number	Number Drakes	Per Cent Drakes	Total Number	Number Drakes	Per Cent Drakes	in Percentage Points	
1939. 1940. 1941. 1942. 1943. 1944. 1947. 1947. 1948. 1949. 1949. 1949. 1950. All years.	3,474 5,840 4,834 6,854 6,445 3,465 1,786 1,667 3,254 1,116 <i>38,735</i>	2,574 4,262 3,200 4,859 4,655 2,734 1,481 1,332 2,478 808 28,383	74.1 73.0 66.2 70.9 72.2 78.9 82.9 79.9 79.9 76.2 72.4 73.3	142 442 143 512 374 222 80 17 128 68 2,128	114 332 100 393 284 181 65 15 99 51 1,634	80.3 75.1 69.9 76.8 75.9 81.5 81.3 88.2 77.3 75.0 76.8	$\begin{array}{r} + \ 6.2 \\ + \ 2.1 \\ + \ 3.7 \\ + \ 5.9 \\ + \ 3.7 \\ + \ 2.6 \\ - \ 1.6 \\ + \ 8.3 \\ + \ 1.1 \\ + \ 2.6 \\ + \ 3.5 \end{array}$	

Table 2.—Drake percentages in black ducks trapped and banded at the Chautauqua National Wildlife Refuge, near Havana, Illinois, and in year-of-banding recoveries, 1939–1944 and 1947–1950. Preponderance of drakes or hens recovered is indicated by differences in percentage points: + for drakes, - for hens.

	DUCKS TRAPPED AND BANDED			Recoverie	Difference		
YEAR	Total Number	Number Drakes	Per Cent Drakes	Total Number	Number Drakes	Per Cent Drakes	in Percentage Points
1939	132	102	77.3	5	4	80.0	+ 2.7
1940	189	161	85.2	13	10	76.9	- 8.3
1941	549	329	59.9	7	5	71.4	+ 11.5
1942	507	348	68.6	56	42	75.0	+ 6.4
1943	417	303	72.7	28	18	64.3	- 8.4
1944	276	185	67.0	21	15	71.4	+ 4.4
1947	174	133	76.4				
1948	112	87	77.7	1			
1949	571	381	66.7	25	14	56.0	- 10.7
1950	150	110	73.3	9	5	55.6	- 17.7
All years	3,077	2,139	69.5	164	113	68.9	- 0.6

August, 1961

operations in 1939 at the Chautauqua National Wildlife Refuge, near Havana, in Mason County, Illinois, records were kept of the sex of each duck that was trapped and banded. In all of these records, the relative numbers of drakes among the mallards and black ducks were so high as to arouse suspicion that the baited, funnel-type traps being used were selective for drakes, tables 1 and 2. At McGinnis Slough, in Cook County, the relative numbers of drakes among mallards and black ducks taken in similar traps were somewhat lower, tables 3 and 4, but they were high enough to indicate that the traps tended to take disproportionate numbers of drakes.

Drakes made up about three-fourths of the mallards taken in banding traps at Lake Chautauqua in the years 1939–1944 and 1947–1950, table 1. Evidence that,

among mallards, the drakes are trapped much more readily than the hens is found by comparing figures derived from trapping and banding (73.3 per cent drakes, table 1) with figures derived from inspection of hunters' bags (56.5 per cent drakes for the years 1939-1950 in table 13, or 53.8 per cent drakes after a correction factor of 1.05 has been applied to compensate for hunter preference for drakes). Mallards entered the Lake Chautauqua traps at the rate of 1.4 drakes to 1 hen. The use of data derived by one method to check on the data derived by another is discussed in the section on inspection of hunters' bags.

Further evidence that mallard drakes are trapped much more readily than the hens is found by comparing the relative numbers of birds of each sex that were retrapped in the same season at two Illinois

Table 3.—Drake percentages in mallards trapped and banded at McGinnis Slough, Cook County, Illinois, and in year-of-banding recoveries, 1941–1947. Preponderance of drakes or hens recovered is indicated by differences in percentage points: + for drakes, - for hens.

	DUCKS TRAPPED AND BANDED			Recoveries in Year of Banding			Difference
Year	Total Number	Number Drakes	Per Cent Drakes	Total Number	Number Drakes	Per Cent Drakes	in Percentage Points
1941 1942 1943 1943 1944 1945 1945 1946 1947	312 1,882 3,009 1.778 2,287 1,624 970	195 1,128 1,922 967 1,492 860 556	62.5 59.9 63.9 54.4 65.2 53.0 57.3	21 143 138 168 170 126 56	$ \begin{array}{r} 14 \\ 92 \\ 78 \\ 100 \\ 107 \\ 61 \\ 37 \\ \end{array} $	$\begin{array}{r} 66.7 \\ 64.3 \\ 56.5 \\ 59.5 \\ 62.9 \\ 48.4 \\ 66.1 \end{array}$	+ 4.2 + 4.4 - 7.4 + 5.1 - 2.3 - 4.6 + 8.8
All years	11,862	7,120	60.0	822	489	59.5	- 0.5

Table 4Drake percentages in black	ducks trapped and banded at McGinnis	Slough, Cook
County, Illinois, and in year-of-banding	recoveries, 1941-1947. Preponderance	of drakes or
hens recovered is indicated by differences	in percentage points: + for drakes, -	for hens.

	DUCKS TRAPPED AND BANDED			Recoveries in Year of Banding			Difference	
Year	Total Number	Number Drakes	Per Cent Drakes	Total Number	Number Drakes	Per Cent Drakes	in Percentage Points	
1941 1942 1943 1943 1944 1945 1946 1946 1947 1947 All years	659 1,069 962 852 716 629 659 5,546	406 645 595 490 462 385 388 3,371	61.6 60.3 61.9 57.5 64.5 61.2 58.9 60.8	38 91 44 93 54 38 23 381	26 47 24 55 42 24 10 <i>228</i>	68.4 51.7 54.6 59.1 77.8 63.2 43.5 59.8	$ \begin{array}{r} + 6.8 \\ - 8.6 \\ - 7.3 \\ + 16 \\ + 13.3 \\ + 2.0 \\ - 15.4 \\ - 1.0 \\ \end{array} $	

banding stations, tables 5 and 6. Chisquare tests disclosed that the relative number of drakes retrapped was signifithe sexes in proneness to enter traps. Hawkins found in banding blue-winged teals near the Pas, Manitoba, in 1951 that 39.0

Table 5.-Number of drake and hen mailards trapped and banded, and number and per cent of each group retrapped at least once in the same season, at the Chautauqua National Wildlife Refuge, near Havana, Illinois, 1940 and 1941. Sex selectivity of baited traps is indicated by the ratio of drakes to hens among retrapped ducks.

	Number o	F DRAKES	Per	Number	OF HENS	Per	RATIO OF
Period	Trapped and Banded	Re- trapped	Cent of Drakes Re- trapped	Trapped and Banded	Re- trapped	Cent of Hens Re- trapped	TRAPPED Hens to Re- TRAPPED DRAKES
Oct. 11-24 Oct. 25-Nov. 7 Nov. 8-21 Nov. 22-Dec. 15 Oct. 11-Dec. 15	793 591 918 1,939 4,241	168 111 86 110 475	21.2 18.8 9.4 5.7 11.2	507 102 284 667 1,560	83 3 11 43 <i>140</i>	16.4 2.9 3.9 6.5 9.0	1:1.29 1:6.48 1:2.41 1:0.87 <i>1:1.24</i> *
Nov. 1-7 Nov. 8-21 Nov. 22-Dec. 5 Dec. 6-25 Not. 1-Dec. 25	595 563 1,476 529 3,163	36 97 115 22 270	6.1 17.2 7.8 4.2 8.5	299 218 716 353 1,586	8 19 33 3 63	2.7 8.7 4.6 0.9 4.0	1:2.26 1:1.98 1:1.70 1:4.76 1:2.13†
	PERIOD Oct. 11-24 Oct. 25-Nov. 7 Nov. 8-21 Nov. 22-Dec. 15 Oct. 11-Dec. 15 Nov. 1-7 Nov. 8-21 Nov. 22-Dec. 5 Dec. 6-25 Not. 1-Dec. 25	PERIOD NUMBER Of and Banded Oct. 11-24 Trapped and Banded Oct. 25-Nov. 7 591 Nov. 8-21 918 Nov. 22-Dec. 15 1,939 Oct. 11-Dec. 15 4,241 Nov. 22-Dec. 5 563 Nov. 22-Dec. 5 563 Nov. 22-Dec. 5 529 Not. 1-Dec. 25 3,163	Ректор Лимвек ог Drakes Pertop Trapped and Banded Re- trapped Oct. 11-24 Oct. 25-Nov. 7 Nov. 8-21 793 591 111 168 591 111 Nov. 8-21 918 1,939 86 1,939 Nov. 1-7 595 563 36 97 Nov. 22-Dec. 5 Nov. 1-7 595 563 36 97 Nov. 22-Dec. 5 Nov. 22-Dec. 5 563 529 92 22 Not. 1-Dec. 25 3,163 270	NUMBER OF DRAKES PER CENT OF DRAKES PERIOD Trapped and Banded Re- trapped PER OF DRAKES RE- TRAPPED Oct. 11-24 Oct. 25-Nov. 7 Nov. 8-21 793 918 168 86 9.4 21.2 Nov. 8-21 918 86 9.4 9.4 Nov. 22-Dec. 15 1,939 110 5.7 Oct. 11-Dec. 15 4,241 475 11.2 Nov. 8-21 Nov. 8-21 563 97 97 17.2 Nov. 8-21 Nov. 8-21 563 97 17.2 17.2 Nov. 8-21 563 97 17.2 1.476 115 Nov. 22-Dec. 5 529 22 4.2 Not. 1-Dec. 25 3,163 270 8.5	NUMBER OF DRAKES PER Cent of Banded NUMBER Trapped and Banded Re- trapped PER Cent of DRAKES RE- TRAPPED NUMBER Oct. 11-24 Oct. 25-Nov. 7 Oct. 25-Nov. 7 Nov. 8-21 793 591 918 168 86 9.4 21.2 507 Nov. 8-21 918 1,939 86 110 9.4 284 Nov. 22-Dec. 15 4,241 475 11.2 1,560 Nov. 1-7 Nov. 8-21 563 563 97 17.2 17.2 218 Nov. 22-Dec. 5 1,476 115 7.8 716 353 Nov. 22-Dec. 5 3,163 270 8.5 1,586	NUMBER OF DRAKES PERIOD NUMBER OF DRAKES PER OF and Banded NUMBER OF DRAKES NUMBER OF HENS Trapped and Banded Re- trapped Re- trapped Trapped Bankes Re- and Banded Trapped and Banded Re- trapped Oct. 11-24 Oct. 25-Nov. 7 Nov. 8-21 793 918 168 9.4 21.2 507 3.0 83 Nov. 22-Dec. 15 918 111 1,939 110 5.7 667 43 Oct. 11-Dec. 15 4,241 475 11.2 1,560 140 Nov. 1-7 Nov. 8-21 563 97 97 17.2 218 91 19 19 Nov. 22-Dec. 5 563 529 92 22 4.2 353 3 Nov. 22-Dec. 5 529 22 4.2 353 3 Not. 1-Dec. 25 3,163 270 8.5 1,586 63	$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$

*Probability of difference being due to chance less than 0.02 ($X^2 = 5.95$, 1 d.f.). †Probability of difference being due to chance less than 0.0005 ($X^2 = 33.67$, 1 d.f.).

Table 6.-Number of drake and hen mallards trapped and banded, and number and per cent of each group retrapped at least once in the same season, at Spring Lake National Wildlife Refuge, near Savanna, Illinois, 1946. Sex selectivity of baited traps is indicated by the ratio of drakes to hens among retrapped ducks.

	Number of Drakes		Per	NUMBER OF HENS		Per	Ratio of Re-
Period	Trapped and Banded	Re- trapped	Cent of Drakes Re- trapped	Trapped and Banded	Re- trapped	Cent of Hens Re- trapped	TRAPPED HENS TO RE- TRAPPED DRAKES
Oct. 17–24. Oct. 15–31. Nov. 1–7. Nov. 8–14. Nov. 15–21.	173 209 445 568 189	117 103 171 129 28	67.6 49.3 38.4 22.7 14.8	121 129 258 367 108	53 38 75 72 9	43.8 29.5 29.1 19.6 8.3	1:1.54 1:1.67 1:1.32 1:1.16 1:1.78
Oct. 17-Nov. 21	1,584	548	34.6	983	247	25.1	1:1.38*

*Probability of difference being due to chance less than 0.0005 (X2=25.39, 1 d.f.).

cantly greater than the relative number of hens retrapped.

Records obtained from blue-winged teals trapped and banded and later retrapped in 1944 at McGinnis Slough (Mann, Thompson, & Jedlicka 1947: 141) indicate that, at that time and place, there was no important difference between per cent of the drakes and 31.5 per cent of the hens trapped and banded were later retrapped.

The greater tendency for mallard drakes than for hens to enter the baited, funnel-type traps at Lake Chautauqua was remarkably consistent through most of the autumn; the drake percentage in traps increased as the drake percentage in the population increased (indicated by bag checks, fig. 2).

In the mallard and the black duck, differences in behavior may contribute to differences in numbers between males and females trapped or retrapped. One differblind near the traps on Lake Chautauqua showed that, when mallards were massed around the traps, the drakes were more forceful than hens in pushing their way into the traps.

Chi-square tests of data in tables 1, 2, 3, and 4 indicate that in both the mallard



Fig. 2.—Week-to-week changes in the drake percentage of the autumn flight of mallards in Illinois, as indicated by two sampling methods: checks of mallards in hunters' bags and inspection of mallards caught in banding traps. Bag data are for the Illinois River valley, 1939–1949; trap data are for Lake Chautauqua, 1939–1944 and 1947.

ence in behavior is the greater aggressiveness of the drakes; this may occur because of differences in food demands during the fall. Studies on food consumption of penned wild mallards (Jordan 1953:122) revealed that, during the fall and winter, drakes consumed 15 per cent more food than did hens. Observations made from a and the black duck the propensity of drakes to enter traps was significantly greater at Lake Chautauqua than at Mc-Ginnis Slough. The population density was much greater at Lake Chautauqua than at McGinnis Slough. Consequently, the competition for bait at trap sites was greater, the aggressiveness of drakes was greater, and the trap catch of drakes was greater at Lake Chautauqua than at Mc-Ginnis Slough.

Not all traps have been found selective for drakes. Merrill C. Hammond, biologist of the U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service, in an unpublished report prepared in 1949, stated that he captured more hens than drakes in a gate-type trap placed on shore adjacent to marsh vegetation in the Lower Souris National Wildlife Refuge in north-central North Dakota. He speculated that because of nesting activity hens were more accustomed than drakes to walking on land through tall vegetation; therefore, they would enter a trap on the shore more readily than drakes.

Supporting evidence for Hammond's speculation was obtained by Hawkins at Delta, Manitoba, in 1950. He found that in two funnel-type traps placed only a few yards apart, one in the water and the other on land, the trap in water captured 2.2 drake mallards per hen, whereas the trap on land captured only 1.5 drake mallards per hen.

Inspection of Hunters' Bags.—Inspection of ducks in hunters' bags in fall and early winter for obtaining sex ratio data was found to have some advantages. Late-molting adult drakes can be separated from hens, which they resemble during the eclipse molt, and the sexes of juveniles can be distinguished; comparisons can then be made between sex ratios of adults and those of juveniles. Available data indicate that most sex ratios derived from inspection of hunters' bags are only slightly biased, usually in favor of drakes.

Tendencies for hunters to bag proportionately more ducks of one sex than those of the other depend (1) partly upon the chronology of migration of the ducks, (2) partly upon the preferences of hunters, and (3) partly upon hunting conditions and upon skill of hunters.

Differences in chronology of migration may expose birds of one of the sexes to a greater number of hunters or make them more vulnerable to hunting than birds of the other sex.

A striking example of the relationship between the chronology of migration and shooting pressure was found in the redhead. Adult drake redheads move from

their breeding grounds in southern Manitoba to more northern marshes for molting, while the hens remain on the breeding grounds, according to Milton W. Weller in an unpublished report prepared at the University of Missouri in 1954. Because bag checks showed that adult drakes comprised only a small proportion of a large kill of redheads made in southern Manitoba, Weller deduced that the southward migration of adult drake redheads involved much longer flights than the migration of adult hens. Evidence suggesting that the migration of adult drake redheads to the wintering grounds consists of long flights is given by band recoveries reported by Cartwright & Law (1952:11), who showed a much lower year-of-banding recovery rate for these birds than for juvenile males or for females.

Geis (1959:256–7) found that, among canvasbacks, adult hens and juveniles of both sexes had a higher percentage of band recoveries early in the hunting season than did adult drakes, while adult drakes had a higher percentage of band recoveries on the wintering grounds than did either adult hens or juveniles. Band recovery rates indicate that adult drakes in the canvasback, like those in the redhead, make longer flights along migration routes than do adult hens.

That hunters may prefer to shoot ducks of one sex rather than those of the other is shown by interviews with hunters and by analysis of data on duck kill. It seems logical to assume that hunters, faced with a species having a drake more brilliantly colored than the hen and given an equal opportunity at birds of each sex, would pick the more brightly colored bird, as McIlhenny (1940:87) suggested.

In Illinois, the mallard and the black duck have similar habits and behavior. On the wing, the black duck drake is identical in appearance to the hen, whereas the mallard drake, during most of the Illinois hunting season, is readily distinguishable from the hen.

That under certain circumstances hunters demonstrate a preference for drakes that are brightly colored and readily distinguishable from hens may be shown through study of the results of banding operations at Lake Chautauqua, 1939-

1944 and 1947-1950, tables 1 and 2. The drake percentage among the birds trapped can be compared with the drake percentage among the birds represented by band recoveries. Too few black ducks were banded to permit valid comparisons each year; however, when the data for the black duck were totaled for the 10 years of study, no marked hunter preference for drakes was evident in this species. In the case of the mallard, the preponderance of drakes among the banded ducks recovered indicated a consistent year-toyear tendency for hunters to select drakes to a somewhat greater extent than hens, there being only 1 year (1947) in which hunter preference for drakes was not evident.

In the period 1939-1950, the drake percentage among 2,128 mallards reported shot in the year they were banded (76.8 per cent) was shown by a chi-square test to be significantly greater than the drake percentage among 38,735 mallards that were trapped and banded (73.3 per cent), table 1. These percentage figures indicate that drakes were 1.05 times as likely to be taken by hunters as were hens. This estimate of the greater likelihood of mallard drakes being taken by hunters can be used as a correction factor to compensate for hunter preference in calculations employing other data involving the same species, the same area, the same period of years, and the same time of year. The ducks represented in table 13 were mallards shot by hunters in approximately the same area and times of year as those represented in table 1. Calculations based on data in table 13 show that for 1939-1950, the period of years covered in table 1, 56.5 per cent of the mallards checked in hunters' bags were drakes. Allowance can be made for hunter preference for drakes by applying the correction factor 1.05 to this percentage figure. The result is 53.8 per cent, which is believed to represent the average drake component of the fall populations of mallards in the Illinois River valley in the period 1939-1950.

That the degree to which hunters select drakes rather than hens may be influenced by hunting conditions and by the skill of the hunters, as well as by personal preferences of hunters, can be shown by comparison of band recovery

data from mallards banded at Lake Chautauqua with similar data from mallards banded at McGinnis Slough, tables 1 and 3. Bandings at Lake Chautauqua were made in the heart of the duck hunting club area of Illinois, where ducks were comparatively numerous and where hunters, many of them experienced shots, could afford to be selective of their targets. Bandings at McGinnis Slough were in an area where competition for ducks was much keener than near Lake Chautauqua and where hunters tended to shoot at extreme ranges. Analysis of band recovery data for mallards banded at McGinnis Slough showed no hunter preference for drakes, table 3.

Even hunters who have access to the best shooting areas vary from year to year in the degree to which they choose drakes. At Stuttgart, Arkansas, in 1946, when hunting conditions were unfavorable because high water had dispersed the ducks through the swamps and when the bag limit was seven ducks, drakes comprised only 51.0 per cent of 3,350 mallards checked in hunters' bags. In the 1947 season, when hunting was much better in the Stuttgart region and the bag limit was only four, drakes comprised 59.5 per cent of the 3,317 mallards checked. Of the Stuttgart region in the hunting season of 1945-46, Hawkins, Bellrose, & Smith (1946:398) wrote: "Hunting is so good in the Grand Prairie area that the better hunters can, and a few do, deliberately select drakes." In the sample of bagged ducks they inspected, 55.8 per cent were drakes.

Field Observations. - Observations on living ducks in the field are a means of providing sex data on large samples of many species. With such data, no compensation is needed for differences in trap and hunter selectivity. It is almost impossible, however, to make a sufficient number of random counts to insure an adequate cross section of the population of a flyway or other large area. Field counts of the drakes and hens in duck populations are more readily taken in late winter and early spring than at any other time. Early in the fall the juvenile and eclipse plumages make distinguishing between the sexes difficult, and hunting activity at that time usually makes ducks

Vol. 27, Art. 6

Table 7.—Drake percentages in pintails, redheads, and lesser scaups observed in the field on the Lower Souris National Wildlife Refuge, North Dakota, 1948.*

Species	Date	Number of Ducks	Per Cent Drakes
Pintail	April 22 May 6	75 157	56 70
	May 13 May 21	204	82 77
Redhead	May 6 May 13	66 143	53 61
Lesser	May 21 April 22	137	58 59
scaup	May 5 and 6 May 13	486	61 68
	May 13	120	00

^{*}Unpublished data collected by Merrill C. Hammond, Biologist, U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service.

wary and difficult to approach. In winter and spring, ducks are less wary, and most of them are in their nuptial plumage; thus, in most species, the sex of each bird can be distinguished in the field with facility.

In field tests conducted in 1947 by University of Wisconsin students in game management and ornithology, the observations of several students were remarkably uniform with respect to the sex ratios they found in flocks numbering up to several hundred ducks. It seems safe to assume that field observations conducted with reasonable care can provide accurate sex ratios for flocks of ducks present in late winter and early spring.

Merrill C. Hammond of the U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service (unpublished data) found marked variations among waterfowl sex ratios observed on different sample areas or at different times, table 7. In a report, he pointed out the care necessary in making sex ratio counts in the spring on the breeding grounds (Hammond 1949: 8–9). He concluded from his observations that:

These wide differences between certain sample areas where all [or] very nearly all of the population was counted indicate that on any given day the population on a marsh or water area is not entirely homogeneous with respects to distribution of the sexes. As Hochbaum and others have pointed out, the mated birds may frequent areas near to nesting habitats, while excess males may associate with a few females on open sloughs, channels or bays. Individual flocks and groups, even using similar habitats, for one reason or another may at times vary greatly. A few field observations on living ducks were used in the present study to provide sex ratio data on several duck species.

Examination of Disease Victims. —At times ducks that are victims of disease offer waterfowl biologists opportunities to obtain sex ratio data. Although available information indicates that botulism and fowl cholera are not more specific for one sex than the other, it is suggested that sex ratio counts of living ducks in a disease area be made concurrently with counts of the disease victims for purposes of evaluating the relative susceptibility of drakes and hens.

Sex ratios among ducks that were victims of disease in several parts of North America were considered in the study reported here.

Sex Ratios in Different Age Classes

It is important to determine sex ratios of ducks in the different age classes as a means of discovering at what stage, or stages, of life disparity in numbers between the sexes occurs. Are there more males than females at hatching? During the first year? During adult life?

For convenience in studying sex ratios in wild birds, Mayr (1939:156-7) grouped sex ratios into three classes based upon age of birds: (1) primary sex ratio: the ratio between the sexes at fertilization; (2) secondary sex ratio: the ratio between the sexes at hatching; (3) tertiary sex ratio: the ratio between the sexes during adult life.

It is considered desirable in the present study to amend Mayr's classification to include a sex ratio for juveniles. The revised classification is as follows:

1. Primary sex ratio: the ratio between the sexes at fertilization.

2. Secondary sex ratio: the ratio between the sexes at hatching.

3. Tertiary sex ratio: the ratio between the sexes from the time of hatching to adulthood (the beginning of the first breeding season).

4. Quaternary sex ratio: the ratio between the sexes in adult life.

Primary Sex Ratios.—There is little available information on the primary sex ratio in ducks. Among wood ducks a primary sex ratio of 51 males to 49 females was determined for 574 fertile eggs by

checking the sex of 85 duck embryos that died, 419 ducklings that died from paratyphoid, and 70 survivors. Hochbaum (1944:51) similarly classified embryonic and hatched ducklings of the canvasback and found 344 males and 345 females.

Secondary Sex Ratios .--- The secondary sex ratios found by Sowls (1955: 164) for four species of ducks are recorded in table 8. Statistical analysis of the data, which are from a study at Delta. Manitoba, revealed that at hatching the sex ratios of mallard, pintail, redhead, and canvasback ducklings did not depart significantly from a 50:50 ratio. However, in each of the four species, males exceeded females in numbers; when the data for all four species were combined, there was a slight but statistically significant preponderance of males. The findings suggest that the female embryos in these species were not as hardy as the embryos of the males. They are supported by the

Table 8.-Male percentages found at hatching of artificially incubated eggs of four duck species at Delta,* Manitoba, and one, the wood duck, in Illinois.

Spectra	Numbe	Per		
SPECIES	Male	Female	Total	MALE
Wood duck Mallard* Pintail* Redhead* Canvas- back*	548 394 424 342 315	564 369 405 294 307	1,112 763 829 636 622	49.3 NS 51.6 NS 51.1 NS 53.8 NS 50.6 NS

NS = Not significantly different from 50 per cent at the 0.05 probability level. *Sowls 1955:164.

Table 9.-Drake percentages in juveniles of four species of ducks trapped in Manitoba, 1948-1950.

Species	Number of Ducks Trapped	Per Cent Drakes
Mallard.	1,720	50.2 NS
Pintail.	767	43.5*
Blue-winged teal	4,613	47.9*
Redhead.	757	47.3 NS
<i>All species</i>	4,857	47.9*

NS = Not significantly different from 50 per cent at the 0.05 probability level. *Significantly lower than 50 per cent at the 0.05 prob-

ability level.

Table 10.-Drake percentages in juveniles of nine species of ducks trapped in Saskatchewan, 1947–1950.*

Species	Number of Ducks Trapped	Per Cent Drakes
Mallard Baldpate Pintail Green-winged teal Blue-winged teal Shoveler	308 725 672 273 27 245 490 69	51.6 NS 49.5 NS 56.5** 55.3 NS 44.4 NS 48.6 NS 50.6 NS 50.6 NS
Lesser scaup	87 2,896	50.5 NS 51.7 NS 51.9

NS = Not significantly different from 50 per cent at the

NS = Not significantly different from 50 per cent at the 0.05 probability level. *Unpublished data provided by John J. Lynch, U. S. **Significantly greater than 50 per cent at the 0.01 probability level.

Table 11.-Drake percentages in juveniles of eight species of ducks trapped in Alberta, 1947-1950.*

Species	Number of Ducks Trapped	Per Cent Drakes
Mallard	209	51.2 NS
Gadwall	26	57.7 NS
Baldpate	116	50.9 NS
Pintail.	714	54.3**
Green-winged teal	18	50.0 NS
Blue-winged teal	164	56.1 NS
Shoveler	311	50.5 NS
Lesser scaup	43	60.5 NS
All species	1,601	53.3

NS = Not significantly different from 50 per cent at the 55 probability level. *Unpublished data provided by Allen G. Smith, U. S. 0.05

*Significant departure from 50 per cent at the 0.05 probability level.

finding that females made up 52.7 per cent of 165 dead canvasback embryos reported by Hochbaum (1944:51). In an Illinois incubation experiment involving over 1,000 wood duck eggs, slightly more, but not significantly more, than half of the ducklings at hatching were females, table 8.

Tertiary Sex Ratios .--- Tertiary sex ratios were derived from figures of juvenile ducks live trapped on the Canadian breeding grounds, most of them during July and August, tables 9-11. These ducks were 1 to 2 months in age. The juveniles in Manitoba were obtained by

drive trapping and bait trapping, while those in Saskatchewan and Alberta were obtained by drive trapping only.

Sex ratios of ducklings in three of the four species trapped in Manitoba, table 9, showed relatively greater numbers of hens than of Statistical analysis drakes. showed that the pintails and blue-winged

teals of Manitoba had highly significant excess numbers of hens. Of nine species of ducks trapped in Saskatchewan, only the baldpate (Mareca americana) had a significantly higher number of drakes, table 10. Of eight species of ducklings that were trapped in Alberta, table 11, seven had sex ratios that did not depart

Table 12.-Drake percentages in ducks of nine species, juvenile and adult classes, checked in hunters' bags in Manitoba, 1946-1949.

	Juve	NILES	ADU	JLTS
Species	Number	Per Cent	Number	Per Cent
	Checked	Drakes	Checked	Drakes
Mallard.	6,473	53.2**	1,786	49.3 NS
Gadwall.	339	60.2**	137	43.1 NS
Baldpate.	822	51.0 NS	147	59.2*
Pintail.	1,145	51.7 NS	293	38.6**
Green-winged teal.	257	57.2*	58	24.1**
Shoveler.	342	50.9 NS	81	32.1**
Redhead.	1,110	51.0 NS	139	51.8 NS
Canvasback.	2,116	39.8**	232	49.1 NS
Lesser scaup.	558	47.1 NS	302	60.3**
All species	13,162	50.5	3,175	48.7

NS = Not a significant departure from 50 per cent at the 0.05 probability level.*Significant departure from 50 per cent at the 0.05 probability level.**Significant departure from 50 per cent at the 0.01 probability level.

Table 13.-Drake percentages in mallards, juvenile and adult classes, checked in hunters' bags in Illinois, 1939-1955 and 1959.

	JUVEN	VILES	Adu	LTS	DIFFERENCE	Per Cent
YEAR	Numbe r Checked	Per Cent Drakes	Numbe r Checked	Per Cent Drakes	Adult (+) and Juvenile Percentages	DRAKES IN BOTH CLASSES
1939. 1940. 1941. 1942. 1943. 1944. 1945. 1946. 1947. 1948. 1949. 1949. 1950. 1951. 1952. 1953. 1954. 1955. 1959. All years.	$\begin{array}{c} 1,337\\ 2,093\\ 2,255\\ 980\\ 939\\ 1,176\\ 898\\ 731\\ 572\\ 924\\ 294\\ 351\\ 517\\ 754\\ 687\\ 218\\ 478\\ 63\\ 15,267\end{array}$	54.6** 49.3 NS 45.4** 46.9 NS 56.1** 48.5 NS 50.7 NS 42.0** 49.1 NS 50.5 NS 57.1* 46.4 NS 49.5 NS 52.7 NS 48.6 NS 51.8 NS 55.6** 52.4 NS 56.4 NS	924 1,471 2,226 829 483 991 1,149 586 242 291 303 230 302 453 465 240 268 184 11,637	$\begin{array}{c} 65.7^{**}\\ 58.5^{*}\\ 68.7^{**}\\ 67.4^{**}\\ 67.5^{**}\\ 67.0^{**}\\ 61.1^{**}\\ 67.8^{*}\\ 60.8^{**}\\ 60.8^{**}\\ 61.5^{**}\\ 60.8^{**}\\ 61.5^{$	$\begin{array}{r} + 11.1 \\ + 9.2 \\ + 23.3 \\ + 20.5 \\ + 11.4 \\ + 20.0 \\ + 16.3 \\ + 19.1 \\ + 18.7 \\ + 10.3 \\ + 10.6 \\ + 17.1 \\ + 11.4 \\ + 9.3 \\ + 15.9 \\ + 19.0 \\ + 8.9 \\ + 13.9 \\ + 14.8 \end{array}$	59.6 53.1 57.0 56.3 60.0 57.6 59.8 50.5 54.5 53.0 63.5 53.2 53.7 56.2 55.0 61.8 58.8 62.8 57.0

NS = Not a significant departure from 50 per cent at the 0.05 probability level.*Significant departure from 50 per cent at the 0.05 probability level.**Significant departure from 50 per cent at the 0.01 probability level.

405

significantly from 50:50; the pintail had significantly more drakes.

Among 419 captive wood duck ducklings that died from paratyphoid in a hatchery at Barrington, Illinois, 51.5 per cent were females. Of 96 young wood ducks that died from other causes early in life, 48.8 per cent were females.

Information on the sex ratios of juvenile ducks 4 to 9 months old was ob-

dent in the calculated sex ratios for juveniles, tables 13 and 14. Highly significant deviations from a 50:50 sex ratio in the juvenile class occurred for the pintail, green-winged teal, and canvasback. The most marked deviation in the juvenile class was for the canvasback; in this species the drake segment was 39.8 per cent of 2,116 juvenile birds inspected early in the fall in Manitoba, table 12,

Table 14.-Drake percentages in ducks of nine species, juvenile and adult classes, checked in hunters' bags in Illinois, 1939-1949.

	JUVEN	VILES	AD	JLTS	DIFFERENCE
Species	Number Checked	Per Cent Drakes	Number Checked	Per Cent Drakes	Adult (+) and Juvenile (-) Percentages
Black duck Gadwall Baldpate Pintail Green-winged teal Shoveler Ring-necked duck Canvasback Lesser scaup	371 613 1,128 2,281 399 516 717 352 841	51.7 NS 51.1 NS 51.5 NS 58.3** 60.9** 55.4* 53.8* 64.5** 54.2*	194 182 416 1,200 160 110 190 171 441	70.6** 58.2* 61.8** 62.7** 57.5 NS 52.7 NS 51.0 NS 66.1** 61.0**	$\begin{array}{r} + 18.9 \\ + 7.1 \\ + 10.3 \\ + 4.4 \\ - 3.4 \\ - 2.7 \\ - 2.8 \\ + 1.6 \\ + 6.8 \end{array}$
All species	7,218	55.6**	3,064	61.4**	5.8

NS = Not a significant departure from 50 per cent at the 0.05 probability level. *Significant departure from 50 per cent at the 0.05 probability level. **Significant departure from 50 per cent at the 0.01 probability level.

tained from the inspection of hunters' bags in Manitoba, Illinois, and other parts of the Mississippi Flyway.

In Manitoba during early fall, the sex ratios for a group of juvenile ducks taken by hunters were nearly balanced, table 12. Four of the nine species represented, the mallard, gadwall, greenwinged teal, and canvasback, departed significantly from balanced sex ratios. The slight preponderance of males for the mallard (53.2 per cent males) was statistically significant because of the very large sample size. The gadwall and the green-winged teal had significantly more males than females, while the canvasback had significantly more females.

In Illinois, drakes made up 49.3 per cent of 12,550 juvenile mallards in hunters' bags inspected in the period 1939-1950 and 50.4 per cent of 15,267 inspected in the period 1939-1955 and 1959, table 13. Perhaps because of differences in migration schedules, considerable differences among species were eviand 64.5 per cent of 352 juvenile birds checked later in Illinois, table 14.

When sex ratios were calculated for juveniles from many areas in the Mississippi Flyway for 1946–1948, tables 15–17, the effect of seasonal and regional variations in the data appeared to be minimized. Deviations from a 50:50 sex ratio among juveniles were significant or highly significant statistically for only three species in 1946 and two species in 1948, tables 15 and 17. In 1947, deviations from a 50:50 ratio in juveniles were significant for one species and highly significant for four of the species listed, table 16.

The above data, obtained from trapping of ducks in the breeding season and from checking hunters' bags during the fall, indicate that the sex ratios in the tertiary or juvenile age class are close to 50:50. Local variations that exist appear to result from different seasonal movements of birds of the two sexes.

Quaternary Sex Ratios .- Populations of adult ducks normally show much

larger drake segments than do those of juveniles. Exceptions are evident in the adult ducks shot by hunters in Manitoba, table 12. The data reveal for adult mallards, gadwalls, pintails, green-winged teals, shovelers, and canvasbacks in this area more hens than drakes. For pintails, green-winged teals, and shovelers, the excess of hens was highly significant. This

situation came about through the move-

ment of many adult drakes from the area prior to the opening of the hunting season. Of the species for which records are available, only baldpates, redheads, and lesser scaups showed more drakes than hens in hunters' bags in Manitoba.

Statistical analysis of data obtained from inspection of adult ducks in hunters' bags to the south, in Illinois, revealed that in most years there were significantly

Table 15.—Drake percentages in ducks of 12 species, juvenile and adult classes, checked in hunters' bags in the Mississippi Flyway, 1946.

	JUVEN	NILES	ADU	JLTS	DIFFERENCE
Species	Number Checked	Per Cent Drakes	Number Checked	Per Cent Drakes	Adult (+) and Juvenile (-) Percentages
Mallard	5,350	47.5**	3,593	57.1**	+ 9.6
Black duck	966	47.3 NS	215	68.3**	+21.0
Gadwall	474	49.7 NS	249	55.0 NS	+ 5.3
Baldpate	462	46.1 NS	119	60.5*	+ 14.4
Pintail	681	54.9*	426	65.0**	+ 10.1
Green-winged teal	360	51.6 NS	157	52.2 NS	+ 0.6
Blue-winged teal.	411	46.9 NS	122	35.2**	- 11.7
Shoveler.	380	49.4 NS	125	42.4 NS	- 7.0
Redhead	806	52.4 NS	204	55.3 NS	+ 2.9
Ring-necked duck	416	51.4 NS	113	55.7 NS	+ 4.3
Canvasback	1.663	45.1**	208	44.2 NS	- 0.9
Lesser scaup	510	48.6 NS	232	51.7 NS	+ 3.1
All species	12,479	48.3	5,763	56.4	8.1
				1	

NS = Not a significant departure from 50 per cent at the 0.05 probability level. *Significant departure from 50 per cent at the 0.05 probability level. **Significant departure from 50 per cent at the 0.01 probability level.

Table 16.-Drake percentages in ducks of 12 species, juvenile and adult classes, checked in hunters' bags in the Mississippi Flyway, 1947.

	JUVE	VILES	AD	JLTS	DIFFERENCE
Species	Number Checked	Per Cent Drakes	Number Checked	Per Cent Drakes	Adult (+) and Juvenile (-) Percentages
Mallard	7,094	52.5**	2,604	60.8**	+ 8.3
Black duck	1,021	49.9 NS	398	55.0 NS	+ 5.1
Gadwall	647	51.3 NS	208	63.5**	+ 12.2
Baldpate	750	48.4 NS	236	60.6**	+ 12.2
Pintail	1,261	53.2*	437	53.8 NS	+ 0.6
Green-winged teal	574	62.2**	173	50.9 NS	- 11.3
Blue-winged teal	1,235	41.1**	360	31.4 NS	- 9.7
Shoveler	284	50.7 NS	56	39.3 NS	- 11.4
Redhead	396	52.8 NS	91	35.2**	- 17.6
Ring-necked duck	291	46.4 NS	85	48.2 NS	+ 1.8
Canvasback	562	38.8**	143	61.5**	+ 22.7
Lesser scaup	468	46.4 NS	310	66.1**	+ 19.7
All species	14,583	50.8 NS	5,101	56.8**	6.0

NS = Not a significant departure from 50 per cent at the 0.05 probability level. *Significant departure from 50 per cent at the 0.05 probability level. **Significant departure from 50 per cent at the 0.01 probability level.

more drakes than hens in the samples, tables 13 and 14. Records on 9,725 adult mallards over a period of 12 years, 1939-1950, revealed an average of 65.7 per cent drakes, with annual percentages ranging from 58.5 to 68.7 per cent; records on 11,637 adult mallards for a period of 18 years, 1939-1955 and 1959, revealed an average of 65.2 per cent drakes, with annual percentages ranging from 58.5 to 70.8, table 13. The adult class of nine other species of ducks in hunters' bags over an 11-year period, 1939-1949, contained 61.4 per cent drakes; annual percentages ranged from 70.6 per cent drakes for the black duck to 51.0 per cent drakes for the ring-necked duck, table 14.

Statistical analysis of data compiled from the inspection of adult mallards, gadwalls, baldpates, pintails, green-winged teals, shovelers, and redheads in hunters' bags in Utah over a period of 6 years, 1943-1944 and 1946-1949, table 18, revealed a highly significant greater number of drakes than hens for all species excepting the redhead.

Data from the Mississippi Flyway for 3 years, 1946-1948, tables 15-17, indi-

Table 17.-Drake percentages in ducks of 11 species, juvenile and adult classes, checked in hunters' bags in the Mississippi Flyway, 1948.

	JUVEN	VILES	Adu	JLTS	DIFFERENCE
Species	Number Checked	Per Cent Drakes	Number Checked	Per Cent Drakes	Adult (+) and Juvenile (-) Percentages
Mallard Black duck Gadwall Baldpate Pintail Green-winged teal Blue-winged teal Redhead Ring-necked duck Canvasback Lesser scaup	7,416 871 214 1,068 727 493 255 680 336 520 547	52.5** 47.2 NS 53.7 NS 49.5 NS 51.8 NS 55.6* 47.5 NS 46.6 NS 48.2 NS 48.5 NS 49.2 NS 51.2 NS	2,556 378 31 141 216 130 63 82 66 66 186 3,915	58.0** 59.0** 58.0 NS 50.3 NS 56.5 NS 49.2 NS 30.1** 51.2 NS 56.0 NS 43.9 NS 67.7** 58.1**	$\begin{array}{r} + 5.5 \\ + 11.8 \\ + 4.3 \\ + 0.8 \\ + 4.7 \\ - 6.4 \\ - 17.4 \\ + 4.6 \\ + 7.8 \\ - 4.6 \\ + 18.5 \end{array}$
All species	13,127	51.2 NS	3,915	58.1**	6.9

NS=Not a significant departure from 50 per cent at the 0.05 probability level. *Significant departure from 50 per cent at the 0.05 probability level. **Significant departure from 50 per cent at the 0.01 probability level.

Table 18.—Drake percentages in ducks of seven species, juvenile and adult classes, checked in hunters' bags in Utah, 1943, 1944, and 1946-1949.

	Juve	NILES	Adu	JLTS	DIFFERENCE
Species	Number Checked	Per Cent Drakes	Number Checked	Per Cent Drakes	Adult (+) AND JUVENILE (-) PERCENTAGES
Mallard Gadwall Baldpate Pintail Green-winged teal Shoveler Redhead	2,027 1,679 2,352 4,230 2,828 2,828 2,480 734	52.3* 53.5** 52.2* 46.8** 58.0** 53.7** 57.3**	2,350 1,955 1,183 6,499 4,183 1,674 214	62.3** 67.7** 61.5** 53.6** 72.9** 65.6** 48.6 NS	$\begin{array}{r} + 10.0 \\ + 14.2 \\ + 9.3 \\ + 6.8 \\ + 14.9 \\ + 11.9 \\ - 8.7 \end{array}$
All species	16,330	52.5**	18,058	62.3**	9.9

NS=Not a significant departure from 50 per cent at the 0.05 probability level. *Significant departure from 50 per cent at the 0.05 probability level. **Significant departure from 50 per cent at the 0.01 probability level.

cate that adult drakes consistently, but not in all cases significantly, outnumbered adult hens in hunters' bags for mallards, black ducks, gadwalls, baldpates, pintails, and lesser scaups. By contrast, the records show that hens were more numerous than drakes among the bluewinged teals and shovelers inspected, and year-to-year variation was evident in sex ratios among green-winged teals, redheads, ring-necked ducks, and canvasbacks. Tables 15–17 indicate the statistical significance of the departure of these sex ratios from balanced sex ratios.

Seasonal Variations in Sex Ratios

Sex ratios for many species of ducks were found to vary from week to week in any given area as the composition of the local population changed with arrival and departure of flocks containing varying numbers of drakes and hens. The seasonal changes in sex ratios were ascertained through data obtained from trapping, inspection of hunters' bags, field observation, and tallies of victims of disease.

Sex Ratios in Fall and Winter.— The sex ratios of the most important species of ducks taken by hunters during the fall hunting season in areas from the breeding grounds to the wintering grounds are indicated in table 19. Sex ratios taken in southern Manitoba for the pintail, shoveler, and canvasback suggest that large numbers of drakes make an early departure from the heavily gunned marshes of Delta and Netley. This early movement may be initially either south or north, the direction depending somewhat upon the species. Information on the early flights of drake pintails, some of which arrive at the Gulf of Mexico in August, indicates that the initial movement of these birds is south. Records of large numbers of drake canvasbacks and redheads in northern Manitoba and Saskatchewan marshes suggest that these birds probably move north from their breeding grounds before they move south.

In most species of ducks for which data are available, drakes made up a smaller proportion of the hunters' kill in Manitoba than in three states to the south, North Dakota, Illinois, and Tennessee, table 19. These data indicate that in most species more drakes than hens left Manitoba in advance of the hunting season there. A trend toward an increasing drake predominance from north to south was evident as far south as Tennessee. In all but two species for which data are available, the gadwall and shoveler, the predominance of drakes was greater in Tennessee than in Illinois. In Louisiana, a significantly greater number of hens than of drakes was evident in two species. the mallard and the pintail, and approximately balanced sex ratios were evident in four species. In all six species it was apparent that more drakes than hens were

	Mani	това,	North I	Дакота,	Ілл	^{NOIS} ,
	1946-	-1949	19	949	1939-	-1950
SPECIES	Number	Per Cent	Number	Per Cent	Number	Per Cent
	of Ducks	Drakes	of Ducks	Drakes	of Ducks	Drakes
Mallard Black duck Gadwall Baldpate Pintail Green-winged teal Shoveler Redhead	8,259 476 969 1,438 315 423	52.4 55.2 52.2 49.0 51.1 47.3	2,212 579 146 210 86 137 342	$ \begin{array}{c} - & - \\ 57.5 \\ 54.1 \\ 53.4 \\ 53.0 \\ 53.5 \\ 51.0 \\ 55.0 \\ \end{array} $	22,275 565 795 1,544 3,481 559 626	56.5 58.2 52.7 54.3 59.8 59.9 54.9
Ring-necked duck. Canvasback. Lesser scaup Ruddy duck.	2,348 860	40.7 51.6	281 136	56.0 52.2	907 931 2,012	53.2 65.3 56.2

Table 19.-Drake percentages in 12 species of ducks

*Sources of data for the various regions: Manitoba, Delta Waterfowl Research Station; North Dakota, Hjelle mission (personal communication); Louisiana, Richard Yancey, Louisiana Wild Life and Fisheries Commission (per-Barber, Jr., North Carolina Wildlife Resources Commission (personal communication). north of Louisiana during a large part of the hunting season.

For all species of ducks except the shoveler, the differences in sex ratios among the various regions were statistically significant. This conclusion must be taken with some reservations because the span of years involved was not the same for each of the various areas. Some of the observed differences could be due to time as well as geographic differences.

Among adult mallards bagged in Illinois, 1939–1955, there was a steady increase in the drake segment of the fall population through the third week in November, fig. 3. The ratio between the sexes then tended to stabilize for a period, followed by an increase in the drake segment in the wintering population, usually present in Illinois after the first week in December. In Utah, sex ratios of adult mallards bagged were relatively stable throughout the autumns of several years in which bag checks were recorded, fig. 4.

Adult pintails bagged in Illinois and those bagged in Utah showed little variation in sex ratios during the fall. Adult green-winged teals and shovelers bagged in Utah showed an increase in the drake segment as the season progressed, fig. 4.

In only a few species do there appear to be differences in seasonal movement between drakes and hens of the juvenile class. In Manitoba, the canvasback had an unusually large number of hens among the juveniles bagged, table 12; in Illinois, on the other hand, this species had an unusually large number of drakes among the juveniles bagged, table 14. The drake segment of the juvenile mallard population bagged in Illinois increased through the second week of November and then tended to stabilize, fig. 3.

Sex ratios of ducks in the marshes adjacent to Great Salt Lake, Utah, have been quite variable from week to week and year to year in autumn.

The week-to-week variation in sex ratios among ducks of these marshes is understandable in view of the fact that in early summer the areas are the breeding grounds for ducks of many species, later a major molting area for transient pintails and green-winged teals, and still later one of the important migration areas for ducks in the Pacific Flyway. Chronological differences in movement of various groups of ducks—those that breed in the area, early migrants that wing-molt in the area, and large numbers of fall migrants that rest there—have resulted in ever-changing sex ratios.

Year-to-year variation in sex ratios is shown in data from the Bear River Migratory Bird Refuge at the north end of Great Salt Lake (Van Den Akker & Wilson 1951:379). In that area hens outnumbered drakes in 8 of 13 species in the period 1936–1940. However, during the hunting seasons in later years, 1943–1949,

Tenn 1951-	essee, -1952	Loui 19	siana, 51	Texas 1947-	Coast, -1951	North C 1948-	Carolina, -1952	Probability That Differences
Number of Ducks	Per Cent Drakes	Among Areas Are Due to Chance						
14 068	58.1	3.471	45.5	2.683	44.1	73	50.7	< 0.0005
127	59.1			_,		109	54.1	
2,007	45.8			696	43.7	228	57.9	<0.0005
317	64.3			620	53.7	644	54.2	<0.02>0.01
1,084	67.1	1,204	45.9	2,688	69.5	271	70.5	< 0.0005
688	63.4	1,312	49.1	1,160	54.7	96	51.0	< 0.0005
174	52.3			963	48.8	201	51.7	<0.20>0.10
107	65.4			1,782	45.6			<0.0005
3,080	69.2	1,351	51.9			104	61.5	< 0.0005
544	69.1	78	51.4	227	48.0	349	41.8	<0.0005
516	66.1	752	49.5	456	62.9	48	40.0	<0.0005
282	63.8					392	53.6	

checked in hunters' bags in seven regions in North America.*

(1950:14); Illinois, authors of present paper; Tennessee, Charles K. Rawls, Jr., Tennessee Game and Fish Comsonal communication); Texas Coast, Singleton (1953:57); North Carolina, T. Stuart Critcher and Yates M. at Ogden Bay, midway on the east side of Great Salt Lake, hens outnumbered drakes in only a few instances: in pintails 2 years and in redheads 1 year, table 20. When the statistical significance of the differences between the data for these years was investigated, the year-to-year fluctuations in sex ratios were found to be highly significant for all species except the baldpate and the shoveler. Populations that were top-heavy with drakes were observed in this same area before the hunting seasons of 1944 and 1950. Ducks that were victims of botulism in the Ogden Bay area showed that adult drakes were much more abundant than adult



Fig. 3.—Drake-hen composition of the adult and juvenile segments of the autumn flight of mallards in Illinois, as indicated by data from checks of hunters' bags, in the autumns of 1939-1955. The drake segment of the juvenile mallard population increased through the second week in November and then became relatively stable.

Table 2	0Drake	percentag	es in seve	en species	of ducks	checked	in hunters	bags at	Ogden Bay	Bird Re	luge, Utah	, 1943–19	49.*
	15	143	19.	44	194	F6	19	47	19	48	19-	49	Probability That
SPECIES	Number of Ducks	Per Cent Drakes	Number of Ducks	Per Cent Drakes	Number of Ducks	Per Cent Drakes	Number of Ducks	Per Cent Drakes	Number of Ducks	Per Cent Drakes	Number of Ducks	Per Cent Drakes	DIFFERENCES Among YEARS ARE DUE TO CHANCE
Mallard Gadwall Baldpate	208 275 150 259	61.5 53.8 54.7 58.7	318 241 426	65.4 54.8 55.9	$^{848}_{462}_{187}_{187}_{2,193}$	59.3 61.9 53.5 45.6	2,239 1,342 801 5,872	58.8 58.9 59.3 51.6	1,276 653 1,136 2,148	54.8 55.9 52.1 45.1	1,528 1,636 824 3,464	56.8 63.4 54.0 56.6	<pre><0.01>0.005</pre> <pre><0.01>0.005</pre> <pre><0.001>0.005</pre> <pre><0.10>0.05</pre> <pre><0.0005</pre>
Green-winged teal Shoveler Redhead	281 171 33	60.1 52.6 60.6	273 423 44	61.5 62.2 86.4	2,615 802 30	74.8 58.6 60.0	3,374 2,209 122	63.9 58.6 68.0	1,543 1,113 217	63.9 55.4 49.3	1,884 1,249 449	60.2 59.8 54.8	<0.0005 <0.10>0.05 <0.0005
*Unpublishe	d data for	1943-1949 st	upplied by N	oland F. Ne	lson, Utah F	ish and Gar	ne Departme	nt.	_		-		

hens in late summer and early fall of these years, tables 21 and 22. At the Bear River Migratory Bird Refuge during the summer of 1952, drakes of several species were abnormally abundant among botulism victims, table 23.

Sex Ratios in Early Spring.-Periodic counts of ducks in late winter and



Fig. 4.—Drake-hen composition of the autumn flights of mallards, green-winged teals, and shovelers in Utah, as indicated by data from checks of hunters' bags, in the autumns of 1943, 1944, and 1946–1949.

early spring have revealed differences in the sequence of the northward migration of drakes and hens of the same species. The sequence in the migration of drakes and hens varies with the region, table 24. In the Illinois River valley, tallies of drakes and hens in late winter and spring were compiled for the years 1940–1946, fig. 5.

A preponderance of drakes was most pronounced for the mallard, pintail, canvasback, and ring-necked duck late in February. In the redhead and the lesser scaup, drakes predominated to the greatest extent in the second half of March; in the baldpate, in the second half of April.

Farther north in the Mississippi Flyway, in Minnesota, Erickson (1943:27) observed changes in the drake and hen segments of the populations during the spring migration periods of 1938–1940. Among blue-winged teals, in 2 of the 3 years, the relative number of drakes was considerably greater in the first than in the second of the two parts into which Erickson divided the migration period. Among shovelers, in each of the 3 years, the relative number of drakes was greater in the second part than in the first part of the migration period. Among lesser scaups, drakes predominated throughout the migration period in each year, but to a lesser extent in the second part than in the first. Among ring-necked ducks, the sex ratios varied little between the two parts of each migration period or among the 3 years; the average male to female ratio for the 3 years was 1.36:1 in the first part and 1.43:1 in the second part of the migration period.

Near Minneapolis, in the spring of 1950, Nelson (1950:119) observed male to female ratios of approximately 1.3:1

Table 21.—Drake percentages in seven species of ducks, juvenile and adult classes, afflicted with botulism at Ogden Bay Bird Refuge, Utah, August 1 to September 29, 1944.*

	Ad	ULTS	JUVE	NILF.S
Species	Number	Per Cent Drakes	Number	Per Cent Drakes
Mallard Gadwall Baldpate. Pintail. Green-winged teal. Cinnamon teal Shoveler. All species	77 7 29 502 292 17 89 1,013	71.43 14.29 86.21 60.56 80.14 58.82 69.66 68.21	43 10 9 163 118 32 71 446	48.84 40.00 44.44 45.40 62.71 65.63 71.83 55.83

*Unpublished data from Noland F. Nelson, Utah Fish and Game Department.

	Table 22Drake	percentages	in six	species of	ducks, j	uvenile a	nd adult	classes,	afflicted
with	botulism at Ogde	n Bay Bird	Refuge,	Utah, late	summer	·, 1950.*			

	Adu	JLTS	JUVENILES		
Species	Number	Per Cent Drakes	Number	Per Cent Drakes	
Mallard Gadwall. Baldpate. Pintail Green-winged teal. Shoveler.	4 3 63 299 13 34	50.00 66.66 52.38 70.57 38.46 50.00	12 7 71 91 9 25	83.33 14.29 45.07 62.89 33.33 48.00	
All species	416	64.90	215	53.85	

*Unpublished data from Noland F. Nelson, Utah Fish and Game Department.

LARD	Juvenile	Num- ber Drakes		19 78.9	20 65.0	15 66.7	36 83.3	13 53.8	15 53.3
MAL	dult	Per Cent Drakes		79.3	88.9	68.4	76.5	50.0	56.5
	Ac	Num- ber		29	18	19	51	16	23
	enile	Per Cent Drakes	•	· · · ·	54.5	60.09	75.0	73.3	51.1
ELER	Juve	Num- ber	•		11	15	44	36	45
SHOV	lult	Per Cent Drakes	•		83.3	90.9	78.0	56.9	56.4
	Ad	Num- ber		:	9	11	50	51	62
EAL	enile	Per Cent Drakes		:	••••••	79.2	68.7	58.1	54.8
GREEN-WINGED T	Juv	Num- ber				53	278	186	177
	lult	Per Cent Drakes			•••••	85.3	69.2	59.6	62.9
	enile Ad	Num- ber			•••••	75	373	245	194
		Per Cent Drakes	78.6	69.5	66.1	67.6	53.2	57.4	58.4
AIL	Juv	Num- ber	84	249	283	371	1,209	536	368
PINT	lult	Per Cent Drakes	76.5	75.1	76.5	72.2	64.3	54.7	63.9
	Ad	Num- ber	98	2.37	277	230	1,183	675	413
		Period	July 4th week	August 1st week	2nd week	3rd week	4th week	September 1st week	2nd week

among river ducks and approximately 2.0:1 among diving ducks.

In northwestern Iowa, Glover (1951: 486–91) recorded sex ratios for migrating waterfowl during spring in 1948 and 1949. The preponderance of drakes he observed among early arrivals of mallards and blue-winged teals declined somewhat, and thereafter the sex ratios of these species remained fairly constant. The sex ratios of gadwalls varied little during the spring. Drakes predominated in baldpate populations throughout the northward migration period, to the greatest extent toward the end of the period. The drake segment was greater than the hen segment among pintails and shovelers throughout the spring migration and showed peaks in late March, the middle of April, and early May. The drake segment among lesser scaups also exceeded the hen segment throughout the spring migration; a marked peak in drake abundance was reached during mid-April. Drakes predominated markedly among the first redhead arrivals, and again among the late departures. Among ring-necked ducks, a high peak in drake predominance occurred the first half of April; a near balance in sex ratios prevailed during the remainder of the spring migration season.

In the lower Souris National Wildlife Refuge, North Dakota, Merrill C. Hammond found marked variations in waterfowl sex ratios taken at different times during the spring, table 7. Pintail, lesser scaup, and redhead sex ratios were more heavily unbalanced in favor of drakes late in the spring than early. Changes in the sex ratios of pintails were significant at the 95 per cent level ($X^2=20.9$, 3 d.f.). The changes were not significant at the 95 per cent level for the lesser scaup ($X^2=3.1$, 2 d.f.) or the redhead ($X^2=$ 1.2, 2 d.f.).

In the Pacific Flyway, in western Washington, Beer (1945:119) calculated sex ratios of ducks from September through April. In December, mallard sex ratios were evenly balanced; in January, they were slightly in favor of drakes and, in February and March, slightly in favor of hens. The drake segment in the pintail population progressively declined from November through March. The sex ratio for lesser scaups was balanced in January,

Bird

Table 23.-Drake percentages in four species of ducks, juvenile and adult classes, afflicted with botulism at the Bear River Migratory

Vol. 27, Art. 6

$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Species	Cali- fornia, 1948–1951	Oregon, 1946–1948	Wash- ington, 1943, 1944	North Dakota, 1939–1942, 1947–1950	Манітова, 1939–1945	
Mallard $1,039$ 55.4 $5,589$ 55.9 $1,652$ 50.7 $3,202$ 51.7 $2,423$ 50 Baldpate 432 45.8 30 53.3 $4,999$ 53.3 911 57.2 Pintail $4,561$ 57.4 622 52.2 $10,173$ 52.7 $3,202$ 51.7 Blue-winged teal $4,561$ 57.4 622 52.2 $10,173$ 52.7 $3,250$ 51 Shoveler 362 69.6 $2,823$ 59.1 101 53.5 $2,000$ 53.7 Redhead $1,805$ 54.8 926 58		Number of Ducks Per Cent Drakes	Number of Ducks Per Cent Drakes	Number of Ducks Per Cent Drakes	Number of Ducks Per Cent Drakes	Number of Ducks Per Cent Drakes	
Shoveler 362 69.6 2,823 59.1 101 53.5 2,000 53.7 Redhead 1,805 54.8 926 58	Mallard Baldpate Pintail Blue.winged teal	1,039 55.4 432 45.8	5,589 55.9 30 53.3 4,561 57.4	$\begin{array}{cccc} 1,652 & 50.7 \\ 4,999 & 53.3 \\ 622 & 52.2 \end{array}$	3,202 51.7 911 57.2 10,173 52.7 5,554 55.5	2,423 50.6 3,250 51.9	
King-necked duck	Redhead Ring-necked duck Lesser scaup Rudy duck	362 69.6 671 60.5 370 53.0 371 67.4	2,823 59.1	101 53.5 316 67.7 911 59.8	$\begin{array}{c} 2,000 \\ 2,000 \\ 53.7 \\ 1,805 \\ 54.8 \\ 63 \\ 57.1 \\ 826 \\ 62.6 \\ 7,401 \\ 64.0 \\ 1,886 \\ 64.7 \end{array}$	926 58.1 2,916 65.4 10,387 66.8	

Table 24.—Drake percentages in 10 species of ducks observed

*Sources of data for the various regions: California and Oregon, Evenden (1952:393); Washington, Beer (1946:409); Minnesota, Erickson (1943:27); Illinois River Valley, authors of present paper (unpublished); Mis-(1951:487, 490) for mallard, baldpate, pintail, blue-winged teal, and shoveler, and Glover (1951:489) and Low tord (1954:78).



Fig. 5.—Periodic changes in the drake percentage of the flight of each of seven species of ducks during spring migration in the Illinois River valley, 1940–1946. Data were obtained from counts of living birds. A preponderance of drakes was most pronounced for the mallard, pintail, canvasback, and ring-necked duck early in the migration period. For the lesser scaup, a preponderance of drakes was most pronounced in the second half of March.

Minnesota, 1938–1940 ULLI: Val 1940-		ILLINOIS RIVER VALLEY, 1940–1947		Mississippi River Valley, Illinois, 1948–1951		Iowa, 1938–1940, 1949		ana, -1952	All Areas	Probability That Differences Among		
Number	of Ducks	Per Cent Drakes	Number of Ducks	Per Cent Drakes	Number of Ducks	Per Cent Drakes	Number of Ducks	Per Cent Drakes	Number of Ducks	Per Cent Drakes	Per Cent Drakes	Areas Are Due to Chance
(r)	256 126 322 447 253 210 580 147 3,114 80	50.4 54.8 53.7 59.7 56.5 61.0 58.3 64.0 72.0 48.8	11,125 1,238 4,218 297 260 7,880 2,051 6,164 19,188 460	54.8 61.6 63.2 64.6 58.5 68.1 73.4 79.4 86.9 77.4	800 78 2,000 3,678 17,873	53.2 53.8 77.4 75.2 82.1	17,085 1,390 4,370 3,782 2,377 4,385 3,728 2,049 11,434 1,976	52.7 56.0 71.8 59.6 66.6 58.6 67.0 65.0 69.1 65.8	10,431 1,759 5,178 1,644 892 1,275 6,591 2,016 11,885 438	50.3 55.5 54.6 56.8 63.5 60.7 52.2 65.3 57.0 53.4	52.6 54.6 59.4 59.3 60.1 60.2 61.4 66.4 69.5 62.0	$\begin{array}{c} < 0.001 \\ < 0.001 \\ < 0.001 \\ < 0.001 \\ < 0.001 \\ < 0.001 \\ < 0.001 \\ < 0.001 \\ < 0.001 \\ < 0.001 \\ < 0.001 \end{array}$

mostly during the spring months in 10 regions of North America.*

(1945:119); North Dakota, M. C. Hammond, U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service (unpublished); Manitoba, Hochbaum sissippi Rive: Valley, Illinois, George Arthur, Illinois Department of Conservation (unpublished); Iowa, Glover (1941:144) combined for redhead, ring-necked duck, canvasback, lesser scaup, and ruddy duck; Indiana, Mum-

but by April there were almost two drakes to every hen. In eastern Washington, Yocom (1949:226) found among mallards relatively more drakes during December than during other months of his study; the number of hens increased proportionally in January, and in February there were more hens than drakes.

In the first 4 months of 1948, sex ratios of mallards in the Texas Panhandle were obtained from counts of ducks presumed to be victims of fowl cholera, table 25. These data revealed statistically significant (X^2 =16.5, 6 d.f.) chronological differences in sex ratios at the 95 per cent level. In winter the mallard drakes and

hens were about equal in number. The drake segment increased during the spring migration, and it was especially large at the end of the migration period. Inasmuch as hens were found by Singleton (1953:57) to predominate in mallard populations on the Texas coast during the hunting season, table 19, it is assumed that the progressive increase in the drake segment in the Panhandle resulted from the lingering of unmated drakes on the wintering grounds. Large numbers of mallards are paired during the fall and winter; probably some of the unmated drakes were juveniles that had lagged in testicular development while others were

Table 25.	-Drake per	centages in	n mallards	s and p	ointails	found	dead,	presumably	from	fowl
cholera, on th	e Muleshoe	National	Wildlife	Refuge	, Texas,	, 1948.				

	Mal	LARDS	PINTAILS		
Period	Number	Per Cent Drakes	Number	Per Cent Drakes	
Jan. 4–Feb. 16. Feb. 17–20. Feb. 21–March 17. March 18–21. March 22–31. April 1–7. April 8–25.	1,212 436 3,014 831 643 162 47	50.2 54.6 56.0 57.3 56.3 56.8 63.8	330 98 769 236 328 16 8	64.8 60.2 57.0 54.2 55.8 43.0 50.0	
Jan. 4–April 25	6,345	55.0	1,785	57.9	

adults in which the testes had not reached recrudescence.

Among pintails presumed to have died from fowl cholera in Texas in the first 4 months of 1948, the sex ratio trend was the opposite of the trend among mallards, table 25, from a population predominantly drakes at the start of the period toward a balanced population at the end. The change in pintail sex ratios was not significant at the 95 per cent level ($X^2 = 10.4$, 6 d.f.). Drakes were found to predominate in the pintail population along the Texas coast during the hunting season, table 19. It may be concluded that the pintail drakes tend to winter farther north than the hens but that most of the pintail population winters farther to the south, in Mexico, than does the mallard population.

Sex Ratios in the Breeding Season. -Seasonal changes in sex ratios of ducks observed on the Manitoba breeding grounds in 1947 and 1949 are shown for various species in tables 26 and 27. In April, the first flights arriving on the breeding grounds showed, with minor exceptions, a closer approach to a balance between the sexes than did subsequent populations on the breeding grounds, fig. Somewhere between the mid-flyway 6. areas and the breeding areas of southern Manitoba, late migration waves predominating in hens appeared to overtake early migration waves predominating in drakes. A tendency toward balanced sex ratios

Table 26.—Drake percentages in seven species of ducks observed in four periods of the spring months along study transects on the Manitoba breeding grounds, 1947.*

	April	15-30	May 1-15		May	16–31	JUNE 1-15		
Species	Number of Ducks	Per Cent Drakes	Number of Ducks	Per Cent Drakes	Number of Ducks	Per Cent Drakes	Number of Ducks	Per Cent Drakes	
Mallard Gadwall Pintail	162 140	52.5 	341 72 248	67.5 54.0 75.0	150 45 139	77.5 55.5 73.5	308 89 197	78.0 56.0 78.0	
teal Shoveler Canvasback Lesser scaup	41 164 48	62.0 55.0 67.0	297 240 139 616	58.0 55.5 65.5 66.5	153 60 125 118	59.0 58.0 73.5 61.0	283 135 139 211	71.0 69.5 83.5 65.5	

*Data supplied by Arthur S. Hawkins, an author of this paper.

Table 27.—Drake p	ercentages in	10 species of	ducks observed	in four	periods	in the	Min-
nedosa pothole district	of Manitoba,	1949.*					

	April 21-25		April 29	9-Мау 7	May 14	-JUNE 6	JULY 5-26		
Species	Number of Ducks	Per Cent Drakes	Number of Ducks	Per Cent Drakes	Number of Ducks	Per Cent Drakes	Number of Ducks	Per Cent Drakes	
Mallard Gadwall Baldpate Pintail	829 73 213	53.9 52.1 59.2	722 75 209 235	67.9 54.7 53.6 74.0	975 220 363 274	73.6 52.7 54.8 76.6	194 33 61 67	$ \begin{array}{r} 6.7 \\ 18.2 \\ 24.6 \\ 4.5 \end{array} $	
teal	52	53.8	198	53.5	164	63.4	40	27.5	
teal Shoveler Redhead Canvasback Lesser scaup	$ 10 \\ 32 \\ 64 \\ 190 \\ 163 $	50.0 51.6 54.2 65.0	547 124 114 285 442	53.9 58.1 52.6 56.8 60.2	925 201 231 334 459	57.4 57.7 55.4 61.1 58.8	350 51 34 135 166	43.7 11.8 20.6 0.7 48.8	

*Unpublished data supplied by W. H. Kiel, University of Wisconsin.

August, 1961

among early arrivals on the breeding grounds evidently is observed in late March and early April on the Oka State Sanctuary in Russia, where the first mallards to arrive are paired (Teplov & Kartashev 1958:160).

The upward swing in the relative numbers of drakes among the mallards and pintails seen by observers in early May, tables 26 and 27, soon after arrival of the ducks on the breeding areas of Manitoba, may be indicative of the rate at which hens leave their mates to incubate.

By mid-July the relative number of

drakes among ducks seen on potholes in southwestern Manitoba, table 27 and fig. 6, had noticeably decreased in all species —less in the lesser scaup and the bluewinged teal than in the other species. At this time, the drakes were evidently leaving the breeding areas for the lakes or marshes where they would enter the eclipse molt.

A similar sequence in sex ratios was found in 1949 by I. G. Bue, then at the University of Minnesota, in ducks seen on stock ponds in western South Dakota, fig. 7. Between the first week of May



Fig. 6.—Periodic changes in the drake percentage in each of six species of ducks on a breeding grounds area near Minnedosa, Manitoba, April 21–July 26, 1949. Data were obtained from counts of living birds.

and the last, during the time pintail hens were leaving their mates to nest, the number of drakes increased from 57 to 81 per cent of the pintails observed. An abrupt decrease in the relative number of pintail drakes took place in the first half of June when many of them were departing for areas in which to molt. Mallard drakes were about 2 weeks later than pintails in their departure to molt, and blue-winged teal drakes were about 2 weeks later than the mallard drakes.

Sex ratios of ducks seen during the nest-

ing period may provide useful information on the destruction of duck nests. When their nests are destroyed, hens return to their waiting sites, where they can be seen by observers; this behavior results in an apparent increase in the relative number of hens in the populations. Lynch (1948:26) presented evidence to show increases in the relative numbers of paired mallards and pintails seen on a study area in southern Saskatchewan late in May, 1947. These increases may have been attributable to heavy nest losses re-



Fig. 7.—Periodic changes in the drake percentage in each of three species of ducks on stock ponds in western South Dakota, April 8-July 17, 1949. Data were obtained from counts of living birds and were provided by I. G. Bue, Commissioner, North Dakota Game and Fish Department, Bismarck, while at the University of Minnesota.
August, 1961

sulting from the plowing of wheat stubble in which hens were nesting.

Regional Variations in Sex Ratios

Sex ratios in ducks were found to vary with migration routes and wintering grounds, fig. 8.

The relative number of drakes among mallards reported bagged in the late per cent hens) than drakes among 13,959 mallards trapped and banded in a period of about 12 years. More hens than drakes were reported among a few mallards in hunters' bags in eastern Wisconsin by Hopkins (1947:28), who also reported more hens than drakes among mallards that were trapped and banded in the area. The report by Hopkins is interpreted to



Fig. 8.—Drake percentage in juvenile and in adult mallards checked in hunters' bags in each of six states and the province of Manitoba, 1946–1948. More drakes than hens were checked in each state or province except Wisconsin.

1940's in Ohio was considerably more than the relative number among mallards reported bagged in Nebraska, fig. 8; the two areas are in approximately the same latitude but in different flyways. In each area more drakes than hens were bagged. The much greater kills among juvenile drakes than among adult drakes is unexplained.

A preponderance of hens was reported for an area in British Columbia by Munro (1943:247), who found more hens (54.3 mean that more hens than drakes of the mallard were in the area during the fall of 1946, the period covered by the report.

Drakes and hens apparently occur in various ratios in various areas of their wintering grounds. In Louisiana, table 19, inspection of hunters' bags showed that not only was the relative number of drakes low for all species but that hunters killed more hens than drakes in the mallard, pintail, green-winged teal, and lesser scaup. To the west, on the coast of Texas, hunters bagged more hens than drakes in the mallard, gadwall, shoveler, redhead, and canvasback. On the Texas coast, the relative number of drakes was unusually high only in the pintail and lesser scaup, species in which a large part of the population winters in Mexico.

In every duck species for which comparable figures were collected, the drake segment was larger in Tennessee, an area representing the northern part of the wintering grounds in the Mississippi Flyway, than in Louisiana, at the southern extremity of the flyway, table 19. Sex ratios obtained for the mallard, baldpate, and green-winged teal from inspection of ducks found dead in the Texas Panhandle, table 31, favored drakes to a greater extent than did sex ratios for these same species obtained from inspection of hunters' bags on the Texas coast, table 19. Drakes predominated in pintails about equally in these two Texas areas. In Texas coast mallards cited by Singleton (1953:57), the sex ratio for adults was almost evenly balanced; however, among juveniles, there were substantially more hens than drakes. Relatively greater numbers of hens than of drakes may occur in waterfowl populations to the south, in Mexico.

Although drakes greatly predominated among lesser scaups taken on the Texas coast, table 19, hens predominated among redheads and canvasbacks. Because only small numbers of redheads and canvasbacks are known to winter north of the Texas coast in the Central Flyway and because of the known preponderance of drakes in these species, it is assumed that large numbers of drakes of these species winter farther south on the Gulf Coast, in Mexico.

In the Currituck Sound area of North Carolina, where many species of ducks winter, bag checks in 1948–1952 showed the number of drakes to be relatively low for the green-winged teal, shoveler, canvasback, lesser scaup, and ruddy duck (Oxyura jamaicensis), table 19. Because large numbers of these ducks winter to the north of Currituck Sound, it is believed that the drakes of these species may be more numerous in those areas. The relatively large numbers of drakes among bagged pintails and gadwalls at Currituck Sound suggest that populations of these species to the south have proportionally fewer drakes.

During the spring migration, the regional variations in the sex ratios of ducks are even more pronounced than they are during the fall migration. Table 24 shows the variations in sex ratios among several species of ducks in 10 regions of North America. The mallard and the bluewinged teal showed less regional variation in sex ratios than the other species. In no region did the drake percentage for the mallard deviate more than 3.3 percentage points from the average for all regions represented. The comparable figure for the blue-winged teal was 5.3. Drakes formed more than 60 per cent of the population of eight species in Illinois, six in Iowa, and three each in California, North Dakota, and Indiana, table 24.

Illinois appears to be on a major flyway route for male ducks during the spring. In most species migrating through Illinois, the number of drakes in the spring, table 24, is relatively larger than the number of drakes in the fall, table 14. There is evidence that adult drakes of certain species, especially the divers, make longer flights during the fall migration than do the hens and the juveniles of both sexes. The probability that, in the fall, adult drakes of these species pass over Illinois, or move more quickly through the state than do the hens and juveniles, suggests one explanation for the pronounced differences between fall and spring sex ratios.

Sex ratios of ducks show less deviation from balanced sex ratios in northern areas than in other areas of the Mississippi Flyway in spring, table 24. In Minnesota, North Dakota, and Manitoba, sex ratio data were collected primarily on extensive lakes and marshes frequented by large numbers of transient ducks. Because of the location of the area, the size of the samples, and the period of years over which data were collected, the sex ratios from North Dakota appear to represent the various species in spring better than the sex ratios from other areas.

Mortality Factors Affecting Sex Ratios

As an approach to an evaluation of factors that contribute to deviations from

	Du	CKS BANI	DED	Yea R	ar-of-Ban lecoverie	IDING S	DIFFER- ENCE	Proba- bility That
Species	Num- ber	Num- ber Drakes	Per Cent Drakes	Num- ber	Num- ber Drakes	Per Cent Drakes	Between Per- centages	PERCENTAGE DIFFERENCE Is DUE TO CHANCE
Mallard. Gadwall. Baldpate. Pintail. Green-winged teal. Blue-winged teal Shoveler. Redhead. Canvasback. Lesser scaup.	21,021 1,355 1,940 9,479 1,973 12,343 686 2,042 511 5,134	10,405 602 991 3,697 1,031 6,171 346 1,100 245 2,615	49.5 44.4 51.1 39.0 52.3 50.0 50.4 53.9 47.9 50.9	2,131 134 168 582 70 341 41 266 60 320	1,131 68 86 263 35 168 29 148 27 159	53.1 50.8 51.2 45.2 50.0 49.3 70.7 55.6 45.0 49.7	$\begin{array}{r} + 3.6 \\ + 6.4 \\ + 0.1 \\ + 6.2 \\ - 2.3 \\ - 0.7 \\ + 20.3 \\ + 1.7 \\ - 2.9 \\ - 1.2 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} < 0.001 \\ < 0.20 > 0.10 \\ > 0.99 \\ < 0.01 > 0.005 \\ < 0.70 > 0.60 \\ < 0.80 > 0.75 \\ < 0.01 > 0.005 \\ < 0.60 > 0.50 \\ < 0.60 > 0.50 \\ < 0.70 > 0.60 \\ < 0.70 > 0.60 \\ \end{array}$

Table 28.—Drake percentage in each of 10 species of ducks banded by Ducks Unlimited^{*} in the prairie provinces of Canada, 1939–1950, and the drake percentage in the year-of-banding recoveries. The difference between these two percentages for each species is a measure of hunter selectivity for that species, + for drakes, - for hens.*

*Data calculated from Cartwright & Law (1952:10-2).

balanced sex ratios in ducks, it is desirable to examine the influence of each of the several factors responsible for mortality in these birds. The principal agents that contribute to mortality in ducks are (1) hunters, (2) disease, (3) predators, (4) agricultural operations, and (5) natural stress.

We seek to answer this question: Are hunters, disease, predators, agricultural operations, and natural stress responsible for greater loss in the ducks of one sex than in those of the other?

Hunting and Sex Ratios.—The data on hunter kill of ducks banded in Canada, 1939–1950, and recovered in the year of banding, table 28, reflect the country-wide influence of hunting on the drake-hen ratios because the banding was done on the breeding grounds during late summer and early fall at or before the beginning of the hunting season and the southward migration period.

Statistical treatment of the data showed that hunters took a highly significant greater number of drakes than of hens in the mallard, gadwall, pintail, and shoveler. Hunters took fewer, but not significantly fewer, drakes than hens in the green-winged teal, blue-winged teal, canvasback, and lesser scaup.

Data for black ducks banded at Lake Chautauqua and at McGinnis Slough and for mallards banded at McGinnis Slough, tables 2, 3, and 4, showed no significantly greater hunter kill in one sex than in the other for the period of study. Data for mallards banded at Lake Chautauqua, table 1, showed a greater hunter kill in drakes than in hens; the difference in hunter kill between the sexes was statistically significant and similar to that for mallards banded in Canada, table 28.

In most species in which hunting takes a greater toll of one sex than of the other, the male segment bears the greater loss. However, the effect of hunting on the sex ratios of the entire North American duck population is probably insignificant.

Disease and Sex Ratios.—Although numerous diseases afflict ducks, only three are known to cause large losses among these birds: (1) botulism, (2) fowl cholera, and (3) lead poisoning.

Botulism.—Botulism in ducks occurs in both Canada and the United States; it is most prevalent among the populations in the Prairie Provinces, the Northern Plains States, and the Western States. The time of botulism outbreaks usually is from midsummer to early autumn.

According to Hammond (1950:213),

There appears to be no selectivity of sexes as far as *Clostridium* toxin is concerned and the ratio of sexes appearing in the studies is a reflection of the differential utilization. If males were attracted to areas at a time when toxin was potent and available a preponderance of males appeared in the collection. Table 29.--Drake percentages in seven species of ducks found dead or incapacitated, presumably from botulism, at Whitewater Lake, Manitoba

7 weeks of 1949.*

.≘

Wildlife Service.

and

Fish

s.

ъ.

Hawkins,

ŝ

Dominion Wildlife Service, and Arthur

F. Graham Cooch,

Data obtained by

PERIOD	Per Cent Drakes	89.3 71.7	87.8 72.4	72.5	74.1
Entire	Num- ber of Ducks	2,565 432	507 1,212	258	652 497
st 29- mber 4	Per Cent Drakes	53.3 48.1	38.5 48.8	58.3	$39.4 \\ 63.6$
AUGU Septe	Num- ber of Ducks	150 27	13 217	84	33 129
r 22–28	Per Cent Drakes	60.1 43.3	63.1		57.1 58.9
Augus	Num- ber of Ducks	158 30		:	21 73
r 15-21	Per Cent Drakes	71.0 66.0	76.4	29.2	52.3 52.6
Augus	Num- her of Ducks	200 127		24	88 116
r 7-14	Per Cent Drakes	92.2 85.6	91.0	80.0	71.2 72.6
Augus	Num- ber of Ducks	194	196	20	66 117
r 28- ust 6	Per Cent Drakes	86.5 76.0	86.0 75.4	78.2	77.7 68.5
JULY	Num- ber of Ducks	861 100	151 273	23	90 35
21-27	Per Cent Drakes	96.1	89.7	90.6	83.3 72.7
JULY	Num- ber of Ducks	967	234 303	107	337 22
14-20	Per Cent Drakes	88.6	88.8 100.0		82.3 100.0
JULY	Num- ber of Ducks	35	6.1	:	17 5
	SPECIES	Mallard	Saldpate	Green-winged teal	slue-winged teal

Hammond (1950:212) found a ratio of 161 drakes to 100 hens among 8,395 adult ducks affected by botulism on four national wildlife refuges in North Dakota, 1937– 1947. The relative number of hens increased progressively from July through September.

An inspection of ducks that presumably were victims of botulism at Whitewater Lake, Manitoba, between mid-July and early September, 1949, revealed a preponderance of drakes, table 29. There was an increase in the proportional number of hens among the victims in some species in late August; however, the hens in most species did not suffer severe losses, possibly because the disease abated in early September, before large numbers of hens arrived.

Waterfowl afflicted with botulism at the Ogden Bay Bird Refuge, Utah, in 1944 and 1950 and at the Bear River Migratory Bird Refuge, Utah, in 1952 showed a preponderance of drakes among both adults and juveniles, tables 21, 22, and 23. A comparison of the sex ratios of ducks dying from botulism in late summer of 1944, table 21, with those killed by hunters during autumn of the same year, table 20, indicated for three species proportionally greater numbers of drakes among the birds dying of the disease. The fact that, in Utah, drakes were relatively more abundant late in the summer than during the autumn may have accounted, at least in part, for relatively higher numbers of drakes among disease victims than among shot birds.

The habit of drake dabblers, while undergoing the eclipse molt, of frequenting large lakes with extensive marshes appears to expose drakes more than hens to botulism toxin. The worst known botulism areas, such as Whitewater Lake in Manitoba, Johnstone Lake in Saskatchewan, Medicine Lake in Montana, and the marshes about Great Salt Lake, Utah, are places where drakes, in much greater numbers than hens, annually gather for the wing-molt.

Fowl Cholera.—In North America, the largest losses of migratory waterfowl from fowl cholera appear to be those reported for the Panhandle of Texas by Petrides & Bryant (1951:193). Other severe losses from this disease have been reported from the south end of San Francisco Bay northward through the delta and into the lower Sacramento Valley (Rosen & Bischoff 1950:147-8).

Although Petrides & Bryant (1951: 203) found some indication that the smaller the duck the more susceptible it is to fowl cholera, the weight difference between drakes and hens in any one species is not great enough to account for a material difference in mortality rates. A small number of drake-hen ratios for mallards and pintails, living birds and victims of fowl cholera, in the Texas Panhandle in 1944–1946, table 30, suggest that the disease is not markedly selective of either sex.

Sex ratios for several hundred ducks presumably dying from fowl cholera in the Texas Panhandle in 1944–1946 and 1948 are given in tables 30 and 31. The loss of pintail drakes was proportionally greater in 1948 than in 1944–1946. The loss of mallard drakes showed approximately equal percentages in the two periods. In each period, the loss was proportionally greater among pintail drakes than among mallard drakes.

Available evidence suggests that fowl cholera is not an important cause of differences in mortality rates between the sexes. In the recorded outbreaks of this disease among ducks, drakes have predominated in the populations and have suffered losses proportionally no greater than those of hens.

Lead Poisoning.—Among migratory waterfowl, lead poisoning is more widespread geographically than either botulism or fowl cholera. It has been estimated (Bellrose 1959:282) that among all species of waterfowl in North America 2 to 3 per cent die from this disease each year.

Following experiments with penned wild mallards, Jordan & Bellrose (1951: 21) concluded that:

The hen mortality from lead poisoning was found to be double the drake mortality, except

Table	30.—Drake	percentage	s among	pintails	and	mallar	ds	in the	Pan	handl	e of 7	ſexas,
1944-1946,	as determin	ed by (1)	counts of	ducks	believ	ed to	be	victims	of	fowl	choler	a and
(2) visual	observations	of healthy	ducks.*									

		PINTAIL			Mallard)
Period	Fowl Cou	Cholera ints	Visual Obser- vations	Fowl Cou	Cholera unts	Visual Obser- vations
	Number of Ducks	Per Cent Drakes	Per Cent Drakes	Number of Ducks	Per Cent Drakes	Per Cent Drakes
March 4–16, 1944 Feb. 4–18, 1945 March 19, 1945 Feb.–March, 1946	311 552 222 1,008	70 55 64 63	56 65 71	187 281 64 346	58 51 70 56	48

*By Arthur S. Hawkins and U. S. Game Management Agents L. J. Merroka, Floyd A. Thompson, and M. H. Boone.

Table	31Drake	e percentages i	n four s	pecies of	ducks	found dead	, presumably	from fowl
cholera, on	areas in t	he Panhandle	of Texas	s, winter	and ea	rly spring,	1944-1946 ar	id 1948.*

	1944	-1946	19	48
Species	Number of Ducks	Per Cent Drakes	Number of Ducks	Per Cent Drakes
Mallard	878	55.8	4,348	54.4
Pintail Green-winged teal	2,093	62.1	7,447 192	67.7 57.3

*Data for 1944-1946 from table 30. Data for 1948 from U. S. Game Management Agent L. J. Dugger.

Vol. 27, Art. 6

PLACE	YEAR	Number Checked	Per Cent Drakes
Sand Lake, South Dakota	1951	59	57.6
Heron Lake, Minnesota	1939	194	63.4
Lake Chautauqua, Illinois	1941–1955	753	62.8
Batchtown, Illinois	1953	47	68.1
Chariton County, Missouri	1949	53	64.2
Claypool Reservoir, Arkansas	1954	100	73.0
Catahoula Lake, Louisiana	1953	243	44.4

Table 32.—Drake percentages among mallards picked up dead or incapacitated from lead poisoning in several areas of the Mississippi Flyway during late fall and winter, 1939–1955.

in the spring season when hens entered the breeding phase. At this season the food intake of penned wild hens increased steadily until it equaled, then exceeded, that of penned wild drakes. During this period hens proved to be less susceptible to lead poisoning than were drakes. At all other seasons hens ate less food than did drakes.

In field experiments with mallards, some of which had been dosed with lead shot and some of which had not been dosed, Bellrose (1959:276) found that:

Because of the smaller number of experiments conducted with hens than with drakes, it is more difficult to appraise mortality from lead poisoning in the hens. However, the available data suggest that, among hens and drakes with identical ingested shot levels, hens probably suffer twice as great a mortality as drakes in the fall and a small fraction of the mortality of drakes in late winter and spring.

Actual counts of mallards picked up dead or incapacitated from lead poisoning in the Mississippi Flyway during late fall and early winter show a large preponderance of drakes, table 32. Field observations on healthy ducks in the region also show a preponderance of drakes in the wintering populations. Because most outbreaks of lead poisoning that have been reported are from the northern periphery of the wintering grounds and because drakes greatly predominate in wintering populations in those areas, undoubtedly an appreciably greater number of drakes than of hens have died from this disease.

Predators and Sex Ratios.—Investigations of predation on waterfowl are not adequate to provide a substantial basis for appraising the role of predation in selective mortality for drakes or hens. The hens, while incubating eggs for 3 or 4 weeks, and later, in caring for the flightless young for 6 to 10 weeks, may be exposed to greater predation than drakes. During the molt or flightless period, when the tendency of hens is to remain on small bodies of water, while drakes congregate on large lakes or marshes, the hens may be subjected to greater predation than drakes. Moreover, the poor physical condition resulting from the stress of egg laying and molting may also cause the hens to be more vulnerable to predation.

Kalmbach (1937:383-4), in summarizing the fate of 512 duck nests on the prairie breeding grounds in Canada, reported that eight egg-laying or incubating hens were known to have been killed by predators. Other hens may have been killed by predators without leaving evidence; 40 nests had been deserted, and 53 had been destroyed by unknown agents.

In a study that included 340 "active" duck nests in southeastern Saskatchewan during 1953, Stoudt & Buller (1954: 58-9) found seven nesting hens that had been killed by predators. During three seasons on a 1.5 square mile study area near Minnedosa, Manitoba, Alex Dzubin of the Canadian Wildlife Service (letter, March 26, 1955) found 13 hens and 6 drakes killed by predators, mowers, or muskrat traps. Because his study area was atypical in being flanked by paved highways along two boundaries, as well as by telephone and electric power wires, ducks killed by colliding with cars or by flying into wires were not included in his figures.

During a study of the fate of nests on farm land near Delta Marsh on the Portage Plains of Manitoba, 608 nests of seven species of ducks were examined (Milonski 1958:223, 225); although many nests were believed to have been lost to predation (striped skunks destroyed 7 per cent of the pintail nests and 51 per cent of the mallard nests) only five hens were known to have been killed by predators.

On Illinois study areas, raccoons destroyed 304 out of 1,579 wood duck nests and killed 103 hens in a period of 7 years. Minks killed other nesting wood duck hens, and even fox squirrels were responsible for the death of several hens. Census records indicate that during the nesting period wood duck drakes suffered negligible losses.

Agricultural Operations and Sex Ratios.-Losses resulting from mowing or combining operations on farm land are selective for nesting hens. Such losses would affect only species nesting in crops subject to mowing or combining. It seems probable that the mallard, pintail, gadwall, green-winged teal, blue-winged teal, baldpate, and shoveler would be most extensively concerned. The potential loss of nesting hens is great, because extensive areas of farm land are included in the breeding grounds. As long ago as 1948, Lynch (1948:28) pointed out that the 75,000 square miles comprising southern Saskatchewan is far from being a vast undisturbed prairie and that "three-fourths of this 'Duck-Factory' are grain-fields. The remainder is heavily grazed.'

According to Forrest Lee of the Minnesota Department of Conservation (letter, January 9, 1955), the loss of bluewinged teal hens from mowing may be appreciable in Minnesota. One farmer near Hutchinson, despite the use of a flushing bar, in 1 year destroyed three hens while he was mowing an alfalfa field. A normally productive pond on his farm had no broods in that year. Interviews with a large number of farmers in the area indicated that such losses were not unusual.

Of 122 mallard and blue-winged teal nesting hens for which there was a chance of being killed (on nests destroyed directly or indirectly) in the mowing of 592 acres of hay on Horicon National Wildlife Refuge, Mayville, Wisconsin, only 5 were killed (Labisky 1957:195–7). It was believed that this low vulnerability of nesting hens resulted because "dabbling ducks generally rise swiftly and nearly vertically from the nest when flushed by the mowing machine, thus avoiding the cutting bar."

While making observations on 608 nests of seven species of ducks on farm land near the Delta Marsh in Manitoba, Milonski (1958:223) found only two hens killed in mowing operations.

The available data indicate that losses of nesting hens resulting directly from agricultural operations do not contribute importantly to imbalance in adult sex ratios.

Stress and Sex Ratios.—Little is known about stress, as defined by Selye (1956:3), in its relation to mortality in ducks. Kabat et al. (1956:44) found that in pheasants (Phasianus colchicus) the "seasonal variation in resistance to the applied stress and survival time was related to the physiological condition of the hen at particular times of the year." In July and August, pheasant hens that had completed or were about to complete their egg laying and were molting flight feathers were in their poorest physical condition of the year. Survival of pheasant hens under applied stress was shortest in June, July, and August and longest in April, immediately prior to egg laying (Kabat et al. 1956:12). The average survival period in July was 13 days in one year and 18 days in another, compared to 21 days in October, 27 days in December, 29 days in January, 34 days in February, 40 days in April, 22 days in May, 17 days in June, and 13 days in August.

Without doubt the greatest energy drain experienced by duck hens in the entire year occurs during late spring and summer as a result of egg laying, incubation, brooding of young, and postnuptial molt. This sequence of activity probably places the hens in much greater jeopardy to stress than the drakes, which experience marked depletion of energy only through the period of the post-nuptial molt.

Harold C. Hanson of the Illinois Natural History Survey has determined (manuscript in preparation) that among Canada geese (*Branta canadensis*) the stress of the molt is especially severe on the female following the energy demands of egg laying and caring for the young and that this produces a differential effect on the sexes which may be the primary cause for the preponderance of males in adult populations.

Evaluation of Mortality Factors.— Information available on the principal mortality factors affecting sex ratios in the North American duck population indicates that hunters and disease take relatively more drakes than hens and that predators may take relatively more hens than drakes.

From the time of hatching to the beginning of the breeding season, only slight the imbalance between the sexes in this population.

Influencing the age composition, and therefore to a large extent the sex ratios of the population, are (1) productivity and (2) mortality.

The more productive a species of waterfowl, the greater is apt to be the proportion of juveniles in its population at the opening of the hunting season. The greater the proportion of juveniles in a population, the more nearly balanced is

	Table 33.	—Shooting	g losses, as	measured	by per	cent of	banded ducks	recovered	in year
of	banding, an	d drake pe	ercentage in	the popul	ation of	each of	seven species	of ducks.	

Species	Number of Ducks Banded*	Per Cent of Banded Ducks Recovered in Year of Banding*	Drake Percentage in Population†
Dabbling Ducks Mallard Baldpate Pintail Shoveler.	22,636 2,020 9,951 749	10.0 8.7 6.1 5.9	52.6 54.6 59.4 60.1
Diving ducks Redhead Canvasback Lesser scaup	2,067 531 6,567	13.1 12.2 6.7	60.2 66.4 69.5

*Data from waterfowl bandings on Canadian breeding grounds by Ducks Unlimited (Cartwright & Law, 1952:10-1). †Data from summary column, table 24.

changes take place in the sex ratios of the yearling class of a duck population. Mortality factors that operate through most of the first year of life affect the two sexes about equally or the drakes slightly more than the hens. Available information is not sufficient to permit appraisal of the influence of predation on sex ratios. However, during the breeding season appreciable losses occur among hens; these losses, most of which appear to be attributable to predation, agricultural operations, and natural stress, may account for the predominance of drakes in the adult class.

Sex Ratios and Age Composition of Populations

The age composition of a duck population is reflected in its sex ratios. Because of an approximate balance between the sexes in the juvenile class and an appreciable imbalance in the adult class, the greater the proportion of old birds in any given population, the greater is apt to be its sex ratio. Some species of ducks are consistently more productive than other species. Most of the highly productive species have high shooting losses and, hence, high mortality rates.

An inverse relationship between shooting losses and the size of the drake segments is shown in table 33 for four species of dabbling ducks. The higher the shooting loss, the smaller is the imbalance between the sexes in these species.

Some species of ducks suffer excessive hunting losses in the juvenile age class. Mortality in the fall is so high in the juvenile class that birds over a year old greatly predominate in the spring populations. In these species there is a great imbalance in the sex ratios of spring populations. High vulnerability to hunting is shown in table 45 for juveniles of two species of diving ducks, the redhead and the canvasback.

Of the redhead, Hickey (1952:80) concluded that, "in the past," the kill

rate for juveniles has been about 50 per cent, whereas the kill rate for adults has been 20 or 30 per cent; the annual mortality rates have been about 70 per cent for juveniles and about 55 per cent for adults. Of the canvasback, Geis (1959: 254-5) reported that the year-of-banding recovery rates (per cent of birds banded that were shot by hunters and had bands recovered within a year of the time of banding) were 22 per cent for juveniles and 14 per cent for adults; the annual rates for mortality from all causes were 77 per cent for juveniles and 35 to 50 per cent for adults. Mallard drakes banded as juveniles in Illinois had a first-year mortality rate of about 55 per cent; mallard drakes banded as adults had a firstyear mortality rate of 36 per cent and an average mortality rate of about 40 per cent (Bellrose & Chase 1950:8-9).

The high mortality rate in the juvenile class of redheads and canvasbacks has resulted in relatively large numbers of old birds in the breeding populations of these species and consequently a large preponderance of drakes, table 33.

Extremely large drake segments noted in lesser scaup populations are evidently not related to high juvenile mortality resulting from hunting. The vulnerability rate of juveniles in this species, table 45, is insufficient to account for the large imbalance between the sexes, table 33. The causes of the imbalance seem to be (1) low shooting pressure on the species, table 45, (2) a low reproductive rate, table 62, and consequently (3) a relatively small number of juveniles in the population, table 53.

Variations in the age composition of waterfowl populations are largely responsible for variations in sex ratios among species of ducks. Sex ratios of various species of ducks in the spring in North Dakota, table 24, indicate that the mallard has relatively the largest number of yearlings in its breeding populations; this species is followed in order by the pintail, shoveler, redhead, blue-winged teal, ringnecked duck, baldpate, canvasback, lesser scaup, and ruddy duck.

The Question of Surplus Drakes

It seems reasonable to question the value of those drakes in excess of the number needed to provide mates for the hens in waterfowl populations. In the event such drakes do not play an essential role in species survival, an effort should be made to provide for their utilization.

While drakes outnumber hens in all species studied, drakes occur in relatively greater numbers among the diving ducks than among dabblers, table 24. Examination of available knowledge on the reproductive biology characterizing these two subfamilies reveals nothing which suggests that extra drakes may be more essential to the maintenance of populations of diving ducks than of dabblers.

The hens of diving ducks engage in less renesting activity than do the hens of dabbling ducks, and some observers feel that diving duck drakes tend to be more persistent in remaining with nesting hens than do the drakes of dabbling ducks. Species differences in this respect were observed among dabbling ducks by Sowls (1955:101), who wrote that while

late-season or renesting courtship flights of mallards, gadwalls and pintails were common, we seldom saw them in the shovellers and blue-winged teal. I suspect that the difference occurred because of the length of time the drakes stayed with their hens after the clutches were laid. Blue-winged teal and shoveller drakes did not abandon their hens until incubation was well advanced; while mallard, pintail and gadwall drakes abandoned their hens shortly after the clutches were completed.

Robert I. Smith of the Illinois Natural History Survey (personal communication, December 9, 1960) also observed that blue-winged teal and shoveler drakes tend to remain with their hens longer than do the drakes of mallards, pintails, and gadwalls; in exceptional cases, mallard, pintail, and gadwall drakes may remain with their hens throughout and even beyond the incubation period. On the breeding grounds, drakes outnumber hens to a greater extent among pintails, blue-winged teals, and shovelers than among mallards and gadwalls, table 34. A pattern of sorts seems apparent here, but it does not afford obvious support of a need for extra drakes in reproduction.

The superficially excessive number of drakes may be significant to population dynamics among waterfowl in ways which are not directly related to the insurance of successful reproduction. At

	SASE	KATCHE	WAN	M	INNESO	LA		Mani	TOBA			1	ORTH I)акота			
Species	1935	1935 1936	1937	1938	1939	1940	1939	1940	1941	1942	1940	1942	1947	1948	1949	1950	Aver- age
Mallard. Gadwall Baldpate Pintail. Pintail. Blue-winged teal Shoveler Redhead. Ring-necked duck. Ring-necked duck. Lesser scaup. Lesser scaup.	62.8† 58.3 58.3 58.3 55.2 59.4 55.0 55.0 55.0 60.4 60.4 61.1	60.6† 53.3 54.7 54.7 57.1† 57.1 57.1 55.4 55.4 55.4 69.5 69.5	61.9† 522.9 57.5 57.5 57.5 57.5 57.5 57.5 57.5 57	50.0 62.5 51.3 56.8 56.8 74.4	50.0 52.6 56.5 57.3 57.3 57.3 74.2 70.6	51.1 53.3 53.9 55.4 61.4 61.4 64.6 69.4 69.4	57.9 69.3 62.0	56.6 69.0	51.9 65.0 67.7	58.6 64.3 64.3	53.7 53.3 53.3 53.3 53.3	51.7 55.0 50.6 74.0	53.5 53.5 56.3 58.9 58.9 58.9 55.5 55.5 55.5 55.5 55.5	50.0 55.9 55.1 55.7 55.7 55.7 55.7 55.8 55.8 55.8 55.8	51.9 57.6 57.6 57.6 57.1	51.2 55.0 55.6 55.5 53.1 53.1 553.5 553.5 553.5 553.5 57.6 67.4	51.1 55.1 55.1 55.1 55.1 55.1 55.1 55.1
*Sources of data for the various C. Hammond, U. S. Fish and Wildlifd †These figures from Furniss (193)	regions: e Service 5:278: 19	Saskatche (personal 938:22)	ewan, Fu commun are believ	rniss (19 iication).)35:278; too high	1938:22	2); Min tes becau	nesota, se tallies	Erickson were m	(1943:2 ade durin	(7); Ma g nesting	nitoba,] ; period;	Hochbaur they ar	n (1944 e not in	15); 1 cluded ir	Sorth D	akota, M.

times, harassment of nesting hens by idle drakes may result in an important amount of nest desertion and possibly a reduction in productivity. Along with the severe stress of reproductive activity, harassment by drakes may contribute indirectly to mortality among hens. It is conceivable, too, that, if extra drakes are truly surplus, they may also create undesirable stress by occupying space and consuming food essential to the welfare of the productive segment of the population.

Perhaps insight into the value of extra drakes could be obtained through an experimental procedure designed to reduce the number of drakes in a subpopulation of a species having a large drake segment. Reduction of drake numbers could possibly be accomplished by deliberate hunting of drakes in places and at times when they were concentrated apart from the hens or when they could be decoyed from the hens and brought within shooting range. Teplov & Kartashev (1958:159, 161), reporting on observations made on the Oka State Sanctuary and on adjacent shooting areas in Russia, indicated that hunters are "permitted in spring to obtain the drakes of all species and also geese on passage. The most general method of obtaining waterfowl in spring is the shooting of Mallard drakes which go to a decov duck." In Russia, the killing of female ducks is forbidden in spring. Such an experiment as that outlined above would meet the added objective of determining whether regulated hunting might be directed at what is possibly a truly expendable part of the waterfowl population.

Sex Ratios as Measures of Production

Because sex ratios reflect the age composition of a duck population, analysis of year-to-year differences in the sex ratios of a species offers a method of diagnosing the yearly changes in production. Also, because sex ratios for each species of waterfowl vary from season to season within any year, as a result of hunting and natural phenomena, the sex ratios obtained during a particular season (fall, winter, spring, or summer) should be compared with the sex ratios obtained during only corresponding seasons of other years. A



Fig. 9.—Year-to-year changes in the hen percentage and in the juvenile percentage in mallards checked in hunters' bags in the Illinois River valley, 1939–1955 and 1959. Percentages have been adjusted so that the means for juveniles and hens are equal.

comparison of the sex ratios in the summer of one year with the sex ratios in the winter of the same or another year would be biased by the disproportionate hunting losses of juveniles, which have approximately balanced sex ratios.

As a means of measuring yearly production, sex ratios have certain basic advantages over age ratios. For many species of ducks, such as the divers, age ratio data, derived from bag checks during the autumn, are difficult to obtain in adequate numbers. For the most important species, it is much easier to obtain large samples of sex ratio data from field observations than to obtain large samples of age ratio data from bag inspections. Less skill is required to determine the sex of a duck in nuptial plumage than the age of a duck in any plumage.

To test the validity of sex ratios as criteria of duck production, we have made a comparison, fig. 9, of sex ratios with age ratios, which are direct reflections of production. Both ratios were obtained from mallards killed by Illinois hunters in 1939– 1955 and 1959. We have also made, for several species of ducks, comparisons of sex ratios derived from observations on the breeding grounds, table 34, with the number of juveniles per hen killed in Illinois, table 62. As shown in fig. 9, the sex ratios (per cent hens) and the age ratios (per cent juveniles) obtained from mallards killed by Illinois hunters differed markedly in several years. The fluctuations in age ratios tended to be of greater magnitude than those in sex ratios; the peaks were higher in age ratios than in sex ratios, and the troughs were deeper. An over-all correlation of ± 0.59 suggests that only fair agreement exists between the sex ratios and the age ratios. We conclude that sex ratios derived from bag inspections provide a fair index to productivity but not so good an index as age ratios.

Sex ratios obtained on the breeding grounds, table 34, do not appear to provide a more reliable index to production than sex ratios calculated from bag inspections in Illinois. Data for the breeding seasons of 1935-1942 and 1947-1950 (except certain data from Furniss, table 34) show that the drake percentages for the mallard, gadwall, pintail, greenwinged teal, and ring-necked duck did not, in any season for which figures are available, deviate from the average for the species by as much as 5 per cent. This lack of deviation indicated relatively stable populations; data in table 62, showing the number of juveniles per adult hen for the years 1946-1949, indicated increasing populations for all of the above-mentioned species except the gadwall.

AGE RATIOS

Two commonly used indicators of waterfowl production are brood densities (the number of broods per unit of area on the breeding grounds) and age ratios (the mathematical relationship between adults and juveniles old enough to fly).

Brood density surveys supply information of value for making preseason adjustments in hunting regulations. However, data on brood densities are not believed to constitute precise indices of production. Substantial proportions of the broods present in an area are missed by observers employing survey techniques usually considered practicable (Anderson 1953:8-10). Correction for unobserved broods may never yield to reliable standardization, for the percentage of broods not found by observers varies with many factors such as time of day, time of season, habitat, area, and waterfowl population densities.

Age ratios are believed to afford a more promising basis than brood counts for measuring waterfowl production, although they, like data on brood densities, are seldom true indices of production.

This section of the paper is written with the intention of opening the way to a more effective use of age ratios in waterfowl management. The following aspects of age ratios and their use are considered: age criteria, sampling methods for obtaining age ratios, seasonal and regional variations in age ratios, factors tending to bias age ratios, age ratios as measures of production, environment in relation to production, production in different species, and the place of age ratios in population management.

Age Criteria

In 1938, when biologists of the Delta Waterfowl Research Station at Delta, Manitoba, and of the Illinois Natural History Survey at Havana, Illinois, initiated waterfowl research programs involving the inspection of large numbers of ducks bagged by hunters, the need for finding consistently reliable external characteristics by which to separate juveniles from adults was recognized. The best external indication of age known at that time had been pointed out by Pirnie (1935:275). It was based on the appearance of the tips of tail feathers, those of adults being rounded or pointed, those of juveniles being blunt or notched. However, in the mallard, an important species in Illinois and at Delta, young birds were known to replace their juvenile feathers with adult feathers early in the fall; hence, they could not be accurately aged by this characteristic throughout the hunting season. In some species, notably those in the genus Aythya, the tail feather criterion was found to be more persistent than in the mallard, but, even so, it was not reliable throughout the hunting season.

During the fall of 1938, biologists at the Delta and Illinois stations searched for some characteristic by which to separate juveniles from adults in the mallard and other early-molting species. The search at Delta was concentrated on plumage, while that in Illinois was concerned with a character, pointed out by Ticehurst (1938:772-3) as being related to immaturity: striae "at the tip of the nail of both upper and lower mandibles." The Illinois group also investigated various parts of the skeleton that might exhibit differences in ossification between juveniles and adults. At that time, neither group was successful in the search for a characteristic by which to separate ducks into the two age classes.

In the following winter, Gower (1939: 427) called attention to the bursa of Fabricius as a criterion of age in ducks. A short time later Hochbaum (1942:301), aided by the findings of Gower and the work of Owen (1866:244-5), learned that juvenile drakes of 5 to 10 months of age could be separated from adult drakes by the size of the penis, fig. 1. This finding, put to use in the summer and fall of 1939, provided a method of differentiating between juveniles and adults of both live and dead drakes. The new method proved faster and easier to use than the bursal method. Also, it provided for accurate separation of drakes from hens in all stages of plumage. However, the bursa was found to persist for several weeks after the transition from juvenile- to adult-type penis and therefore provided a

basis for separating juveniles from adults over a longer period of time than that afforded by the penis.

Hochbaum (1942:303-4) pointed out that the oviduct, which opens into the cloaca in adult females, is sealed by a membrane in immature females, fig. 1. Wildlife technicians in Illinois have found collected from duck hunters and shipped to a central point for interpretation by trained personnel.

Sampling for Age Ratios

Data on the age ratios of ducks may be obtained by examination of birds trapped for banding, shot by hunters, or killed by



Fig. 10.—Year-to-year changes in the juvenile percentage in mallard drakes trapped at Mc-Ginnis Slough and at Lake Chautauqua and in mallards checked in hunters' bags in the Illinois River valley, 1939–1957.

that, until at least mid-January, the presence of a closed oviduct and a bursa unfailingly indicates a juvenile hen. Occasionally, a hen is found that shows an open oviduct and a small bursa; such a bird is considered adult. Most wildlife technicians have restricted their aging of hens to individuals that have been bagged by hunters. However, Hanson (1949) developed a technique that can be used for aging live females in both ducks and geese.

In 1958, after completion of most of the field work for the study reported here. Carney & Geis (1960:376–9) found that, in certain species of ducks, juveniles and adults could be identified with a high degree of accuracy on the basis of differences in the wing plumage. The technique described by these authors makes possible the extensive sampling of age ratios of ducks in all four flyways of North America. Large numbers of wings could be disease. It seems desirable that the relative merits of these sources of data be appraised. In the present study, all three sources of data were used for obtaining age ratios.

Examination of Trapped Ducks.-A comparison of the age ratios of mallard drakes trapped at Lake Chautauqua, table 35, and the age ratios of mallard drakes and hens taken by hunters in the Illinois River valley, table 36, discloses marked disparity in the number of juveniles per adult between the trapped ducks and the harvested ducks. The data show relatively fewer juveniles among the drakes trapped at Lake Chautauqua than among the ducks taken by hunters in the Illinois River valley, tables 35 and 36 and fig. 10. However, among drake mallards captured at McGinnis Slough in traps similar to those used at Lake Chautauqua, a higher proportion consisted of juveniles, table 37 and fig. 10, than among mallard drakes trapped at Lake Chautauqua or mallards bagged in the vicinity of that lake.

Despite marked differences in the size of samples between trapped and shot mallards, the two sampling procedures indicated similar year-to-year trends in age ratios, fig. 10. The statistical correlation in the annual changes in age ratios between mallard drakes trapped at Lake Chautauqua and mallards shot along the Illinois River was found to be significant (r=+0.96, 13 d.f.) at the 99 per cent level.

Mallards inspected in hunters' bags in the Illinois River valley (1939–1949) and mallard drakes taken in traps at Lake Chautauqua (1939–1944 and 1947) showed similar trends in age ratios for most of the hunting season, fig. 11. The trends tended to be parallel except in early December. The correlation between age ratios calculated weekly for the samples



Fig. 11.—Week-to-week changes in the adult percentage of the autumn flight of mallards in Illinois, as indicated by two sampling methods: checks of mallards in hunters' bags and inspection of mallard drakes caught in banding traps. Bag data are for the Illinois River valley, 1939–1949; trap data are for Lake Chautauqua, 1939–1944 and 1947.

of trapped and shot mallards was significant (r=+0.94, 7 d.f.) at the 99 per cent level.

Behavior may well account for the large juvenile proportion in the mallard drakes taken in traps at McGinnis Slough, fig. 10, and the small proportion in those taken in traps at Lake Chautauqua. As discussed under sex ratios, mallard drakes were observed to be more aggressive than hens in pushing their way into the traps at Lake Chautauqua. Perhaps adults shouldered young birds aside in aggressive efforts to get at the bait. Lake Chautauqua had a much greater density of mallards and comparatively less natural food than McGin-

Table 35	.—Numbe	er of juve	eniles per	· adul	t among	mallard	drakes	trapped	and band	led at	the
Chautauqua J	National	Wildlife	Refuge,	near	Havana,	Illinois	, 1939-	•1944 an	d 1947-1	959.	

Year	Number of Drakes Trapped and Banded	Per Cent Juveniles	JUVENILES PER ADULT	Approximate 95 Per Cent Confidence Limits
1939	2,574	42.3	0.73	0.67-0.80
1940	4,262	37.9	0.61	0.57-0.66
1941	3,200	34.1	0.52	0.47-0.56
1942	4,859	35.3	0.55	0.51-0.58
1943	4,655	40.6	0.68	0.64-0.73
1944	2,734	22.6	0.29	0.26-0.32
1947	1,481	41.1	0.70	0.62-0.78
1948	1,332	53.1	1.13	1.01-1.27
1949	3,597*	33.1	0.50	0.45-0.54
1950	$1,984^{*}$	34.1	0.52	0.47-0.57
1951	3,801*	37.7	0.61	0.56-0.65
1952	4,493	53.7	1.16	1.09-1.24
1953	1,048	44.9	0.82	0.72-0.92
1954	901	34.4	0.52	0.46 - 0.60
1955	998	41.1	0.70	0.61-0.79
1956	632	50.1	1.01	0.86-1.17
1957	856	29.6	0.42	0.35-0.49
1958	736	25.8	0.35	0.28-0.42
1959	93	9.7	0.11	0.04-0.18

*This figure differs from the corresponding figure in table 39 because it includes ducks banded with reward bands, which have shown a higher rate of return than standard bands (Bellrose 1955).

Table 36.—Nu	mber of juveni	les per adult a	among mallard d	rakes and her	is checked in
hunters' bags in III	inois, principally	the Illinois R	iver valley, 1939-	-1955 and 195	9.

Year	Number of Ducks Checked	Per Cent Juveniles	Juveniles Per Adult	Approximate 95 Per Cent Confidence Limits
1939	2,261	59.1	1.45	1.32-1.58
1940	3,564	58.7	1.42	1.33-1.52
1941	4,481	50.3	1.01	0.95 - 1.08
1942	1,809	54.2	1.18	1.07-1.30
1943	1,422	66.0	1.94	1.73-2.18
1944	2,167	54.3	1.19	1.09-1.30
1945	2,047	43.9	0.78	0.67-0.92
1946	1,317	55.5	1.25	1.11-1.40
1947	814	70.3	2.36	2.03 - 2.78
1948	1,215	76.0	3.18	2.78-3.63
1949	597	49.2	0.97	0.79-1.18
1950	581	60.4	1.53	1.28 - 1.82
1951	819	63.1	1.71	1.48 - 1.99
1952	1,209	62.3	1.65	1.46 - 1.87
1953	1,152	59.6	1.48	1.34-1.63
1954	458	47.6	0.91	0.75-1.10
1955	746	64.1	1.78	1.34-2.22
1959	247	25.5	0.34	0.30-0.38

YEAR	Number of Drakes Trapped and Banded	Per Cent Juveniles	JUVENILES Per Adult	Approximate 95 Per Cent Confidence Limits
1940 1941 1942 1943 1944 1945 1946 1947	$267 \\ 195 \\ 1,128 \\ 1,922 \\ 967 \\ 1,492 \\ 860 \\ 556$	$\begin{array}{c} 68.5\\ 59.5\\ 69.0\\ 67.1\\ 65.9\\ 54.9\\ 61.5\\ 74.3 \end{array}$	$2.18 \\ 1.47 \\ 2.22 \\ 2.04 \\ 1.93 \\ 1.22 \\ 1.60 \\ 2.89$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.68-2.85\\ 1.11-1.98\\ 1.96-2.54\\ 1.85-2.25\\ 1.69-2.22\\ 1.09-1.36\\ 1.39-1.85\\ 2.40-3.55\end{array}$

Table 37.—Number of juveniles per adult among mallard drakes trapped and banded at McGinnis Slough, Cook County, Illinois, 1940–1947.

nis Slough. This situation may have resulted in greater competition for food at Lake Chautauqua and consequently greater aggressiveness on the part of the adult mallard drakes. The greater frequency of juvenile drakes in traps at Mc-Ginnis Slough than at Lake Chautauqua may have resulted in part from greater wariness on the part of adult drakes. With an abundance of natural food at McGinnis Slough, many adults may have avoided the traps or ignored the foods they contained. Apparently, in one case (Lake Chautauqua) the traps were selective for adults while in the other (Mc-Ginnis Slough) they were selective for juveniles.

There was a significant correlation at the 99 per cent level between the age ratios of drake mallards trapped at Mc-Ginnis Slough, table 37, and the age ratios of mallards taken by hunters in the Illinois River valley, table 36 (r = +0.93, 6 d.f.).

Data obtained from retrapping mallard

drakes previously trapped and banded at Lake Chautauqua, table 38, indicated that the banding traps there were selective, sometimes for juveniles and sometimes for adults. During the period October 4-24, juveniles re-entered the traps with greater frequency than adults $(X^2 = 31.14, 1 \text{ d.f.})$ significant at the 99 per cent level). In contrast, during the period October 25-December 25, adults re-entered the traps with greater frequency than juveniles $(X^2=12.90, 1 \text{ d.f.}, \text{ significant at the same})$ level). These retrap data showed that early in the season, when mallard numbers were low and food competition was at a minimum, banding traps were selective for juveniles, but, when mallard numbers increased and food competition became greater, the traps were selective for adults. The bulk of the mallard population arrived in Illinois after October 25, and the banding traps at Lake Chautauqua were on the whole highly selective for adults.

A correlation, significant at the 99 per cent level, was found in each year for the

Table 38.—Number of adult and of juvenile mallard drakes trapped and banded and the per cent retrapped during two periods at the Chautauqua National Wildlife Refuge, near Havana, Illinois, 1940–1943.

	Adults					RATIO OF		
Period	Number			Number			PER CENT OF ADULTS RETRAP.	
	Trapped and Banded	F tra	₹e- pped	Per Cent Re- trapped	Trapped and Banded	Re- trapped	Per Cent Re- trapped	PFD TO PER CENT OF JUVE- NILES RE- TRAPPED
Oct. 4-24 Oct. 25-Dec. 25.	1,305 9,322		297 991	22.8 10.6	1,026 5,265	340 462	28.2 8.8	1:1.24 1:0.83

Table 39.—Comparative vulnerability (to	hunting) of adult and juvenile mallard drakes
banded at the Chautauqua National Wildlife	Refuge, near Havana, Illinois, 1939-1944 and
1947-1952; vulnerability measured by year-of-	banding recoveries. Ducks banded with reward
bands (Be.lrose 1955) in 1949, 1950, and 1951	were not included in this table.

Year		Number of Drakes Banded		Year-of Reco	-Banding veries	Number E Year-of Reco	Ratio of Adult to Juvenile	
		Adults	Juveniles	Adults	Juveniles	Adults	Juveniles	Vulner- ability
1939		1,486	1.088	65	49	22.9	22.2	1.1 03
1940		2,647	1,615	132	200	20.1	8.1	1:2.48
1941		2,110	1,090	57	43	37.0	25.4	1:1.46
1942		3,142	1,717	216	177	14.6	9.7	1:1.51
1943		2,763	1,892	138	146	20.0	13.0	1:1.54
1944		2,117	617	125	56	16.9	11.0	1:1.54
1947		871	610	31	34	28.1	17.9	1:1.57
1948		624	708	5	11	124.8	64.4	1:1.94
1949]	1,286	1,192	46	53	28.0	22.5	1:1.24
1950		449	359	24	27	18.7	13.3	1:1.41
1951		583	325	20	12	29.2	27.1	1:1.08
1952		2,082	2,411	60	151	34.7	16.0	1:2.17
All year	rs	20,160	13,624	919	959	21.9	14.2	1:1.54

relative constancy between the age ratios of mallard drakes banded at McGinnis Slough and of those banded at Chautauqua (r = +0.98, 5 d.f.), tables 35 and 37.

In spite of trap bias, ducks taken in traps are believed to provide a rough index to yearly changes in age ratios.

Inspection of Hunters' Bags.—It became apparent early in the study that juvenile ducks were more readily taken by hunters than were adults. Tests suggested that data obtained by trapping and banding ducks could be used to correct for the greater vulnerability of the juveniles. The following equation was used for this purpose:

	Number of adults banded during current season but before end of hunting season
Vulnerability quotient V=	Number of year-of-banding band recoveries from adults
	Number of juveniles banded dur- ing current season but before end of hunting season
	Number of year-of-banding band recoveries from juveniles

An example of the use of the equation with banding data for 1940 in table 39 follows:

$$V = \frac{\frac{2.647}{132}}{\frac{1.615}{200}} = \frac{20.1}{8.1} = 2.48$$

Mallards were banded at one or more stations in Illinois each year from October, 1939, through 1952, and the data obtained were used for determining the yearly variations in comparative vulnerability of juvenile and adult drakes, tables 39 and 40. Adult drakes banded at Mc-Ginnis Slough, table 40, experienced relatively greater losses from hunting than did those banded at Lake Chautauqua, table 39. The number of juvenile drakes banded per juvenile recovery was about the same at both places. The data indicate that greater hunting pressure was exerted on adult drakes banded at McGinnis Slough than on those banded at Chautauqua.

Black duck drakes banded at McGinnis Slough had about the same loss of juveniles to hunting, table 41, as did mallard drakes banded there, table 40. Black duck drakes banded at Lake Chautauqua, table 42, like mallard drakes banded there, table 39, experienced a lower rate of hunting loss among adults than did black duck drakes banded at McGinnis Slough, table 41. Apparently, in mallard and black duck drakes banded at Lake Chautauqua, the relatively lower hunting losses in adults resulted from the greater protection afforded them outside the banding station area. The Chautauqua National Wildlife Refuge is about 10 times as large as

Year	Number of Drakes Banded		Year-of Reco	-Banding veries	Number H Year-of Kec	Ratio of Adult to Juvenile	
	Adults	Juveniles	Adults	Juveniles	Adults	Juveniles	VULNER- ABILITY
1942 1943	350 632 330	778 1,290	26 21 33	66 57 67	13.5 30.1	11.8 22.6	1:1.14 1:1.33
1944 1945 1946	673 331 143	819 529 413	38 20 8	69 41 29	17.7	11.9 12.9 14 2	1:1.49 1:1.29
All years	2,459	4,466	146	329	16.8	13.6	1:1.24

Table 40.—Comparative vulnerability (to hunting) of adult and juvenile mallard drakes banded at McGinnis Slough, Cook County, Illinois, 1942–1947; vulnerability measured by yearof-banding recoveries.

Table 41.—Comparative vulnerability (to hunting) of adult and juvenile black duck drakes banded at McGinnis Slough, Cook County, Illinois, 1940–1947; vulnerability measured by year-of-banding recoveries.

Year	Number of Drakes Banded		Year-of Reco	-Banding veries	Number B Year-of Reco	Ratio of Adult to Juvenile	
	Adults	Juveniles	Adults	Juveniles	Adults	Juveniles	VULNER- ABILITY
1940 1941 1942 1943 1943 1944 1945 1946 1947	33 153 231 259 167 166 128 117	48 253 414 336 323 296 257 271	$ \begin{array}{r} 4 \\ 10 \\ 15 \\ 8 \\ 16 \\ 11 \\ 6 \\ 1 \end{array} $	6 16 32 16 39 31 18 9	8.3 15.3 15.4 32.4 10.4 15.1 21.3	8.0 15.8 12.9 21.0 8.3 9.6 14.3	1:1.04 1:0.97 1:1.19 1:1.54 1:1.25 1:1.57 1:1.49
All years	1,254	2,198	71	167	17.7	13.2	1:1.34

Table 42.—Comparative vulnerability (to hunting) of adult and juvenile black duck drakes banded at the Chautauqua National Wildlife Refuge, near Havana, Illinois, 1939–1944 and 1947–1950; vulnerability measured by year-of-banding recoveries.

Year	Number (Ban	of Drakes ided	Year-of- Recov	Banding	Number B Year-of Reco	Ratio of Adult to Juvenile	
	Adults	Juveniles	Adults	Juveniles	Adults	Juveniles	VULNER- ABILITY
1939	55	47	2	2	27.5	23.5	1:1.17
1940	93	68	4	6	23.3	11.3	1:2.06
1941	181	148	1	4	181.0	37.0	
1942	225	123	22	20	10.2	6.2	1:1.65
1943	188	115	9	9	20.9	12.8	1:1.63
1944	119	66	7	8	17.0	8.3	1:2.05
1947	70	63					
1948	39	48					
1949	216	165	3	11	72.0	15.0	1:4.80
1950	98	12	4	1	24.5	12.0	1:2.04
All years	1,284	855	52	61	24.7	14.0	1:1.76

McGinnis Slough; also, several other refuges occur within the 25- to 30-mile feeding radius of mallards congregating at Chautauqua, whereas none occurs within that distance of McGinnis Slough. It seems evident that adult mallards and drakes became less vulnerable, table 44, presumably as a result of increased experience with hunters. During the early part of the season, the juveniles were bagged about four times as readily as adults; late in the season they were bagged only about

Table 43.—Comparative vulnerability (to hunting) of adult and juvenile blue-winged teal drakes and hens banded in Illinois at McGinnis Slough, Cook County, 1942–1947, and at Moscow Bay, Mason County, 1949–1951; vulnerability measured by year-of-banding recoveries.

Year	Number of Ducks Banded		Year-of-Banding Recoveries		Number Banded Per Year-of-Banding Recovery		Ratio of Adult to Juvenile
	Adults	Juveniles	Adults	Juveniles	Adults	Juveniles	Vulner- ability
1942	156	535	7	29	22.3	18.5	1:1.21
1943	131	424	2	16	65.5	26.5	1:2.47
1944	304	534	8	45	38.0	11.9	1:3.19
1945	193	444	8	23	24.1	19.3	1:1.25
1946	90	686	1	9	90.0	76.2	1:1.18
1947	65	234	1	6	65.0	39.0	1:1.67
1949	169	1,071	0	34		31.5	
1950	152	505	2	22	76.0	23.0	1:3.30
1951	210	1,189	4	33	52.5	36.0	1:1.46
All years	1,470	5,622	33	217	44.6	25.9	1:1.72

black ducks at Chautauqua made good use of their acquired knowledge of protected areas.

Blue-winged teals banded at McGinnis Slough and Moscow Bay experienced greater differences between the two age groups in vulnerability to hunting, table 43, than did mallard drakes banded at Lake Chautauqua, table 39, or McGinnis Slough, table 40. Although blue-winged teals are generally considered to be less wary than mallards and black ducks, the development of wariness by juvenile bluewinged teals may be as rapid as that by juvenile mallards when the birds are subjected to hunting. Vulnerability in the blue-winged teal, as in other species, probably is related to the amount of experience that juveniles have had with hunters. Most of the juvenile blue-winged teals arrive in Illinois in advance of the open season in the northern zone and therefore are inexperienced with hunters at the time of their arrival. Most juvenile mallards and black ducks have been subjected to hunting by the time they reach Illinois.

Data collected in 1940, 1942, 1943, and 1952 showed that, as the hunting season progressed in Illinois, juvenile mallard one and one-half times as readily. Thus, age ratios obtained from hunters' bags on major wintering grounds would be less biased by the greater vulnerability of juveniles than samples taken earlier on breeding or migration areas. The year-to-year variations in the amount of hunting experience juveniles receive before they reach Illinois may be an important factor in determining the year-to-year variations in the vulnerability of juveniles in this state, tables 39 and 40. Mallard age ratios obtained from bag checks on the breeding grounds appear to be the ratios most biased by juvenile vulnerability, and those obtained on the wintering grounds are probably the least biased, table 52.

The relative vulnerability of juveniles and adults of several duck species have been calculated from recovery of birds banded on the Canadian breeding grounds, table 45. The recovery data for the teals and the shoveler show either no greater vulnerability among juveniles than among adults or a greater vulnerability among adults. However, as recovery data for blue-winged teals banded in Illinois over a period of several years show a markedly greater vulnerability of juveniles, table 43, it is suspected that some unusual

many juvenile teals and shovelers lost local conditions affected the Canadian bands, for, until 1957, the banding office bandings of these three species. Perhaps at the Patuxent Research Center, Laurel,

Table 44.—Seasonal change in comp	arative vulnerability	(to hunting) of adult an	nd juvenile
mallard drakes banded at the Chautauqu	a National Wildlife	Refuge, near Havana,	Illinois, in
1940, 1942, 1943, and 1952.			

Week	Number of Drakes Banded (Cumulative)		NUMBER O ERIES FO	of Recov- or Week	Number Per Re	Ratio of Adult to Juvenile	
	Adults	Juveniles	Adults	Juveniles	Adults	Juveniles	V ULNER- ABILITY
Oct. 4–10 Oct. 11–17 Oct. 18–24	112 493 1,700	90 452 1,224	0 1 8	0 6 24	493.0 212.5	75.3 51.0	1:6.55 1:4.17
Nov. 1- 7 Nov. 8-14	3,268 4,913 6,360 8,025	3,363 4,561 6,116	14 35 29	28 34 55 51	350.9 181.7 276.7	98.9 82.9 119.9	1:4.82 1:3.55 1:2.19 1:2.31
Nov. 22–28 Nov. 29–Dec. 5.	9,752	7,025 7,398 7,483	48 73 70	78 88 96	203.2 141.4 149.5	90.1 84.1 78.0	1:2.26
Dec. 13-19 Dec. 20-26 Dec. 27-Jan. 2	10,629 10,634 10,634	7,626 7,635 7,635	65 31 30	51 35 15	163.5 343.0 354.5	149.5 218.1 509.0	1:1.09 1:1.57 1.0.70
Jan. 3- 9 Jan. 10-16 Jan. 17-23	10,634 10,634 10,634	7,635 7,635 7,635	22 5 2	24 6 0	483.4 2,126.8	318.1 1,272.5	1:1.52 1:1.67
All weeks Total	10 634	7 635	err	501			
Average					24.0	12.9	1:1.86

Table 45.—Comparative vulnerability (to hunting) of adults and juveniles, both drakes and hens, of 10 species of ducks banded by Ducks Unlimited* in the prairie provinces of Can-ada, 1946–1954; vulnerability measured by year-of-banding recoveries.

Species	Number of Ducks Banded Species		Year-of Reco	-Banding veries	Number B Year-of Reco	Ratio of Adult to Juvenile	
	Adults	Juveniles	Adults	Juveniles	Adults	Juveniles	VULNER- ABILITY
Mallard. Gadwall. Baldpate. Pintail.	4,672 133 491 5,257	10,159 351 765 3,013	396 5 17 155	$ \begin{array}{r} 1,130 \\ 31 \\ 76 \\ 169 \end{array} $	11.8 26.6 28.9 33.9	9.0 11.3 10.1 17.8	1:1.31 1:2.35 1:2.86 1:1.90
teal	391	1,223	12	36	- 32.6	34.0	1:0.96†
teal Shoveler Redhead Canvasback Lesser scaup	1,809 117 715 50 393	2,716 261 358 125 1,716	42 7 32 4 14	63 12 56 29 119	43.1 16.7 22.3 12.5 28.1	43.1 21.7 6.4 4.3 14.4	1:1.00† 1:0.77† 1:3.48 1:2.91 1:1.95

*Compilation by William Leitch, Chief Biologist, Ducks Unlimited; data are from bandings by field personnel qualified to separate adult and juvenile ducks. †This ratio probably incorrect, perhaps partly as a result of loss of bands by newly banded juveniles and unusu-ally heavy losses among juveniles between banding and opening of hunting searon.

Maryland, recommended size 6 for the shoveler, although a size 5 is large enough for that species. Many banders used size 5 to mark green-winged teals, although size 4 is the proper size. Perhaps natural mortality was unusually severe in the young teals and shovelers between the time of banding and the opening of the hunting season; very few bands are recovered from ducks that are not bagged by hunters. Still another possible explanation for unexpectedly low relative vulnerability rates for juveniles on the Canadian breeding grounds has been posed by Robert I. Smith of the Illinois Natural History Survey. Smith has observed on the breeding grounds that fall flocking behavior of juveniles differs from that of adults. Prior to migration, juveniles tend to congregate, while many adults remain as single hens of male-female pairs. The nature and time of this flocking behavior, Smith believes, varies with species and with the success and duration of the nesting season. Flock size is probably inversely correlated with vulnerability, thus tending to give greater protection to the congregated juveniles than to the single adult hens or paired adults.

Band recovery data showed pronounced differences among species in the vulnerability rates of juveniles banded in Canada, table 45. Among species other than the teals and the shoveler, juveniles were least vulnerable to the gun in the mallard and most vulnerable in the redhead. Other data obtained from bandings at national wildlife refuges also disclosed wide variations in juvenile vulnerability; they showed the mallard with a comparatively low juvenile vulnerability rate, followed by the pintail, and showed the redhead with the highest vulnerability rate.

Thus, the gun vulnerability of juveniles compared to that of adults was found to vary by place, time of hunting season, year, and species.

Gun vulnerability figures for correcting age ratios obtained by checking hunters' bags in one or more flyways can best be obtained by banding adults and juveniles in southern Canada just prior to the opening of the hunting season.

When adequate data from banded ducks are available, they provide a means of testing for and, if necessary, correcting for the relatively greater vulnerability of juveniles. We believe that age ratios of ducks obtained from bag samples and corrected for the greater vulnerability of juveniles offer the best means of determining the adult-juvenile composition of duck populations. However, before these data are used to evaluate production, an appraisal of the influence of season and geography on age ratio samples is needed.

Examination of Disease Victims. —At times, age ratios have been obtained from large samples of ducks which have been victims of disease. During fowl

Table 46.—Juvenile percentages among	botulism victims in five species of ducks at the Bear
River Migratory Bird Refuge, Utah, during	late summer, 1952.*

	Mal	LARD	Pin	TAIL	Green- Te	Winged al	Shov	ELER	Bali	PATE
Period	Num- ber	Per Cent Juve- niles	Num- ber	Per Cent Juve- niles	Num- ber	Per Cent Juve- niles	Num- be r	Per Cent Juve- niles	Num- ber	Per Cent Juve- niles
August										
1st week	48	39.6	486	51.2						
2nd week	38	52.6	560	50.5				· · · <u>·</u> · · <u>·</u> ·		
3rd week	34	44.1	601	61.7	128	41.4	26	57.7		
4th week	87	41.4	2,392	50.6	651	42.7	94	46.8	26	38.5
September										
Îst week	29	44.8	1,211	44.3	431	43.6	81	38.3	65	52.3
2nd week	38	39.5	781	47.1	371	47.7	107	42.1	62	46.8

*Calculated from data in a report, "Sex and Age Ratio of Waterfowl Afflicted by *Clostridium botulinum*, Type C, on the Bear River Migratory Bird Refuge During Summer, 1952," by Jack P. Allen, Utah Cooperative Wildlife Research Unit, Logan.

cholera epizootics in wild ducks, records have been made of the species and sex affected, but little attention has been given to the ages of victims. In Utah, large numbers of waterfowl that were victims of botulism at the Ogden Bay Bird Refuge were classified as juveniles or adults by Noland F. Nelson, tables 21 and 22, and ducks lost to botulism at the Bear River Migratory Bird Refuge were similarly classified by Jack P. Allen, table 46. The degree to which botulism toxin is selective for the two age groups has not been investigated.

Epizootics resulting in extensive loss of waterfowl cannot be relied upon as dependable sources of data on age ratios because of irregular occurrence and site limitations. However, advantage should be taken of such occasions for the purpose of obtaining supplementary age data and for investigating the extent to which disease may be selective for age classes.

Seasonal Variations in Age Ratios

Because adults and juveniles, like drakes and hens, have different migration schedules, age ratios calculated for any given area have seasonal variations.

Differential migratory movements of adult and juvenile age groups often originate on the breeding grounds, where most of the adult drakes of most species leave their mates early in the nesting period to congregate on large lakes and marshes; these areas may be in the immediate breeding area or up to hundreds of miles distant. After the broods become independent, the hens leave them and molt their flight feathers but usually remain in the area where they nested (Hochbaum 1944: 119, 122). Hens that have been unsuccessful in their nesting efforts may join the drakes on the lakes or marshes, where they molt.

Certain large lakes and marshes on the breeding grounds serve ducks as gathering areas preceding southward migration. One of these areas is the Delta Marsh at the south end of Lake Manitoba, Canada. The number of juveniles per adult among mallards in hunters' bags on that marsh was checked for several weeks in 1946 and 1947, tables 47 and 48. There was a reduction in the number of juveniles per adult from the third week to the fourth week in September, 1946, followed by a gradual increase in the number of juveniles per adult until the first week in November, when a very sharp decrease took place. There was a marked decrease in the number of juveniles per adult in the period October 20-25, 1947. When the

Table 47.—Number of juveniles per adult among mallards checked in hunters' bags on the Delta Marsh, Manitoba, in each of 6 weeks in the autumn of 1946.

Period	Number of Ducks Checked	Per Cent Juve- niles	Juve- niles Per Adult
September 3rd week 4th week October	707 146	67 49	2.03 0.96
1st week 2nd week 3rd week	329 231 196	54 61 63	1.17 1.57 1.70
lst week	33	15	0.18

Table 48.—Number of juveniles per adult among mallards checked in hunters' bags on the Delta Marsh, Manitoba, in each of three periods in the autumn of 1947.

Period	Number of Ducks Checked	Per Cent Juve- niles	Juve- niles Per Adult
Oct. 10	121	72	2.57
Oct. 13–18	174	71	2.45
Oct. 20–25	163	51	1.04

changes in these age ratios were tested statistically, it was found that in both years the changes were significant at the 99 per cent level (1946, X^2 =55.04, 5 d.f.; 1947, X^2 =19.34, 2 d.f.).

A late hatch, sometimes as a result of delay in initial nesting and sometimes as a result of severe losses of early nests, may delay the appearance of juvenile mallards in Illinois, as in 1939, 1943, 1945, 1947, 1949, and 1950, fig. 12. The effect of a late hatch may be shown in the degree of retention of juvenile plumage by young during the southward migration, as was evident in juvenile mallards in Illinois in 1950 and 1953. Breeding grounds surveys indicated a delayed or extended hatch in 1950 (Hawkins 1950:42; Sowls 1950:



Fig. 12.—Year-to-year variations in the seasonal migration of juvenile mallards through the Illinois River valley, 1939-1950, as shown by the proportion of each year's juvenile flight that was in the valley in each of 6 weeks in autumn.

60) and in 1953 (Lynch & Gollop 1954: 47; Gollop 1954:67; Hawkins 1954:77).

That the age composition of the mallard population in Illinois varied from week to week in the fall is shown by checks of hunters' bags, table 44. In any one year, pronounced week-to-week variations in the age composition of mallards taken by hunters in Illinois suggest that there may be many migratory movements, some scarcely detectable, within a local population.

The adult-juvenile composition of the mallard flight in Illinois for the period 1939–1949 is reflected in fig. 13. Generally, juveniles made up a greater part of the mallard bag early in the season than later. The juvenile proportion in hunters' bags soon declined, as indicated by data collected during the first half of November; it recovered somewhat during the second half of November but declined again during the first 2 weeks of December. The decline in December resulted as juveniles moved farther south and large numbers of adults moved into Illinois from the north.

In 6 of 7 years, juvenile mallards in Arkansas formed a greater proportion of the hunters' bags in the second than in the first of two periods during which data were collected, table 49. The findings shown in fig. 13 and tables 49 and 50 suggest that, between the mid-flyway areas (Illinois) and the wintering grounds (Arkansas), juveniles may be more prone to leisurely migration than adults.

The daily change in age composition of the mallard bag at Stuttgart, Arkansas, for December 2–11, 1950, is given in table 50. A marked change in the relative number of juveniles in the bag occurred on December 8. A large southward flight of mallards from Illinois on December 7, as a result of zero weather and snow, contained a relatively large number of juve-



Fig. 13.—Juvenile-adult composition of the autumn flight of mallards in Illinois, as indicated by checks of hunters' bags in the autumns of 1939–1949.

Hunting Season	Period of Sampling	Number of Ducks Checked	Per Cent Juveniles	JUVENILES Per Adult	Probability That Change Resulted From Chance
1946	Nov. 23-26 Nov. 27- Dec. 1	1,889 1,461	55 52	1.22 1.08	<0.05>0.025
1947	Dec. 8-11 Dec. 12-14	2,064 1,253	59 67	1.44 2.03	<0.005
1948	Nov. 26-31 Dec. 2-4	2,035 965	68 71	2.12 2.45	<0.10>0.05
1949	Nov. 18–21 Dec. 26–27	1,830 274	47 51	0.89 1.04	<0.05>0.10
1950	Dec. 2-11 Dec. 28-29	3,712 149	42 46	0.72 0.85	<0.50>0.25
1951	Nov. 22–23 Dec. 1–8	500 2,500	59 64	1.44 1.78	<0.05>0.025
1952	Dec. 6–9	997	65	1.86	
1953	Nov. 27–28 Dec. 21–22	341 230	43 48	0.74 0.92	<0.50>0.25
1954-55	[an. 4-5	408	49	0.96	
1955-56	Jan. 7–8	458	58	1.38	
1958	Dec. 8–10	1,139	32	0.47	
1959	Nov. 30- Dec. 1	1,053	23	0.29	

Table 49.—Number of juveniles per adult among mallards checked in hunters' hags at Stuttgart, Arkansas, in 12 hunting seasons.

nile ducks. Its impact on age ratios in Arkansas seemed apparent. When age ratios before and after the influx of the juvenile mallards from Illinois into Arkansas were statistically compared, the difference was found to be significant $(X^2=45.6, 1 \text{ d.f.})$ at the 99 per cent level.

Because Utah is a breeding ground for several species of ducks, an important molting area for several species, and an important migration area for many species, it might be anticipated that age ratios in this state would show a complex relationship to migration.

Records on ducks taken in traps at Ogden Bay, Utah (Fuller & Low 1951:42), indicated that "the earlier arrivals were immature birds, adults showing up more frequently from the last of August, . .." This evidence from trapping operations late in summer plus declining numbers of juvenile pintails during the fall in the bags of Utah hunters, fig. 14, suggest the possibility of a pronounced movement of juvenile pintails through Utah before the hunting season. According to J. B. Gollop of the Canadian Wildlife Service (personal communication), there was good evidence in Saskatchewan in 1952 that a mass exodus of juvenile pintails occurred during late August.

A tally of adult and juvenile ducks that were victims of botulism during August and the first half of September, 1952, on the Bear River Migratory Bird Refuge, table 46, also provides data on the age

Table 50.—Number of juveniles per adult among mallards checked in hunters' bags at Stuttgart, Arkansas, in each of 10 days in December, 1950.

Date	Number of Ducks Checked	Per Cent Juve- niles	Juve- niles Per Adult
Dec 2	535	39.6	0.66
3	771	38.9	0.64
4	584	38.2	0.62
5	345	33.3	0.50
6	59	32.2	0.48
7	100	38.0	0.61
8	240	58.3	1.41
9	372	46.5	0.87
10	469	48.0	0.92
11	237	47.3	0.89
Dec. 2–11	3,712	42.0	0.72

ratios of ducks in a Utah area before the hunting season. These data, unlike the data from ducks trapped at Ogden Bay, do not show a large juvenile duck population prior to the hunting season. Perhaps adults are more susceptible to botulism than juveniles, or perhaps there were differences in age composition between the duck populations on these two marshes, which are about 25 miles apart. Such differences were reflected in hunters' bags checked on the two marshes, tables 55, 56, and 57. Further study of the composition of Utah duck populations seems very desirable, because of the differences in age ratios and the importance of seasonal influences on age ratios in that state.

Mallard migration in Utah in six autumns of the 1940's, fig. 14, was somewhat similar to that in Illinois, fig. 13. Juveniles were most abundant early in the season; their proportion in the bag steadily decreased to the November 16-30 period, after which it remained fairly constant. In Utah, the age pattern of migrating pintails tended to reflect that of migrating mallards, fig. 14. The greenwinged teal showed a rather steady decrease in the relative number of juveniles as the season progressed, while the shoveler had a ratio of adults to juveniles that remained about the same throughout the season.

Thus, in the selection of strategic sites for collecting age data on ducks, and in the evaluation of age ratios, migration schedules of waterfowl must be considered. In some species, much of the migration occurs outside of the hunting season; in such species, age ratios calculated from data collected from hunters' bags may not be representative of the populations. Un-



Fig. 14.—Juvenile-adult composition of the autumn flights of mallards, green-winged teals, pintails, and shovelers in Utah, as indicated by checks of hunters' bags in the autumns of 1943, 1944, and 1946–1949.

less traps are operated effectively throughout the period of migration, they, too, will provide biased data.

Age ratios obtained from mallards while on their wintering grounds undoubtedly are relatively unbiased by seasonal movements. It should not be assumed that a similar statement would be true for all species. For example, in the pintail, birds of the two sexes and ages tend to flock separately in winter, and shifting of these flocks along the Texas coast is common.

Regional Variations in Age Ratios

Regional variations in the age ratios of ducks first became apparent to the writers when data on the ducks checked in hunters' bags in Utah, Texas, and Illinois during the fall of 1943 were compared. Since that time, data which provide for further evaluation of regional differences in age ratios have become available, tables 51–57.

Mallards.—The juvenile percentage in mallards checked in hunters' bags in each of eight areas of the Mississippi Flyway is shown in fig. 15. Juveniles made up a large proportion of the ducks that were taken in Manitoba because the adult drakes tend to migrate from there early, and the juveniles are more vulnerable to shooting early in the hunting season than at any other time. It is not known why the juvenile proportion of the mallards taken by hunters in Ohio (the marshes at Sandusky Bay) was so much greater than that taken by hunters in Michigan (the Pointe Mouillee Marsh, which is less than 50 miles from Sandusky Bay).

The progressive north to south decrease in the juvenile proportion of the mallard population, as shown by checks of hunters' bags in Manitoba, the Upper Mississippi areas, the Illinois River valley areas, and the Arkansas areas, table 51 and fig. 15, reflects both a progressive decline in the juvenile population and a decline in vulnerability to hunting as a consequence of increasing wariness among juveniles.



Fig. 15.—Juvenile percentage in mallards checked in hunters' bags in each of eight areas of the Mississippi Flyway, 1946–1949.

One question of concern to students of age ratios is: "Can age ratios at any one place be used to indicate yearly changes in production?" Table 51 and fig. 16 show the yearly trends in the juvenile proportion of the mallard population in a number of areas. Bag checks in Manitoba are in general agreement with those in the Mississippi River basin areas in showing increases in the juvenile component in

1947 and 1948 and a decrease in 1949. Year-to-year differences in the time of departure of adults and juveniles from the breeding grounds probably explain some of the differences between mallard age ratios taken in Manitoba and those taken in the Mississippi River basin areas.

Year-to-year changes in the juvenile proportion of the mallard populations of the Great Lakes areas—principally the

Table 51.-Number of juveniles per adult among mallards checked in hunters' bags in 13 regions of North America, 1946-1949.

termina and the second s										
	19	946	19	947	19	948	19	949	1946	-1949
Region	Number of Ducks Checked	Juveniles Per Adult	Number of Ducks Checked	Juveniles Per Adult	Number of Ducks Checked	Juveniles Per Adult	Number of Ducks Checked	Juveniles Per Adult	Number of Ducks Checked	Juveniles Per Adult
The Pas, Manitoba. Netley, Manitoba. Delta, Manitoba. Upper Mississippi River. Wisconsin Michigan Ohio Indiana. Illinois River valley. Missouri. Arkansas Nebraska. Utah.	1,874 490 333 271 993 1,317 327 3,350 853	1.46 1.29 3.44 2.15 4.84 1.25 1.75 1.16	1,032 903 496 653 287 212 940 814 518 3,317 2,514 2,067	$\begin{array}{c} 14.71\\ 4.17\\ 1.70\\ 2.72\\ 3.95\\ 1.08\\ 3.22\\ \end{array}$	1,248 251 1,192 820 357 819 369 1,215 408 3,000 1,749 1,216	5.71 5.12 3.00 3.69 1.25 6.04 0.86 3.18 2.92 2.22 1.81 0.74	1,537 893 235 1,821 242 735 677 597 1,094 2,104 1,252 1,062	8.80 2.92 0.93 1.76 1.82 2.62 1.19 0.97 1.04 0.91 0.99 0.88	2,569 3,044 2,570 3,261 1,082 3,487 1,046 3,943 2,347 11,771 5,515 5,198	10.634.291.622.182.331.503.901.071.771.481.431.600.78
Utan	853	1,16	2,067	0.66	1,216	0./4	1,062	0.88	5,198	0.7

Table 52.-Number of juveniles per adult among mallards checked in hunters' bags in Manitoba, Illinois, and Arkansas, 1946-1955 and 1959.

	Number	of Juveniles Pi	Difference in Numbers of Juveniles Per Adult		
Year	Manitoba (Delta)	Illinois (Illinois River Valley)	Arkansas (Stuttgart)	Manitoba and Illinois	Illinois and Arkansas
1946	1.46 1.70 5.12 1.62 0.78 2.14†	$ \begin{array}{c} 1.25\\2.36\\3.18\\0.97\\1.53\\1.71\\1.65\\1.48\\0.91\\1.78\\0.34\\\end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{c} 1.16\\ 1.63\\ 2.22\\ 0.91\\ 0.73\\ 1.71\\ 1.86\\ 0.82\\ 1.04\\ 1.40\\ 0.29\\ 1.25 \end{array} $	0.21 0.66* 1.94 0.71 0.44 0.53	$\begin{array}{c} 0.09\\ 0.73\\ 0.96\\ 0.06\\ 0.80\\ 0.00^*\\ 0.21^*\\ 0.66\\ 0.13^*\\ 0.38\\ 0.05\\ 0.31\\ \end{array}$
Average	2.14†	1.61† 1.56‡	1.25‡	0.53	0.31

*Only in 1947 did Illinois exceed Manitoba in number of juveniles per adult; only in 1951, 1952, and 1954 did Illinois fail to exceed Arkansas in number of juveniles per adult. †For years in which data were available for both Manitoba and Illinois. ‡For years in which data were available for both Illinois and Arkansas.

Horicon Marsh in Wisconsin, the Pointe Mouillee Marsh in Michigan, the marshes at Sandusky Bay in Ohio—show little correlation with changes in the Mississippi River basin areas, fig. 16. However, the Great Lakes areas are frequented by only a small proportion of the mallard population of the Mississippi Flyway; these areas are to the east of the principal routes used by mallards migrating between their breeding and wintering areas.

There was reasonably close agreement in the year-to-year fluctuations in the number of juvenile mallards per adult in hunters' bags in the Mississippi River basin areas: the Upper Mississippi River, the Illinois River valley, and the Stuttgart, Arkansas, area, table 51 and fig. 16. There was a highly significant relationship between the age ratios of mallards bagged in the Upper Mississippi River area, Illinois River valley, Missouri, and Arkansas during the period 1946–1949, table 51, as demonstrated by a correlation coefficient of r = +0.969 or higher, which indicated that the probability that the correlation was due to chance was less than 0.01.

The age ratios for mallards bagged in the Illinois River valley were close to those for mallards taken in the Stuttgart, Arkansas, area in all but 2 (1950 and 1953) of 11 years (1946–1955 and 1959), table 52 and fig. 16. The lack of agreement in the age ratios from the two areas in 1950 and 1953 is believed to have been related to a delayed hatch on the breeding grounds followed by a somewhat delayed movement of juveniles to Illinois, where a high kill of these young birds occurred.

In 1950, mild weather induced large numbers of mallards to remain on the breeding grounds until November 7, when a severe cold front resulted in an unusually large exodus. The ducks moved rapidly down the flyway, and the adults passed through Illinois without stopping so long as is customary. Because of the unusually rapid movement from the breeding grounds to the heavily shot mid-flyway areas, juvenile mallards had not been much exposed to hunting by the time they arrived in Illinois and, thus, were more vulnerable to hunting than in most other years.

Fluoroscopy of live-trapped ducks in 1953, in revealing an unusually low percentage of juveniles with shot wounds, indicated that the young of that year, like those of 1950, had not been much exposed to hunting before their arrival in Illinois.



Fig. 16.—Year-to-year changes in the juvenile percentage in mallards checked in hunters' bags in each of several areas of North America, 1946–1955.

	Ві.аск	Duck	Pin	TAIL	Bali	OPATE	Red	HEAD	Les Sc.	SER
Region	Number Checked	Juveniles Per Adult	Number Checked	Juveniles Per Adult	Number Checked	Juveniles Per Adult	Number Checked	Juveniles Per Adult	Number Checked	Juveniles Per Adult
Manitoba. Wisconsin. Michigan. Ohio Indiana. Illinois River valley Utah.	208 374 419 67 58	3.35 1.08 3.54 1.78 2.82	145 248 102 258 126 2,210	4.88 4.66 0.96 6.14 2.03 0.79	337 547 191 79 1,142	$ \begin{array}{c} 11.37\\ 10.11\\ 3.00\\ 4.26\\ \\ \\ 2.32\\ \end{array} $	388 212 56	24.00 6.77 2.70 3.76	275 296 117	2.59 2.12 3.76

Table 53.—Number of juveniles per adult in five species of ducks checked in hunters' bags in seven regions of North America, 1948.

The lack of hunting experience by juveniles before reaching Illinois in 1950 and 1953, plus the abnormally rapid flight of adults through Illinois in 1950, resulted in the unusually large differences between Illinois and Arkansas in the number of juveniles per adult in the mallard bag in those years, table 52.

With infrequent exceptions, such as those in 1950 and 1953, it appears that age ratios taken along the main stem of the Mississippi Flyway from Delta, Manitoba, to Stuttgart, Arkansas, provide an index to the yearly productivity of the mallard in the flyway.

Other Species. — Considerable regional variation in the number of juveniles per adult was found in 1948 for each of five species of ducks checked in hunters' bags in Manitoba, states of the Mississippi Flyway, and Utah, table 53. Two of the four species checked in Manitoba had more juveniles per adult in that province than in other localities.

Regional variations in age ratios among the several species and within any given

Table 54.—Number of juveniles per adult among mallards checked in hunters' bags in two regions of the Illinois River valley, 1939.

REGION	Number of Ducks Checked	Per Cent Juve- niles	Juve- niles Per Adult
Upper	1,203	60.4	1.52
Lower	1,058	57.7	1.36

species probably result in part from differences in seasonal movements among the species and between juveniles and adults. It is assumed that regional variations in age ratios derived from inspection of hunters' bags would be most pronounced in those species of ducks that depart from the breeding grounds early in the migration season. Because the hunting seasons for the various states do not coincide and because the migration schedules of adults and juveniles of a given species may differ, adults of a species may receive greater hunting pressure in one state, juveniles in another.

In an unpublished report for 1954, Milton W. Weller, then of the University of Missouri, pointed out the diverse movements of the two redhead age classes in Manitoba. Many adult redheads moved northward to molting areas following the breeding season, while most juveniles remained on breeding areas. The redhead bag in the molting areas showed a low juvenile percentage, whereas the bag in the breeding areas showed a high juvenile percentage. Weller speculated that differences in the migration schedules of adults and juveniles might influence bag data all along the migration routes.

The juvenile component in the population of each duck species investigated has tended to be so low in Utah, tables 53 and 55, as to warrant special attention. The marshes around Great Salt Lake have long been the scene of unusually heavy concentrations of early-migrating waterfowl. As census records showed, peaks in waterfowl populations at the Bear River Migratory Bird Refuge in 1946, 1947, and 1948 occurred before the season opened (Van Den Akker & Wilson 1951: 373). Because juveniles in hunters' bags decreased proportionately as the hunting season progressed, fig. 14, the possibility is raised that flights which are top-heavy in juveniles may leave Utah before the hunting season opens. If this assumption is substantiated in subsequent investigations, it would partially account for the abnormally large number of adults in the bags of Utah hunters.

No doubt some differences between the age ratios representing various bag inspection stations have resulted from differences in the character and size of areas sampled. In some cases, age ratio data representing a checking station may be from only a single, relatively small area, such as the Delta Marsh or Netley Marsh in Manitoba. In other cases, the data may be from many marshes representing many

Table 55.—Number of juveniles per adult in five species of ducks checked in hunters' bags in two areas adjacent to the Great Salt Lake, Utah, in 1947.

	NUMBER OF DUCKS CHECKED		JUVENILES PER ADULT		PROBABILITY
Species	Ogden Bay	Farmington Bay	Ogden Bay	Farmington Bay	DIFFERENCE Is DUE TO CHANCE
Mallard Gadwall Baldpate Pintail Green-winged teal Shoveler	677 398 422 1,374 727 419	373 276 276 1,281 446 357	0.68 2.36 3.70 1.25 1.62 2.19	$ \begin{array}{r} 1.01\\ 0.85\\ 1.76\\ 0.75\\ 2.22\\ 3.01 \end{array} $	$\begin{array}{c} < 0.005 \\ < 0.0005 \\ < 0.0005 \\ < 0.0005 \\ < 0.025 \\ < 0.005 \\ < 0.005 \end{array}$

Table 56.—Number of juveniles per adult in six species of ducks checked in hunters' bags in two areas adjacent to the Great Salt Lake, Utah, in 1948.

	NUMBER OF DUCKS CHECKED		Juveniles Per Adult		PROBABILITY
Species	Ogden Bay	Farmington Bay	Ogden Bay	Farmington Bay	I HAT DIFFERENCE Is Due to Chance
Mallard Gadwall Baldpate Pintail Green-winged teal Shoveler	817 429 1,142 2,210 1,069 687	247 205 320 761 395 386	0.88 1.01 2.30 0.78 0.88 2.06	$\begin{array}{c} 0.77\\ 1.20\\ 2.44\\ 0.64\\ 0.78\\ 1.96\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} < 0.20 > 0.10 \\ < 0.40 > 0.30 \\ < 0.90 > 0.80 \\ < 0.0005 \\ < 0.30 > 0.20 \\ < 0.80 > 0.70 \end{array}$

Table 57.—Number of juveniles per adult in six species of ducks checked in hunters' bags in two areas adjacent to the Great Salt Lake, Utah, in 1949.

	Number of Ducks Checked		JUVENILES PER ADULT		PROBABILITY
Species	Ogden Bay	Farmington Bay	Ogden Bay	Farmington Bay	DIFFERENCE Is Due to Chance
Mallard Gadwall Baldpate Pintail Green-winged teal Shoveler	541 1,153 296 2,027 1,212 555	380 322 334 871 567 614	0.66 0.48 3.00 0.51 0.52 1.04	0.87 0.85 0.90 0.54 0.77 1.12	$\begin{array}{c} < 0.05 \\ < 0.0005 \\ < 0.0005 \\ < 0.50 > 0.40 \\ < 0.0005 \\ < 0.60 > 0.50 \end{array}$

acres. For example, mallards checked at Stuttgart, Arkansas, were shot on at least 20 different swamp or reservoir tracts scattered over an area having a 25-mile radius. Most of the mallards checked in the Illinois River valley were bagged at 10 clubs distributed over a linear distance of 100 miles.

Only a slight difference in the number of juvenile mallards per adult between populations of the upper and lower sections of the Illinois River valley was found in 1939, table 54. This difference was not significant at the 90 per cent level $(X^2=1.79, 1 \text{ d.f.})$.

Much greater differences in number of juveniles per adult for several species of ducks were found between populations of two marshes 25 miles apart and adjacent to the Great Salt Lake, Utah, in 1947– 1949, tables 55–57. The probability that the differences were the result of chance is shown in tables 55–57. There was a statistically significant difference between age ratios in the two areas in 11 of 18 tests.

These data suggest that the age composition of migrating flocks differs and that fortuitous circumstances result in flocks especially numerous in birds of one age class or the other in a particular marsh. Where only one waterfowl area in a region has been sampled, as Winous Point in Ohio or Pointe Mouillee in Michigan, the age ratios derived may or may not reflect those for the entire region.

For species other than the mallard, regional data are not adequate to permit evaluation of the age ratios derived in any one area. For each of these species, we have compiled data from as many areas as possible in the Mississippi Flyway on the assumption that data for the total flyway represent the species better than the data from any one area and reflect year-to-year changes in the age composition of the population.

Factors Affecting Age Ratios

Age ratios can be used for appraising the productivity of ducks if the data on which they are based have been carefully evaluated as to the effect of seasonal, regional, and shooting biases. Sufficient data for calculating age ratios corrected for differences between juveniles and adults in vulnerability to hunting have been accumulated for the mallard in the Mississippi Flyway, table 58 and fig 17. Most of the data used in the table and graph



Fig. 17.—Uncorrected and corrected numbers of juvenile mallards per adult in bags of Mississispip Flyway hunters in each of several years; uncorrected numbers, 1939–1959; corrected numbers, which compensate for differences in hunting vulnerability between adults and juveniles, 1939–1955. Points on the graph for 1939–1955 are based principally on Illinois data, table 58. Points for 1956–1959 are based on data from Missouri, table 59. Because data for 1955 showed the number of juveniles per adult among Illinois mallards (1.78) to be about 10 per cent less than the number among Missouri mallards (1.99), the point for each year in the period 1956– 1959 represents a figure that is 10 per cent less than the corresponding figure in table 59.

the les	
55; ⁄eni	
i	
939. een	
s, 1 etw	
inoi be	
III	
erei	
diff	
prin for	
te, I	
ywa	
npe	
ippi	
siss ers	
Mis umb	
he]	
of t cted	
ns	
atio co	
pul	
gs;	
lard ba	
nal ers'	
ini	
of F	
er }	
d p ecti	
t an nsp	
duli n	
er a fro	
s pe lata	
nile on d	
uve upo ntin	
of j sed hu	
ber ba	
umb are ility	
-N ers	
58 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	
d ni u vi	
Tal scte	
orre dult	
5 č	

Corrected Number of Juveniles Per Adult Hen in Bag	4.09 1.30 2.22 2.22 2.45 2.45 1.33 2.45 1.33 2.45 1.33 2.40 2.00 2.00 2.00 2.00 2.00 2.00 2.00	\$*\$8 6*6
Number of Adult Hens in Bag	317 610 697 697 157 157 379 379 379 98 114 114 172 97 97 97 97 97	4,740
Corrected Number of Juveniles Per Adult in Bag	$\begin{array}{c} 1.40\\ 0.57\\ 0.69\\ 0.79\\ 0.77\\ 0.44\\ 1.50\\ 1.50\\ 0.76\\ 0.57\\ 0.57\\ 0.57\\ 0.57\\ 1.59\\ 1.59\\ 1.59\\ 0.55\\ 1.44\\ 1.44\end{array}$	0.79**
Uncorrected Number of Juveniles Per Adult in Bag	$\begin{array}{c} 1.45\\ 1.42\\ 1.42\\ 1.42\\ 1.18\\ 1.19\\ 1.19\\ 1.25\\ 2.36\\ 0.73\\ 3.18\\ 3.18\\ 0.73\\ 3.18\\ 0.73\\ 1.71\\ 1.71\\ 1.73\\ 1.73\\ 1.78\\ 1.78\\ 1.78\\ 1.78\end{array}$	1.21**
Number of Adults in Bag	924 1,471 2,226 829 829 991 1,149 242 242 242 234 302 302 302 302 314 258 314 258	13,309
Corrected Number of Juveniles in Population	1, 298 844 1, 544 653 653 610 7610 764 764 764 764 764 764 764 764 764 766 775 237 1, 281 1, 544 1, 546 1, 544 1, 546 1, 546 1, 546 1, 547 1, 247 1,	10,518
RATIO OF ADULT TO JUVENILE VULMERABILITY TO HUNTING (DRAKES)	$\begin{array}{c} 1:1.03\\1:2.48\\1:1.51\\1:1.51\\1:1.54\\1:1.54\\1:1.57\\1:1.57\\1:1.78\\1:1.78\\1:1.78\\1:1.27\\1:1.08\\1:1.08\\1:1.27\\1:1.55\\1:$	Control of Character and Control
Number of Juveniles in Bag	$\begin{array}{c} 1,337\\ 2,093\\ 2,255\\ 980\\ 980\\ 939\\ 1,176\\ 898\\ 731\\ 572\\ 572\\ 572\\ 572\\ 572\\ 572\\ 572\\ 753\\ 753\\ 753\\ 753\\ 753\\ 753\\ 753\\ 753$	16,049
YEAR	1939 1940 1941 1942 1943 1944 1946 1946 1946 1946 1946 1946 1946	All years.

Thumbers of juveniles and adults for 1950 and 1953 from Arkansas, the ducks included in table 49; numbers of juveniles and adults for other years from Illinois, the ducks in-cluded in table 36; ratio of adult to juvenile vulnerability for 1950 and 1953 explained on page 451, ratios for most other years from table 39. #Average based on total numbers of individuals.

un-and

were collected in Illinois, from both Illinois River and Mississippi River areas. Data from Arkansas were used for 1950 and 1953 because unusual breeding grounds and migration conditions in those years had less influence on the migration in that state than in Illinois. Data from Missouri were used for 1956–1958 because no data were available for Illinois in those years and because data from both states for 1955 permitted correction of the Missouri data. An appraisal of the effect of seasonal, regional, and hunting bias on the age ratios calculated for the mallard in the Mississippi Flyway is given below.

Seasonal Bias .- Age ratios have been determined for large numbers of population samples by inspection of ducks in hunters' bags throughout many hunting seasons in Illinois. Although the Illinois hunting seasons have varied as to opening date and length, most of each southward mallard migration has occurred during the open season (Bellrose 1944:346-50). Inasmuch as sample size was determined partly by hunter success, which in turn was determined partly by population size, the numbers of birds in the samples were approximately proportional to the numbers of birds in the populations sampled. For these reasons, we believe that in most years there was little, if any, seasonal bias in the age ratios derived from checking mallards in hunters' bags in Illinois.

Regional Bias.—Unpublished population data and records from the recovery of bands indicate that the largest segments of the mallard population in the Mississippi Flyway visit the Illinois River valley. The ducks in hunters' bags have been examined each year at numerous places throughout the valley, thereby minimizing the effect of data obtained from aberrant local concentrations of particular age groups. In 1950, an abnormal migration resulted in relatively large numbers of adult mallards passing more rapidly than usual through the Illinois River valley. In both 1950 and 1953, juvenile mallards appeared unusually vulnerable to Illinois hunters. We believe that the duck kill checked in Arkansas provided the more valid data for the Mississippi Flyway in 1950 and 1953, and we have used Arkansas data, derived from table 49, for calculating the numbers of mallard juveniles per adult for those years. For the other years included in table 52, the differences between Illinois and Arkansas in number of juveniles per adult were not so great as to warrant special treatment of the data.

Hunting Bias.—The year-to-year variations in the vulnerability figures for mallards, table 39, make it desirable to evaluate the age ratios, or number of juveniles per adult, derived from inspection of hunters' bags.

Most of the band recovery data from which the ratios of adult to juvenile vulnerability were derived, table 39, were obtained from ducks banded at the Chautauqua National Wildlife Refuge, which is in the center of the area in which bagged ducks were sampled for age. Because no ducks were banded at Chautauqua in 1945 and 1946, in those years banding data from McGinnis Slough, table 40, were used, and adjustments, based on several years of vulnerability rates, were made for differences between the two stations.

Because the Arkansas kill data for 1950 and 1953, table 49, were believed to be more valid than the Illinois data, the numbers of juveniles and adults inspected in hunters' bags in Arkansas were chosen as base figures for these years, table 58. The 1:1.27 ratio of adult to juvenile vulnerability for 1950 and 1953, table 58, was assigned rather arbitrarily. As table 44 indicates, mallard juveniles are about half as vulnerable to hunting in December as in November. Illinois data for several years showed that the difference between adult and juvenile mallard drakes in vulnerability to hunting averaged 0.54 (1:1.54 ratio, table 39). The largest part of the Illinois mallard kill was in November. In December, when the birds were in Arkansas, the difference between the adult and juvenile kill figures should have averaged about half of 0.54, or 0.27, and the ratio of adult to juvenile vulnerability should have averaged about 1:1.27.

Table 58 shows for each year in the period 1939–1955 the ratio of adult to juvenile vulnerability among banded mallard drakes and the actual (uncorrected) number of juveniles per adult checked in hunters' bags in the Mississippi Flyway, principally Illinois. It is apparent that the ratio of adult to juvenile vulnerability has no correlation with the number of juveniles per adult bagged by hunters.

Table 58 shows also for each year the number of juveniles per adult and per adult hen among mallards checked in the bags of Mississippi Flyway hunters, each number corrected for the greater vulnerpopulation between the breeding grounds and Illinois.

Both shrinkage in the juvenile segment of mallard populations and seasonal declines in the vulnerability rates of the juveniles are indicated by the progressively smaller relative numbers of juveniles in hunters' bags as the ducks moved down the flyway from Manitoba to Illinois to



Fig. 18.—Numbers of juvenile mallards per adult on the breeding grounds just prior to the hunting season, as calculated from numbers of juveniles per adult in Illinois during the hunting season; each of the Illinois numbers on which curves A, B, and C are based has been adjusted to compensate for a greater shooting loss among juveniles than among adults before reaching Illinois: A, 2.0 juveniles per adult, B, 2.5 juveniles per adult, and, C, 3.0 juveniles per adult.

ability of juveniles. For the period 1939– 1955, the corrected figure was 0.95 juvenile per adult and 2.7 juveniles per adult hen. These figures probably reflect the age composition of mallard populations in the Illinois River valley for the 17-year period quite well, for inaccuracies in the yearly vulnerability rates would tend to cancel each other out over the period.

Trends in the age composition of mallard populations in the Illinois River valley reflect trends in the age composition of mallard populations on the breeding grounds prior to the hunting season. However, population figures obtained in Illinois do not represent the true age composition of the populations on the breeding grounds, because of the comparatively greater loss from hunting experienced by the juvenile segment of the Arkansas, table 52. Juvenile mallards are undoubtedly more vulnerable to hunters in Manitoba than to hunters in Illinois, and to hunters in Illinois than to hunters in Arkansas, as shown by seasonal changes in vulnerability ratios, table 44.

Shrinkage in the juvenile segment of mallard populations between the breeding grounds and Illinois is indicated not only by data in table 52 but by rather abstruse calculations employing band recoveries, mortality rates, and juvenile vulnerability rates, as discussed below.

An average annual mortality rate for adult drake mallards in the Mississippi Flyway of about 40 per cent has been derived from band recovery data for drake mallards banded as adults at Lake Chautauqua, Mason County, Illinois, 1939–1944 (Bellrose & Chase 1950:9).

It seems reasonable to assume that hunting accounts for about three-fourths of this average annual mortality and other causes for one-fourth, or an average annual mortality rate of 30 per cent from hunting and 10 per cent from other causes. An analysis of 6,000 indirect (after the year of banding) recoveries of adult drake mallards banded at Lake Chautauqua disclosed that 47.6 per cent or about one-half of the recoveries were from points north of the Illinois River valley. It seems reasonable to assume further that hunting results in approximately a 15 per cent reduction in the numbers of adult mallards before they reach the Illinois River valley from the breeding grounds.

Data in tables 44 and 47 suggest that the juveniles are 2 to 3 times as vulnerable as adults during the early fall season when in migration from Manitoba to Illinois. If we assume that juveniles are 2.5 times as vulnerable as adults, and that hunting takes a toll of 15 of each 100 adults before the flights reach Illinois, then we may say that hunting takes a toll of 2.5 times as many juveniles or 37.5 of each 100 juveniles in the same period.

Fig. 18 shows a scale for converting the age ratios of mallards occurring in wild populations in the Illinois River valley to age ratios which would be comparable for wild populations on the breeding grounds prior to the hunting season. Following is an example showing the method used to determine a point on the scale, fig. 18, representing the probable number of juveniles per adult on the Canadian breeding grounds when 0.6 juvenile per adult has been determined to exist in mallard populations in Illinois; the adults are assumed to have been subjected en route to Illinois to a shooting loss of 15 per 100 and the juveniles to a shooting loss of 37.5 per 100.

When

- A = the number of adults on the breeding grounds per adult in Illinois, with a presumed 1:0.6 ratio of adults to juveniles in Illinois,
- a = the number of adults to 0.6 juvenile in Illinois,
- p = the per cent of the adult population remaining after a 15 per cent loss en route to Illinois,

then

$$A = -\frac{a}{p}$$
 or $\frac{1}{0.85} = 1.18$,

the number of adults on the breeding grounds to 1 adult in Illinois. When

- Y = the number of juveniles per adult on the breeding grounds, with a presumed 1:0.6 ratio of adults to juveniles in Illinois,
- y = the number of juveniles to 1 adult in Illinois,
- p = the per cent of the juvenile population remaining after a 37.5 per cent loss en route to Illinois,

then

$$Y = -\frac{y}{p}$$
 or $\frac{0.6}{0.625} = 0.96$,

the number of juveniles on the breeding grounds to 0.6 juvenile in Illinois.

Thus, when there is a ratio of 0.6 juvenile per adult in Illinois, the adults have been subjected to a 15 per cent loss en route to Illinois, and the juveniles have been subjected to a shooting loss 2.5 times as great as that of adults, the ratio on the breeding grounds is 0.96 young to 1.18 adult, or 0.81 juvenile to 1 adult.

An average of 0.95 juvenile per adult was calculated for mallard populations in the Mississippi Flyway, principally Illinois, over a 17-year period, 1939-1955, table 58. This average takes into account differences in vulnerability between adults and juveniles. If juveniles suffered a loss of 37.5 per cent en route, the calculated average number of juveniles on the breeding grounds just prior to migration per 0.95 juvenile arriving in Illinois was 1.52 $(0.95 \div 0.625)$. If adults suffered a loss of 15 per cent en route, the calculated average number of adults on the breeding grounds just prior to migration per adult arriving in Illinois was $1.18 (1.0 \div 0.85)$. For the 17-year period, the calculated average number of juveniles per adult on the breeding grounds just prior to migration was 1.29 (1.52÷1.18).

If the average number of juveniles per adult in Illinois (0.95) is to the number of juveniles per hen in Illinois (2.7), table 58, as the number of juveniles per adult on the breeding grounds (1.29) is

Year	Number of Ducks Checked	Per Cent Juveniles	Juveniles Per Adult	Approximate 95 Per Cent Confidence Limits
1955 1956 1957 1958 1959	5,581 2,368 478 581 2,064	66.6 58.5 54.8 37.7 32.6	1.991.411.210.600.48	$\begin{array}{c} 1.79-2.19\\ 1.37-1.45\\ 0.87-1.55\\ 0.47-0.73\\ 0.42-0.54\end{array}$

Table 59.---Number of juveniles per adult among mallards shot at the Duck Creek Wildlife Area, Puxico, Missouri, 1955-1959.*

*Data supplied by George Brakhage of the Missouri Conservation Commission.

to the number of juveniles per hen on the breeding grounds (X), the number of young per hen on the breeding grounds can be calculated by solving for X in the following equation:

0.95:2.7:1.29:XX=3.7

Bag data for the Mississippi Flyway, principally Illinois, uncorrected for the greater vulnerability of juveniles to hunters, showed an average of 1.43 juveniles per adult over the period 1939-1955, table 58. This average is greater than the calculated average number of juvenile mallards per adult on the breeding grounds just prior to the hunting seasons (1.29). A higher figure for Illinois than for the breeding grounds may have resulted because the disproportionate loss of juveniles before the mallard populations reached Illinois was more than compensated for by the disproportionate vulnerability of juveniles in Illinois.

Age Ratios as Measures of Production

Many wildlife technicians have assumed that increases in the number of juvenile ducks per adult in hunters' bags reflect increases in production of young during the breeding season immediately preceding, that age ratios can be used as indices of production, and that curves plotted from age ratios may be regarded as production curves.

Year-to-year changes in the age ratios of mallards in the Mississippi Flyway are shown in tables 52, 58, and 59 and fig. 17 for 21 years, 1939–1959. The production curve plotted from the corrected age data follows a pattern somewhat similar to that plotted from the uncorrected age data, fig. 17. However, because errors of varying magnitude are probably present in the yearly vulnerability factors used in correcting age data, it has been deemed advisable to use uncorrected age data rather than the corrected data as the better indices of year-to-year changes in productivity.

The data (uncorrected) on which the broken line in fig. 17 is based indicate that lows occurred in the production of young mallards in 1941, 1945, 1950, 1953, and 1959; highs occurred in 1939, 1943, 1948, 1951, and 1955. This somewhat rhythmic production trend may be an inherent characteristic of waterfowl populations and may prove to be density dependent in origin.

Significant data for the Mississippi Flyway are lacking on yearly changes in production of species other than the mallard. However, John E. Chattin of the U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service has made available age ratios of pintails trapped at seven banding stations in California, Oregon, and Nevada from 1949 through 1959.

The relatively large number of juveniles among the pintails trapped, particularly in 1951 and 1952, fig. 19, suggests bias in the samples, possibly the result of juveniles entering the traps more readily than adults. Nevertheless, the variations in trap selectivity from year to year are probably not great enough to produce large errors in the indices of production. It is believed that the age ratios obtained from pintails trapped in the Pacific Flyway probably provide a fairly reliable picture of the production trend of the species. A comparison of mallard age ratios in the Mississippi Flyway with pintail age ratios in
the Pacific Flyway for 11 years, 1949– 1959, fig. 19, reveals for most years an unexpectedly close agreement between the production trends of the two species.

The extent of agreement in production trends between the two species is especially remarkable when differences in distribution and habits of the species are considered. The pintails of the Pacific Flyway breed largely in the western part of the northern plains, whereas the mallards of the Mississippi Flyway breed largely in the eastern part of the northern plains. Moreover, mallards are more prone to nest in the Aspen Parklands and the northern mixed Coniferous Forest than are pintails, which are for the most part confined to the grasslands.

Factors responsible for the yearly fluctuations in mallard production appear to have fairly consistent simultaneous effects on pintail production. Discovery of this fact justifies the use of Mississippi Flyway mallard age ratios as criteria for evaluating the accuracy of breeding ground surveys and the effect of environmental conditions on over-all duck production.

Because breeding grounds surveys have been used in the past to provide most of the waterfowl production information on which annual hunting regulations have been based, and will undoubtedly be used for a similar purpose in the future, an appraisal should be made of the validity of these surveys.

Breeding grounds surveys are affected by the vastness of the breeding grounds, shifts in waterfowl populations with changing water conditions, and difficulty in finding and counting broods. Age ratios obtained from ducks bagged on and south of the breeding grounds provide a means for evaluating the validity of waterfowl breeding grounds surveys and in themselves serve as measures of production.

We have attempted to appraise the validity of breeding grounds surveys by comparing the results of surveys on the plains of Manitoba and Saskatchewan with the mallard age ratios obtained through inspection of hunters' bags in the Mississippi Flyway, principally Illinois. Banding of ducks on the breeding grounds has demonstrated that most of the Mississippi Flyway ducks breed in Manitoba and Saskatchewan. The first comprehensive breeding grounds surveys were made by the U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service in 1947. In that year, although the nesting population was reported "fair" for Saskatchewan as a whole, brood production was not correspondingly high (Lynch 1948:33). In the same year, the duck crop in the pothole country of Manitoba was considered good, but the production in other types of nesting area was "moderate to very poor"



Fig. 19.—Year-to-year changes in the numbers of juveniles per adult in two species, the data derived by two methods in two areas: pintails trapped in the Pacific Flyway and mallards checked in hunters' bags in the Mississippi Flyway, 1949–1959.

(Hawkins 1948:52). Yet, in 1947, mallard age ratios from the Mississippi Flyway showed a pronounced increase in juveniles over the number in 1946, fig. 17. A year later, 1948, "good production" was reported, and "moderate improvement in the waterfowl output for Manitoba" was forecast, by Hawkins & Cooch (1948: 97); a small increase in the duck population of Saskatchewan was recorded by Soper (1948:63). Mallard age ratios obtained in the Mississippi Flyway in 1948 showed a further increase in the number of juveniles per adult to a new peak, fig. 17.

In 1949, mallard age ratios from the Mississippi Flyway indicated that a sharp drop had occurred in the relative number of young, fig. 17. From the breeding grounds, Hawkins (1949:64) reported that, in Manitoba, nest success was well below that of 1948. Lynch (1949:52) reported a reduced nesting population in Saskatchewan as a whole, but a successful hatch in the Aspen Parklands, where mallards from the drought-stricken southwestern part of the province had moved to join the ducks that normally nest in the Parklands.

In 1950, Mississippi Flyway age ratios disclosed a further drop in the number of young mallards per adult, fig. 17. On the breeding grounds, Hawkins (1950:45) concluded "that Manitoba produced considerably fewer ducks in 1950 than in 1949." In Saskatchewan, Colls (1950:40) reported "evidence of a lack of, or an unsuccessful attempt at, first nesting among mallards and pintails," and added that by the end of July there appeared to be no important attempts at second nesting by these two species.

In 1951, mallard age ratios derived from bagged ducks in the flyway indicated a marked increase in the production of young, fig. 17. Hawkins, Gollop, & Wellein (1951:49), reporting on other species as well as the mallard in Manitoba, wrote, "the juvenile crop probably doubled the previous year's." Colls & Lynch (1951: 40), after observing the success of the first nesting attempt in Saskatchewan, wrote that "a more than usually successful waterfowl-rearing season" was anticipated for the area.

In 1952, the flyway age ratios indicated a decline in the number of young mallards per adult. From one Canadian province, Hawkins & Wellein (1952:64) reported: "Manitoba's contribution to the fall flight of 1952 should be about one-fifth less than in 1951." From Saskatchewan, Gollop, Lynch, & Hyska (1952:37), following a survey in July, 1952, reported a potential production "almost twice that of last year."

A decrease in the production of young in 1953 was reflected by age ratios for mallards bagged in the Mississippi Flyway and by field observations in the area. Moderate decreases were reported in Manitoba by Hawkins (1954:76) and in Saskatchewan by Lynch & Gollop (1954: 49).

Age ratios for mallards bagged in the Mississippi Flyway showed little change from 1953 to 1954, fig. 17. For 1954 on the breeding grounds, predictions made after a summer census were that the fall flight of ducks from southern Manitoba would be "about the same as last year," but that a "noticeable" reduction would occur in size of flights from northern Manitoba and from both northern and southern Saskatchewan (Crissey 1954:59, 62, 37).

In 1952, John J. Lynch of the U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service developed mathematical formulas for forecasting waterfowl production in Saskatchewan (Gollop, Lynch, & Hyska 1952:37 and charts 1 and 2). From the formulas he



Fig. 20.—Relationship between age ratios of mallards in autumn and the hatch on the breeding grounds in the previous spring, as indicated by number of juvenile mallards per adult in hunters' bags in the Mississippi Flyway, principally Illinois, 1949–1959, and forecast indices of duck production in Canada (Lynch forecast indices, Gollop, Lynch, & Hyska 1952: 37), the indices derived from breeding grounds surveys in Manitoba, 1953–1959, and Saskatchewan, 1951–1959.

derived forecast indices, one as of June 1 and another as of August 1. The August index was based upon July data: number of broods per square mile, number (per square mile) of late-nesting pairs and single drakes and hens which supposedly represented late-nesting pairs, number of ponds per square mile, number of ducklings per class III (almost completely feathered) brood, and number of class II (partially feathered) and class III broods per square mile. An index rating of 100 was deemed satisfactory; an index rating of 300 was deemed perfect. Later, some minor modifications were made in the formulas.

A mimeographed report, "Waterfowl Breeding Ground Survey Report, 1958," compiled by Arthur S. Hawkins for the U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service, provides a comparison of late season forecast indices for Saskatchewan, 1951–1958, and for Manitoba, 1953–1958. A similar re-



Fig. 21.—Estimated numbers of mallards in various parts of the Canadian breeding grounds in May, 1953-1959.

port compiled by Hawkins in 1959 provides data on breeding grounds forecasts for that year. The production forecast indices for Saskatchewan and Manitoba may be compared with the number of juvenile mallards per adult as checked in the bags of Mississippi Flyway hunters, fig. 20.

For the period 1952–1959, the population curve plotted from the forecast indices of waterfowl production in Saskatchewan was similar to the curve plotted from the Mississippi Flyway age ratios for mallards, fig. 20. However, for the years 1955 through 1958, and especially for 1958, the forecast indices showed considerably higher production than was shown by the age ratios, fig. 20.

Manitoba forecast indices showed very little correlation with mallard age ratios from the Mississippi Flyway, fig. 20. For example, in 1957 and 1958, Manitoba forecast indices pointed to an increasing production of young; yet the mallard age ratios from the Mississippi Flyway pointed to a decreasing production of young. That there is only slight correlation may be ascribed to Manitoba's relatively small contribution of mallards to Illinois and adjoining states. Aerial surveys made on the breeding grounds in May indicate that about six times as many mallards nest in the plains and parklands of southern Saskatchewan as in the plains and parklands of southern Manitoba, fig. 21; the Saskatchewan contribution to the Mississippi Flyway kill is larger than that of Manitoba, even though much larger numbers of Saskatchewan mallards than of Manitoba mallards are killed in the Central and Pacific flyways (Cartwright 1956:14–5, 17–8, 20–1).

For 8 years, beginning with 1952, Saskatchewan breeding grounds indices showed production trends similar to those derived from mallards shot by hunters in the Mississippi Flyway, fig. 20. Information on breeding grounds success of ducks in Saskatchewan in 1951 was somewhat contradictory. The report by Colls & Lynch (1951:40) indicated "a more than usually successful waterfowl-rearing season." The forecast index for 1951, although above 100 and therefore "satisfactory," indicated a production that was low compared to that of most other years of the period 1949-1959. We are inclined to believe that some mechanical error was made in calculating the 1951 forecast index for Saskatchewan.

During the period 1949–1954, duck production as determined from breeding grounds surveys in Manitoba showed a fairly close relationship to production as determined from age ratios of mallards shot in the Mississippi Flyway, principally Illinois. However, production as determined by the forecast index in Manitoba showed no positive correlation with production as indicated by age ratios of ducks shot in the Mississippi Flyway; diametrically opposite production trends were indicated for 1954, 1955, 1957, and 1958, fig. 20. The mallard flight reaching Illinois from Manitoba, compared to that from Saskatchewan, may have been so small as to have had little influence on age ratio figures obtained from mallards inspected in hunters' bags in the Mississippi Flyway.

One item apparently responsible for bias in the forecast index, especially in Manitoba, has been the production factor associated with late-nesting ducks. This factor was included in the index formula to measure the anticipated brood production represented by pairs, lone hens, and lone drakes (believed to be mates of incubating hens) found on the last survey flights, usually conducted in mid-July. The production from ducks that are actually breeders may be lower than anticipated, and many ducks that are classed as breeders may be through breeding. Charles D. Evans of the U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service and Ralph Hancox of the Manitoba Game Branch recognized the latter possibility in Manitoba in 1958 (unpublished report), when they found abnormally high numbers of molters and premolters on breeding areas. In spite of diligent effort to classify breeders and nonbreeders correctly, Evans and Hancox believed that they included many nonbreeders in their late-nesting index.

It is apparent from age ratio data from the Mississippi Flyway that in those years in which there was a major population shift from the Canadian Grasslands north to the lakes and marshes of the Aspen Parklands and Mixed Coniferous Forest production of young declined more than had been anticipated.

No doubt some of the differences between production data based on age ratios obtained from bagged ducks in the Mississippi Flyway and similar data based on breeding grounds surveys stem from differences in production between the Grasslands, the Parklands, and the Coniferous Forest region. Because of difficulty of access, difficulty in making observations, and a low density of breeding ducks, only cursory duck surveys have been made in the Coniferous Forest region. Hence, the production of mallards from this region is largely unknown but may be larger than suspected. Although the population density of mallards there may be low, this region is so vast that it may well contain a sizable breeding population.

Other differences between production data from the Mississippi Flyway and data from the breeding grounds surveys may result because the Mississippi Flyway data include only mallards, whereas data from breeding grounds surveys include all species of ducks. Mallards usually make up over half of the breeding population, but diving ducks and late-nesting species, such as the baldpate and the gadwall, which may show yearly production trends different from those of the mallard, may influence the production data from the breeding grounds.

Production and Environment

It is difficult to evaluate the effect of environment on waterfowl production because of the vastness of the breeding grounds and the variations in water and weather conditions. Seldom, if ever, are water or weather conditions similar over the entire breeding range. Moreover, an area that is favorable for waterfowl in one year may be unfavorable the next. Nevertheless, a general review of water and weather conditions in Manitoba and Saskatchewan, the principal breeding range of the mallard of the Mississippi Flyway, has been made for the years 1939-1946 from The Duckological, a news sheet published at irregular intervals by Ducks Unlimited (Canada), with headquarters at Winnipeg, and for the years 1947–1959 from published and unpublished reports of breeding grounds surveys by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and the Canadian Wildlife Service. In the following paragraphs the water and weather conditions on the breeding grounds for each of the 21 years in the period 1939-1959 are summarized in relation to mallard production as indicated by the number (uncorrected) of juveniles per adult inspected in hunters' bags in the Mississippi Flyway

—Illinois and Arkansas, table 58, and Missouri, table 59.

Water conditions on the Canadian plains in the spring of 1939 were much improved over those of 1938. Conditions for breeding ducks were good in Saskatchewan and poor in Manitoba. Most water areas persisted until broods were on the wing. These conditions resulted in a production per breeding mallard (uncorrected number of juveniles per adult) which was about equal to the average of such production data for 17 years, 1939– 1955, table 58.

In 1940, spring water conditions in Manitoba were the worst in the history of that province and in Saskatchewan were poor as far west as the central part. Water conditions were good in western Saskatchewan. Good rains in June improved many water areas. Mallard production in this year, as in 1939, was close to the average for the 17-year period, table 58.

In the spring of 1941, water conditions, although greatly improved over conditions in 1940, were considered fair in Manitoba and ranged from poor to good in Saskatchewan. Water areas rapidly dried up when such summer rains as fell failed to maintain them. Heavy losses among ducklings occurred as a result of drought. A drop in the number of young per adult bagged in the Mississippi Flyway revealed a sizable decline in mallard production, table 58.

In the spring of 1942, water conditions were fair to good in Manitoba; they were bad, fair, or good, depending on the locality, in that part of Saskatchewan where most of the ducks are produced. Heavy spring rains prevailed over most of the plains, and these continued into the summer. The number of young per adult in the Mississippi Flyway, table 58, indicated a moderate increase in mallard production.

Spring water conditions in 1943 throughout the Canadian plains were the best in many years, being rated fair over northern Saskatchewan and northern Manitoba and good to excellent almost everywhere in the southern parts of these provinces. In that year, age ratios in the Mississippi Flyway, table 58, indicated a pronounced increase in mallard production. In 1944, there was "lots of water" in northern Saskatchewan and Manitoba, but in the southern parts of these provinces, where most of the ducks are produced, water levels were largely "dangerously low" to fair. A larger than usual proportion of the breeding waterfowl population moved through the Grasslands northward into the Aspen Parklands and Mixed Coniferous Forest. Rains in June removed danger of heavy loss of ducklings through drought. Mallard production declined markedly to a point below average, table 58.

In 1945, spring water conditions were good in Manitoba and all of Saskatchewan but the southwestern part, where few Mississippi Flyway mallards breed. Water conditions in Manitoba remained good for ducks, but southern prairies of Saskatchewan dried up. Subnormal temperatures occurred through much of April and May, and, in the northern portions of Manitoba and Saskatchewan, ice was still present on marshes and lakes on May 24. Age ratios of ducks bagged on the flyway indicated that mallard production had declined to the lowest point since the study started in 1939, table 58.

In 1946, water conditions were excellent in Manitoba and through a belt 100 miles wide in eastern Saskatchewan. June rains improved water conditions in Alberta and Saskatchewan. May was excessively cold, and heavy frosts occurred. Age ratios from the Mississippi Flyway indicated that mallard production rose considerably but remained below the 17year average, table 58.

More detailed information on breeding grounds conditions became available in 1947, when extensive surveys were inaugurated by the U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service. Salient facts from these surveys have been condensed in tables 60 and 61 and are shown graphically in figs. 22 and 23. These tables and figures, as well as tables 58 and 59, should be referred to in connection with the following paragraphs on duck production and breeding grounds conditions.

In 1947, a year in which fair to good water conditions prevailed and slight to moderate water loss occurred during the breeding season in Manitoba and Saskatchewan, the number of juveniles per adult

by number o	
as indicated	
production	
and mallard	
1947-1953,	
Manitoba,	
in southern	Flyway.
ling grounds	Mississippi I
ons on breed	bags in the]
ntal conditic	in hunters'
-Environme	dult checked
Table 60	uveniles per a

Authority for Environmental Conditions and Breeding Population	Hawkins (1948, 1950) Hawkins & Cooch (1948),	Hawkins (1950) Hawkins (1949, 1950) Hawkins (1950)	Hawkins, Gollop, & Wellein (1951),	Hawkins (1954) Hawkins & Wellein (1952),	Hawkins (1954) Williams (1953), Hawkins (1954)	dly be "Number of Square Miles"); figures
NUMBER OF Mallard Juveniles Per Adult*	2.36 3.18	0.97 0.73	1.71	1.65	0.82	IV should undoubted
Farming Activities	Average Favorable	Adverse Favorable	Adverse	Adverse	Favorable	per Sq. Mi." in table
Spring Temperature	Subnormal Subnormal	Above normal Extremely	subnormat Normal	Above normal	Extremely subnormal	5 square miles (''No. vn in table I. Hawkir
Water Loss	Slight Slight	Moderate Slight	High	High	None	79, for area of 135.7 sect included, are sho
BREEDING POPULATION OF ALL DUCKS PER SQUARE MILE	11.8 13.4	32.2 37.5	41.0†	28.3†	28.1†	: IV, Hawkins 1954: coe additional tran
WATER Conditions	Fair Good	Good Excellent	Fair	Poor	Fair to good	m table 58. d Irom data in table 1952. with data from
YEAR	1947 1948	1949	1951	1952	1953	*Data fro †Calculate for 1951 and

ILLINOIS NATURAL HISTORY SURVEY BULLETIN

AUTHORITY FOR ENVIRONMENTAL CONDITIONS AND BREEDING POPULATION	Lynch (1948), Smith (1948) Soper (1948), Colls & Lynch (1951)	Lynch (1949), Colls & Lynch (1951) Colls (1950), Colls & Lynch (1951)	Colls & Lynch (1951) Gollop, Lynch, & Hyska (1952) Williams (1953), Lynch & Gollop (1954)	
Number of Mallard Juveniles Per Adult*	2.36 3.18	$0.97 \\ 0.73$	$1.71 \\ 1.65 \\ 0.82$	
Farming Activities	Adverse	Very adverse Favorable	ې ج Favorable	
Spring Temperature	Subnormal Subnormal	Above normal Extremely	Normal Normal Subnormal	
Water Loss	Moderate Moderate- hich	Slight Slight Slight	Slight Slight Slight	
BREEDING POPULATION OF ALL DUCKS PER SQUARE MILE	17.3	10.7 16.3	15.8 38.5 40.2	
Water Conditions	Good Good	Poor Excellent	Good Good Excellent	om table 58.
YEAR	947 948	949	951 952	*Data fro

460

in the bags of Illinois hunters revealed a spectacular rise in production by the mallard; the rise occurred even though spring temperatures were below normal. The spectacular increase in production continued in 1948, as water conditions in Canada improved. In 1949, water conditions in Saskatchewan were poor, and mallard production dropped drastically.

In 1950, water conditions were excellent in both Manitoba and Saskatchewan. May of 1950, however, was unusually cold and wet. Mallard production, as indicated by age ratios of ducks bagged in the Mississippi Flyway, declined. In 1951, water conditions were good through the breeding season in Saskatchewan and fair in Manitoba; a major water loss occurred in Manitoba. In that year, mallard production increased considerably. The two provinces differed materially in water conditions in 1952. In Manitoba, rapid disappearance of water areas began in April and continued through the summer: by August waterfowl conditions were the worst known since breeding grounds observations were started. In Saskatchewan, water conditions were favorable throughout the breeding season. Mallard production in 1952 declined very little, if at all, age ratios of bagged ducks indicated. In 1953, water conditions were excellent in Saskatchewan and fair to good in Manitoba. There was no water loss during the season in Manitoba and only a slight loss in Saskatchewan. Despite these favorable water conditions, age ratios of bagged ducks revealed a decline in the production of mallards in 1953.

Although small water areas in Saskatchewan and Manitoba were almost at maximum numbers in 1954, age ratios indicated only a slight increase in mallard production, fig. 22. In 1955, with a further increase in number of ponds in Saskatchewan, but with a sizable decrease in the number in Manitoba, mallard production increased materially.

Ponds were down in number in Saskatchewan in 1956, but they increased in number in Manitoba, fig. 22. In that year, age ratios of bagged ducks indicated a



Fig. 22.—Relationship between water conditions on the Canadian breeding grounds and mallard production, as indicated by the number of ponds per square mile in southern Saskatchewan and southern Manitoba in May of certain years, and by the number of juveniles per adult checked in hunters' bags in the Mississippi Flyway. Points on the graph representing number of juveniles per adult for 1948–1955 are based principally on data from Illinois, table 58; points for 1956–1959 are based on data from Missouri, table 59. Because data for 1955 showed the number of juveniles per adult among Illinois mallards to be about 10 per cent less than the number among Missouri mallards, each point on the graph for the years 1956–1959 represents a figure that is 10 per cent less than the corresponding figure in table 59. Data for the breeding grounds are from the U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service and the Canadian Wildlife Service.

drop in mallard production. In 1957, ponds were down in number in both provinces, and mallard production declined. In the following year, 1958, water areas continued down in number in Manitoba but increased in Saskatchewan; mallard production showed a further decline.

In 1959, water conditions in Manitoba remained about the same as the year before, but the number of ponds in Saskatchewan declined sharply. For the fourth consecutive year mallard production demile in May) followed similar trends in most years of the period 1948–1959, fig. 22. Mallard production and water abundance followed divergent trends in 1950, 1953, and 1958. In 1954, mallard production showed no decisive trend, while water abundance rose markedly. In Manitoba, mallard production tended to parallel water abundance in the years 1956–1959 but not in the 3 years previous.

In 1945, 1950, and 1953, when water conditions on the breeding grounds were



Fig. 23.—Relationship between the density of the adult mallard population on the Canadian breeding grounds in spring and the production of young, 1952–1959, as indicated by the number of ponds per mallard in southern Manitoba and southern Saskatchewan in May and by the number of juveniles per adult checked in hunters' bags in the Mississippi Flyway the autumn following. Data for the breeding grounds in 1952 represent Saskatchewan only. Points on the graph representing number of juveniles per adult for 1952–1955 are based principally on data from Illinois, table 58; points for 1956–1959 are based on data from Missouri. table 59, as explained in the legend for fig. 22. Data for the breeding grounds are from the U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service and the Canadian Wildlife Service.

clined; the number of juveniles per adult in the Mississippi Flyway, as represented by data from Missouri, was at a 21-year low, tables 58 and 59.

No completely objective correlation can be made between waterfowl production and conditions on the breeding grounds. Much of the information from the breeding grounds is not of an objective nature; it is not subject to convenient or exact measurement, and the effects of the many environmental variables are not well understood.

In Saskatchewan, mallard production (as determined by the number of juveniles per adult among birds bagged in Illinois) and water abundance (as measured by the number of ponds per square fair to excellent and mallard production was down, temperatures below normal and other unseasonable weather conditions, including blizzards, occurred as late as mid-May, disrupting the nesting activities of the mallard, pintail, and other early nesters. In 1947, when water conditions were fair to good, and subnormal temperatures were experienced early in the nesting period, mallard age ratios indicated substantially better than average production.

Concerning weather and water conditions in Manitoba in 1950, Hawkins (1950:42) reported as follows:

If abundant water were the only requirement of nesting waterfowl, ducks nesting in Manitoba would have had a "banner" year; • •

they did not, however, in spite of the greatest spread of surface water in many years. . .

Sub-normal temperatures continued throughout the nesting and brooding season. May was particularly cold and wet, possibly a factor in the poor hatch. From July 12 to 15, when many broods were only a few days old, temperatures dipped almost to the freezing point, perhaps another factor affecting success.

Colls (1950:36-7) reported that unseasonably cold weather prevailed all over Saskatchewan for most of the summer and that the more northerly lake country remained ice-bound in some cases as late as the end of May; however, he stated "that weather and water conditions over southern Saskatchewan were exceptionally favourable for the 1950 waterfowl population."

Hawkins (1954:75) reported that on April 15, 1953, the worst spring blizzard in many years combined with several days of freezing temperatures to adversely affect waterfowl production in Manitoba. Another record-breaking blizzard occurred on May 11, and smaller snow-storms on April 24 and May 14 resulted in snowdrifts which could have buried large numbers of nests. Indeed, a few nests that had been buried were found after the snow disappeared. Furthermore, temperatures accompanying the blizzards were sufficiently low to freeze unprotected eggs.

In Saskatchewan, Lynch & Gollop (1954:45) reported that May, 1953, was very cold, with much snow prior to the middle of the month. Stoudt & Buller (1954:55) reported that the weather was "wet, cold and miserable for the most part" during the nesting and brooding season, but concluded: "We have always heard of the dire effects of wet, cold weather on newly hatched ducklings but such ill effects were not noted during the 1953 brooding season."

A late breakup of ice occurred in Manitoba lakes in 1954 (Evans 1955:72), with freezing temperatures and snow extending into early May. May and early June were generally cool and wet.

Saskatchewan experienced a recordbreaking cold wave in late April and early May of 1954 that substantially delayed nesting by mallards and pintails (Gollop & Lynch 1955:46-7). As late

as mid-May, many lakes were still frozen over.

As a result of adverse weather in the spring of 1954, Stoudt & Stinnett (1955: 60) found an extremely high nest loss among mallards as well as other ducks on a small study area in southeastern Saskatchewan. They attributed the loss in the first nesting effort of mallards to a blizzard and zero temperature on May 1. Most of May and June was characterized by cold, very wet weather, retarding the development of good nesting cover. The paucity of nest cover and the lack of stable food for predators resulted in greatly increased predation upon duck nests. Flooding destroyed many nests missed by predators.

Decreased production by the mallard on the plains of Canada in years of very plentiful water and of cold weather, snow, and heavy rains at nesting time suggests that cold and excessively wet springs may be as unfavorable to duck production as dry and warm springs.

It is quite evident that water and weather conditions on the breeding grounds during the nesting and rearing period were major factors contributing to the gross changes in mallard production in 1939-1959. Yet there was another factor in mallard production, population density, that seems to have operated in most years within the broad limits of the environment, and, indeed, that may well have been the dominant regulating factor in production in those few years in which there was a poor correlation between environment and age ratios. In 2 years having similar water conditions but breeding populations of different sizes, the number of juveniles produced per breeder may be lower in the year with the larger population than in the year with the smaller.

Several years ago, an inverse relationship between population density and production of young was reported for the muskrat by Errington (1943:877), who stated: "the data indicate that rates of increase tend to vary with particular habitats and inversely with the density of the breeding stock."

That an inverse relationship between population density and production could be detected in upland game was noted by several writers (Baskett 1947:25-7; Bump et al. 1947:540; Errington 1945: 13).

Production in the mallard and possibly other duck species may bear, within certain undetermined limits, an inverse relationship to population densities, or a direct relationship to number of ponds per duck. (Increased density in a duck population on the breeding grounds may be brought about by a decrease in the number of water areas as well as by an increase in the number of ducks.)

With the exception of 1953 and 1954, years in which cold temperatures and snow reduced production of the mallard, there was a good correlation between number of ponds per breeding mallard and production of young on the Canadian breeding grounds. As the number of ponds per breeding mallard decreased from 2.0 to 0.6 in the Grasslands and Aspen Parklands of Manitoba and Saskatchewan, production declined from 1.78 juveniles to 0.54 juvenile per adult, fig. 23.

A change in the density of the mallard population on the breeding grounds may affect production in two ways: (1) alter the rate of nest destruction and desertion; (2) alter the relative number of ducks that can be accommodated by prime breeding habitat.

Sowls (1955:74) found that most mallards nest within 100 yards of pond, slough, or lake margins rather than at greater distances from water. The area of nest concentration adjacent to a body of water has been called the nesting zone. As ponds and other small water areas decline in number, greater concentrations of mallard nests occur in the nesting zones of the bodies of water that remain. It is probable that, as nest density increases, the rate of nest loss rises. Although data on the relationship between nest density and nest loss are lacking for waterfowl, Stokes (1954:36) found that in pheasants nest abandonment increased with breeding density.

Unpublished field studies by Alex Dzubin (letter March 5, 1960) of the Canadian Wildlife Service suggest that space requirement also may be related to mallard production. Dzubin believes that, through interactions involving both aggressive and sexual behavior, pairs of mallards space themselves over the breeding

grounds. Adequate spacing is most evident in the Aspen Parklands region, with its abundance of water areas; it is less evident in the Grasslands region, which may have a scarcity of water areas. Space behavior of ducks around waiting areas tends to place a limit on the number of pairs any one area can accommodate.

The role of space in regulating the size of breeding populations of waterfowl is apparent in an analysis made by Schroeder (1959:4-5) of water areas and numbers of breeding ducks in North Dakota. Schroeder found that the numbers of breeding ducks and water areas tended to fluctuate up and down together. For example, in 1950, water areas numbered 11.4 and breeding ducks 24.6 per square mile, whereas, in 1959, water areas had declined to 2.1 per square mile and ducks to 8.4 per square mile.

Evans & Black (1956:52) found a direct relationship between water areas and breeding ducks on an 11.25-squaremile prairie pothole area in South Dakota. Their study showed that as the number of potholes with water on May 10 increased and then decreased from 1950 through 1953 so did the number of breeding pairs of ducks.

Stoudt (1959:103) reported a direct relationship "up to a certain point" between numbers of breeding ducks and numbers of water areas on a study area 40 miles long and one-eighth mile wide near Redvers, in southeastern Saskatchewan. He did not find this direct relationship in "extremely wet years and extremely dry years."

From 1953 through 1958, there were only small variations in the number of water areas each year on May 1 in a Lousana, Alberta, study area, but the number of breeding pairs of mallards on this small area rose from 103 to 338 during that period (Smith 1959:3, 8). The number of ponds on May 1 decreased from 198 in 1958 to 131 in 1959 and the number of breeding pairs from 338 to 241.

The smaller a study area, the less likely it is to show direct relationships between the numbers of breeding pairs and the numbers of water areas. Local variations in mortality rates, homing, and population saturation levels that grossly affect the data for small areas may have no appreciable effect upon the data for extensive areas, because the many local variations in the extensive areas tend to cancel each other.

Because the space behavior of ducks limits the number of breeding pairs that a given waterfowl habitat can accommodate, when an increase occurs in the breeding population of an area that has reached the limit of its carrying capacity, or when a decrease occurs in the number of ponds on the area, some of the ducks associated with the area must do one of two things: (1) move to other areas not occupied to the saturation level or (2) fail to reproduce.

The areas to which the ducks move may be of poorer quality for the production of young than the areas occupied to the saturation level. Biologists have long been aware of the tendency of bird populations to make maximum use of the best available habitat before occupying less favorable habitat. On a Saskatchewan study area, Stoudt (1952:55) found that breeding pairs of ducks tended to make maximum use of the small water areas (1 acre or less) and shift to less favorable habitat when the prime areas were occupied to the limit of their carrying capacity.

Under conditions associated with population or habitat changes, ducks may move from a region of basically good habitat to a region of inferior habitat. In 1959, there was an increase in the number of mallards found during May in the marshes of the Coniferous Forest in northern Alberta and other northern parts of Canada and a decrease in the number found in southern Saskatchewan. The Coniferous Forest lacks the quality habitat for nesting mallards supplied primarily by the Grasslands and secondarily by the Aspen Parklands.

Biologists have noted that under severe crowding many ducks do not breed and that some ducks that make attempts at nesting do not make further attempts if the first attempts fail. Arthur S. Hawkins and Gerald Paspichal in an unpublished report of the U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service on the 1959 breeding season in the pothole country of western Manitoba noted that many ducks in that area were individuals that had been displaced from other areas. They found indications that some ducks did not attempt to nest and that others did not make the usual renesting attempts after having lost nests. Stoudt (1959:104) observed that many pairs of ducks in southeastern Saskatchewan in 1959, a year of very low water levels, "did not seem to nest at all."

Fig. 17 may be interpreted as showing that when the Grasslands and Aspen Parklands have reached the limit of their carrying capacity as a result of population increases and/or habitat deterioration, the production of juveniles per adult mallard declines for 2 to 4 years, until the breeding population has declined to a point where population density is no longer a limiting factor. Then, when a decrease in population or an increase in water areas results in more space per breeding pair, the production of young per breeder increases for 1 to 3 years, until population density again becomes a limiting factor.

The highs and lows in a breeding population of mallards may lag 1 or 2 years behind the highs and lows in the production of young per breeder. The first year a high breeding population produces a smaller number of young per breeder, the over-all population will probably continue to increase because of the large number of breeders still present to produce young. The over-all population may continue to increase even into the second year of lower production. When the breeding population is at a low point, the over-all population will probably continue to decline for a year after an increase in production, as the increased number of young per breeder may fail to result in as many young as are needed to replace the ducks lost through hunting and natural mortality the previous year.

The foregoing analysis of the effect of environment on production of mallards and other ducks points up the importance of water areas that are available to breeding pairs. Also, it points to abnormally low temperatures and associated weather conditions in April and May as factors of major importance in production. Abnormally low temperature conditions do not occur on the breeding grounds as frequently as abnormally low water conditions. Within the framework of acceptable nesting environment, and within certain undetermined population limits,

Species	NUMBER OF Adult Hens	Number of Juveniles	Number of Juveniles Per Adult Hen	JUVENILE VULNERABIL- ITY FACTOR*	Corrected Number of Juveniles Per Adult Hen
Mallard Black duck Gadwall Pintail Green-winged teal Blue-winged teal Shoveler Redhead Ring-necked duck Canvasback Lesser scaup	$\begin{array}{r} 3,631\\ 402\\ 201\\ 210\\ 445\\ 226\\ 370\\ 119\\ 190\\ 123\\ 208\\ 297\\ \end{array}$	19,860 2,858 1,335 2,280 2,669 1,427 1,901 836 1,882 1,043 2,745 1,525	5.477.116.6410.866.006.315.147.039.918.4813.205.14	$ \begin{array}{c} 1.3\\ 1.8\\ 2.4\\ 2.9\\ 1.7\\ 1.7\\ 1.7\\ 3.5\\ 2.0\\ 2.9\\ 2.0\\ \end{array} $	$\begin{array}{r} 4.2 \\ 4.0 \\ 2.8 \\ 3.7 \\ 3.2 \\ 3.7 \\ 3.0 \\ 4.1 \\ 2.8 \\ 4.2 \\ 4.6 \\ 2.6 \end{array}$

Table 62.—Number of juveniles per adult hen (the number corrected for the greater vulnerability of juveniles to hunting) in each of 12 species of ducks checked in hunters' bags in the Mississippi Flyway, principally Illinois, 1946–1949.

*Correcting factors for most species are from tables 42, 43, and 45, ratio of adult to juvenile vulnerability. The correcting factor for the green-winged teal and the shoveler is assumed to be the same as that for the blue-winged teal, and the correcting factor for the ring-necked duck the same as that for the lesser scaup.

production of mallards, and possibly all species of waterfowl, seems to be inversely related to population density.

Production in Different Species

Age ratios of ducks checked in hunters' bags in the Mississippi Flyway for the period 1946-1949 provide indices of production for the various species, table 62. At least a partial correction for the greater vulnerability of juveniles than of adults has been made by using banding data from Illinois for the black duck and blue-winged teal, tables 42 and 43, and banding data from Canada for the mallard, gadwall, baldpate, pintail, redhead, canvasback, and lesser scaup, table 45. It has been assumed that the ratio of adult to juvenile vulnerability in the green-winged teal and shoveler is similar to that in the bluewinged teal and that the ratio of adult to juvenile vulnerability in the ringnecked duck is similar to that in the lesser scaup. Even though the calculations representing the "corrected number of juveniles per adult hen," table 62, were derived from arbitrarily selected vulnerability ratios, it is believed that they are so close to the actual juveniles-per-hen figures that some useful generalizations can be made.

The differences among the species are not so great in the "corrected number of juveniles per adult hen" as in the actual number of juveniles per adult hen in hunters' bags, table 62. The vulnerability differential between adults and juveniles offers an explanation for the excessively high ratios of 10 to 13 juveniles per adult hen that have been found in checks of hunters' bags.

Several species of ducks appear to have about equally high production rates, table 62: mallard, black duck, shoveler, ringnecked duck, and canvasback. The baldpate and green-winged teal seem to be intermediate in production. The gadwall, pintail, blue-winged teal, redhead, and lesser scaup appear to be species with comparatively low production rates.

The fact of survival in a duck species indicates that the species is adapted to maintain its place in the total duck population. The production of each species must compensate for the losses suffered through mortality, or the species declines. With possibly a few exceptions, notably the redhead and the wood duck, no duck species has been known to undergo more than a temporary major decline in population status in recent times.

Age Ratios in Population Management

One aim of waterfowl management is the establishment of hunting regulations that will permit the greatest possible harvest of birds without undue depletion of populations. Age ratios obtained through inspection of ducks in hunters' bags offer valuable assistance in determining the well-being of populations and in evaluating the extent to which production may be expected to replace annual losses.

An average annual production of 2.7 young of flying age per adult hen has been estimated for mallards of the Mississippi Flyway in the period 1939–1955, table 58. Although this average figure and other production figures shown in table 58 are for the mallard only, they are of value in making over-all hunting regulations because mallards comprise about half of the duck population.

Major year-to-year changes in production of the mallard, changes that probably are present in other species also, require flexibility in regulations governing the duck kill.

Production data from age ratios need to be supplemented by information from the breeding grounds in the northern part of the Mississippi Flyway. More information is needed on the effect of different combinations of regulations on mortality in the mallard and other species of ducks. Bellrose & Chase (1950:22) found evidence that natural losses plus hunting losses occurring under the regulations in force in the years 1939–1947 resulted in an annual mortality rate of about 48 per cent in male mallards; the annual mortality rate of the entire population was somewhat higher.

The extent to which increased production in ducks can compensate for increased mortality is at present pure speculation. The ability of animals to compensate for annual variations in mortality rates by flexibility in production is widely recognized. More than 80 years ago, Forbes (1880:9) wrote: "The fact of survival is . . . usually sufficient evidence of a fairly complete adjustment of the rate of reproduction to the drains upon the species." A few years ago, Allen (1943:113-4) cited the resilience of the fox squirrel in compensating for severe losses in number. Bump et al. (1947:539-40) reported for the ruffed grouse (Bonasa umbellus) in New York "a distinct tendency for greater relative increases to be associated with lower breeding populations." Diem (1959: 304-5), in reporting on duck production in an Alberta study area, stated that some years "having low breeding populations have witnessed bumper crops of young."

The probability that ducks have some degree of elasticity in their capacity to reproduce is shown by differences in production among various species. It is shown further by differences in production between the ducks of different flyways. Although age ratios for Nebraska mallards have tended to follow the same general trend from year to year as those in Illinois, they have consistently reflected lower numbers of juveniles per adult, fig. 16. There is good evidence from banding and from the percentage of birds carrying shot wounds that shooting pressure is lighter in the Central Flyway (and, therefore, that the mallard undoubtedly has a lower mortality rate in that flyway) than in the Mississippi Flyway. Perhaps the apparently lower reproduction rate of mallards in the Central Flyway is the result of the lower mortality rate there.

More data are needed on production and mortality rates in various species of ducks in each of the four flyways. By comparing production and mortality rates in various species of ducks in each of the four flyways, it would be possible to learn a great deal more than is now known about an apparent inverse relationship between mortality and production and the operation of other population mechanisms of waterfowl.

Waterfowl population research requires a more concerted effort to appraise production and to relate this to habitat conditions. We recommend that state and federal biologists, working through the four flyway councils, make detailed and uniform appraisals of conditions on the breeding grounds and that, by use of age ratios obtained from bagged ducks, they determine yearly production for the important species.

The most feasible approach to the problem of obtaining data on age ratios appears to entail the establishment of stations where large samples of particular species could be obtained. Some samples should be taken where there is evidence that a cross section of the migrating population can be obtained or where the wintering populations exhibit a minimum of regional bias. In some areas, a station might be established at which the age ratios for only one species of duck are taken. For example, in the Mississippi Flyway, the best station for sampling the mallard might be Stuttgart, Arkansas; the best for the gadwall, Mobile Delta, Alabama; the best for the pintail and the green-winged teal, the coastal marshes of Louisiana; the best for the ring-necked duck, Reelfoot Lake, Tennessee; the best for the redhead and the canvasback, Lake St. Clair and the Detroit River, Michigan.

SUMMARY

1. The present study is an evaluation of sex and age ratios in North American duck populations and the ways in which, in waterfowl management, these ratios can be used to measure productivity. (Page 391.)

2. Determination of sex composition in duck populations presented a difficult sampling problem complicated by differences in species, seasons, and places, and by inadequate sampling techniques. (Page 393.)

3. In the study reported here, most trapped or bagged ducks that could not be readily sexed by plumage differences were sexed by cloacal characters. (Page 396.)

4. Four methods of sampling waterfowl populations for sex ratios were used: (1) examination of trapped ducks, (2) inspection of ducks taken by hunters, (3) observation of ducks in the field, and (4) examination of disease victims. Biases evident in each method were recognized, and corrections were made whenever possible. (Page 396.)

5. Baited, funnel-type traps tended to take disproportionate numbers of drakes, while gate-type traps placed on shore tended to catch a predominant number of hens. (Pages 397, 400.)

6. Inspection of ducks in hunters' bags made possible the separation of drakes and hens in molting adults and in juveniles. (Page 400.)

7. Most sex ratios derived from inspection of hunters' bags showed little bias, usually in favor of drakes. (Page 400.)

8. Banding records indicated that mallard drakes were 1.05 times as likely to be shot by hunters as were hens, the differential probably a result of hunter preference for drakes. (Page 401.)

9. A few field observations on living ducks in spring were used to provide sex data on samples of several species; however, because it is almost impossible to make a sufficient number of random observations to insure an adequate sampling of the population of a flyway or other large area, field observations were not more extensively used. (Pages 401-2.)

10. The validity of sex ratios obtained from examination of ducks that were victims of disease was found to need further study. (Page 402.)

11. Analysis of available data showed no significant departure from an evenly balanced sex ratio in ducks at fertilization or at hatching. (Pages 402–3.)

12. Data obtained from examining juvenile ducks trapped during the breeding season or taken by hunters during the fall indicated that the ratio between the sexes from the time of hatching to adulthood was close to 50:50; local variations appeared to result from differences in seasonal movements. (Pages 403–5.)

13. Although sex ratios of adults usually favored drakes, there were numerous exceptions. (Pages 405-6.)

14. Sex ratios of many species of ducks varied from week to week in any given area as the composition of the local population changed with the arrival and departure of flocks containing varying numbers of drakes and hens. (Page 408.)

15. In most species of ducks for which data were available, drakes made up a smaller proportion of the hunters' kill in Manitoba than in three states to the south (North Dakota, Illinois, and Tennessee), indicating that more drakes than hens left Manitoba in advance of the hunting season. Among adult mallards bagged in Illinois, there was a steady increase in the drake segment through the third week in November, followed by a period of stabilized sex ratios, and then further increase in the drake segment of the wintering population, usually present in Illinois after the first week in December. In Utah, sex ratios of adult mallards bagged by hunters were relatively stable throughout fall. In only a few species did there appear to be differences in seasonal movements between

drakes and hens in the juvenile class. (Pages 408-9.)

16. Periodic counts of ducks in late winter and early spring revealed differences in the sequence of northward migration of drakes and hens of the same species. (Pages +11-16.)

17. The first spring flights arriving in Manitoba showed, with minor exceptions, a closer approach to a balance between sexes than did subsequent populations. (Pages 416-9.)

18. Sex ratios in ducks were found to vary with migration routes and various areas of their wintering grounds. (Pages 419–20.)

19. Information collected on the principal mortality factors affecting the North American duck population indicates that hunters and disease take relatively more drakes than hens. This information is not sufficient to permit appraisal of the influence of predation on sex ratios; however, appreciable losses among hens during the breeding season, most of these losses apparently attributable to predation, agricultural operations, and stress, may account for the predominance of drakes in the adult class. (Page 426.)

20. Data showed that, the more productive a species of waterfowl, the greater is apt to be the proportion of juveniles in its population at the opening of the hunting season; the greater the proportion of juveniles in a population, the more nearly balanced is its sex ratio. (Page 426.)

21. Drakes occurred in relatively greater numbers among diving ducks than among dabblers; however, examination of the available knowledge on the reproductive biology characterizing these two subfamilies revealed nothing which suggests that extra drakes may be more important to the maintenance of populations of diving ducks than of dabblers. (Page 427.)

22. The study suggested that the value of extra drakes needs investigation through an experimental procedure designed to reduce the number of drakes in a subpopulation of a species having a large drake segment. (Page 428.)

23. Sex ratios that were derived from inspection of mallards in hunters' bags in Illinois provide a fair index to production but not so good an index as age ratios; sex ratios obtained from observations on the breeding grounds in Canada do not appear to provide a more reliable index to production than sex ratios calculated from bag inspections in Illinois. (Page 429.)

24. Age ratios alone, this study assumed, are seldom true indices of waterfowl production, but they offer a promising basis for measuring it. (Page 430.)

25. In this study, age ratios were obtained by examination of ducks trapped for banding, shot by hunters, or killed by disease. (Page 431.)

26. Although most traps were selective for adults, ducks taken in traps were found to provide a rough index to yearly changes in age ratios. (Pages 431–5.)

27. Juveniles were found to be more vulnerable to hunting than adults; the vulnerability differential varied with place, time of hunting season, year, and species. (Pages 435-9.)

28. Age ratios obtained from bagged ducks and corrected for the greater vulnerability of juveniles offered the best means of determining the adult-juvenile composition of duck populations. (Page 439.)

29. Age ratios derived from waterfowl lost to severe outbreaks of disease were considered unreliable because of the irregular occurrence and site limitations of such outbreaks. (Pages 439–40.)

30. Because juveniles and adults do not follow identical migration schedules or routes, age ratios showed seasonal and regional variations. (Pages 440–9.)

31. Age ratios were found to be useful for appraising the production of ducks if the data on which they are based have been carefully evaluated as to the effect of seasonal, regional, and shooting biases. (Page 449.)

32. A production curve (page 449) that was plotted from corrected age data for mallards in hunters' bags in the Mississippi Flyway for 17 years, 1939–1955, follows a pattern somewhat similar to that plotted from uncorrected data and shows a somewhat rhythmic production trend that may be inherently characteristic of waterfowl populations and prove to be density dependent in origin. (Page 454.)

33. A comparison of mallard age ratios in the Mississippi Flyway with pintail age ratios in the Pacific Flyway for 11 years, 1949–1959, revealed for most years an unexpectedly close agreement between production trends of the two species involved. (Pages 454–5.)

34. For the period 1952-1959, the population curve plotted from forecast indices of waterfowl production in Saskatchewan was similar to the curve plotted from the Mississippi Flyway age ratios for mallards. Manitoba forecast indices showed very little correlation with mallard age ratios from the Mississippi Flyway, possibly because, as aerial surveys indicated, about six times as many mallards nest in the plains and parklands of Saskatchewan as in the plains and parklands of Manitoba, and because the Saskatchewan contribution to the Mississippi Flyway kill of mallards is larger than that of Manitoba. (Page 457.)

35. An attempt was made to correlate water conditions on the breeding grounds with mallard production. In Sasketchewan, mallard production (as determined by the number of juveniles per adult among birds bagged in Illinois) and water abundance (as measured by the number of ponds per square mile in May) followed similar trends in most years of the period 1948–1959. In Manitoba, mallard production tended to parallel water abundance in the years 1956–1959 but not in the 3 years previous. (Page 462.)

36. Decreased production by the mallard on the plains of Canada in years of very plentiful water and of cold weather, snow, and heavy rains at nesting time suggests that cold, excessively wet springs may be as unfavorable to duck production as dry, warm springs. (Page 463.) 37. Population density, as well as water and weather conditions on the breeding grounds, seems to have contributed to gross changes in mallard production in 1939–1959; it may well have been the dominant factor regulating production during those years in which there was poor correlation between age ratios and conditions on the breeding grounds. (Page 463.)

38. Age ratios of ducks checked in hunters' bags in the Mississippi Flyway for the period 1946–1949 provided indices of production for the various species. Several species appear to have had about equally high production rates: mallard, black duck, shoveler, ring-necked duck, and canvasback. The baldpate and greenwinged teal seem to have been intermediate in production. The gadwall, pintail, blue-winged teal, redhead, and lesser scaup appear to have had production rates lower than those of the other species. (Page 466.)

39. Age ratios obtained through inspection of ducks in hunters' bags were found to be of value in establishing hunting regulations, for they provide a basis for evaluating the well-being of the population and the extent to which production may be expected to replace annual losses. (Pages 466-7.)

40. Further progress in waterfowl population management, the study concluded, requires a more concerted effort to obtain age ratio data by design and to relate these data to conditions on the breeding grounds. (Page 467.) Anonymous

1957. Check-list of North American birds. Fifth edition. American Ornithologists' Union, Baltimore, Maryland. 691 pp. Allen, Durward L.

1943. Michigan fox squirrel management. Mich. Dept. Cons. Game Div. Pub. 100. 404 pp. Anderson, Maurice E.

1953. A study of the efficiency of methods of estimating duck brood production, 1952. South Dakota Department of Game, Fish and Parks, Pierre. 22 pp.

Baskett, Thomas S.

Nesting and production of the ring-necked pheasant in north-central Iowa. Ecol. 1947. Monog. 17(1):1-30.

Beer, James R.

Sex ratios of ducks in southwestern Washington. Auk 62(1):117-24. 1945.

Bellrose, Frank C.

1944. Duck populations and kill: an evaluation of some waterfowl regulations in Illinois. Ill, Nat. Hist. Surv. Bul. 23(2):327-72.

A comparison of recoveries from reward and standard bands. Jour. Wildlife Mgt. 1955. 19(1):71-5.

Lead poisoning as a mortality factor in waterfowl populations. Ill. Nat. Hist. Surv. Bul. 27(3):235-88. 1959.

Bellrose, Frank C., and Elizabeth Brown Chase

1950. Population losses in the mallard, black duck, and blue-winged teal. Ill. Nat. Hist. Surv. Biol. Notes 22. 27 pp.

Bump, Gardiner, Robert W. Darrow, Frank C. Edminster, and Walter F. Crissey 1947. The ruffed grouse: life history, propagation, management. New York State Conservation Department, [Albany]. 915 pp.

Carney, Samuel M., and Aelred D. Geis

1960. Mallard age and sex determination from wings. Jour. Wildlife Mgt. 24(4):372-81. Cartwright, Bertram W.

1956. Waterfowl banding, 1939–1954, by Ducks Unlimited. Ducks Unlimited, Winnipeg, Manitoba, Canada. 35 pp. Second edition (revised).
 Cartwright, Bertram W., and Jean T. Law
 1952. Waterfowl banding, 1939–1950, by Ducks Unlimited. Ducks Unlimited, Winnipeg, Maritable Consider 52 pp.

Manitoba, Canada. 53 pp.

Colls, D. G.

[1950.] Waterfowl breeding ground survey in Saskatchewan, 1950. U. S. Fish and Wildlife Serv. and Can. Wildlife Serv. Spec. Sci. Rep.: Wildlife 8:36-40.

Colls, D. G., and J. J. Lynch

[1951.] Waterfowl breeding ground survey in Saskatchewan, 1951. U. S. Fish and Wildlife Serv. and Can. Wildlife Serv. Spec. Sci. Rep.: Wildlife 13:35-40.

Crissey, W. F.

1954 status report of waterfowl. U. S. Fish and Wildlife Serv. Spec. Sci. Rep .-1954. Wildlife 26. 97 pp.

Diem, Kenneth L.

1959. Some aspects of wildlife population dynamics, their interpretation and role in game management. N. Am. Wildlife Conf. Trans. 24:304-11.

Dzubin, Alex

1959. Growth and plumage development of wild-trapped juvenile canvasback (Aythya valisineria). Jour. Wildlife Mgt. 23(3):279-90.

Erickson, Arnold B. Sex ratios of ducks in Minnesota, 1938-1940. Auk 60(1):20-34. 1943.

Errington, Paul L.

1943. An analysis of mink predation upon muskrats in north-central United States. Iowa Ag. Expt. Sta. Res. Bul. 320:797-924.

Some contributions of a fifteen-year local study of the northern bobwhite to a knowl-1945. edge of population phenomena. Ecol. Monog. 15(1):1-34.

Evans, Charles D.

1955. Waterfowl populations and breeding conditions in southern Manitoba, 1954. U. S. Fish and Wildlife Serv. and Can. Wildlife Serv. Spec. Sci. Rep .-- Wildlife 27:71-81.

Evans, Charles D., and Kenneth E. Black

Duck production studies on the prairie potholes of South Dakota. U. S. Fish and 1956. Wildlife Serv. Spec. Sci. Rep.-Wildlife 32:1-59.

Evenden, Fred G., Jr.

1952. Waterfowl sex ratios observed in the western United States. Jour. Wildlife Mgt. 16(3):391-3.

Forbes, S. A.

1880. On some interactions of organisms. Ill. Lab. Nat. Hist. Bul. 1(3):3-17.

Fuller, Robert W., and Jessop B. Low

1951. Studies in the life history and ecology of the American pintail. Utah Coop. Wildlife Res. Unit Quart. Activ. Rep. 16(3):40-3.

Furniss, O. C.

The sex ratio in ducks. Wilson Bul. 47(4):277-8. 1935.

- The 1937 waterfowl season in the Prince Albert district, central Saskatchewan. 1938. Wilson Bul. 50(1):17-27.
- Geis, Aelred D.
 - Annual and shooting mortality estimates for the canvasback. Jour. Wildlife Mgt. 1959. 23(3):253-61.
- Glover, Fred A.
- Spring waterfowl migration through Clay and Palo Alto counties, Iowa. Iowa State 1951. Col. Jour. Sci. 25(3):483-92.
- Gollop, J. B.
 - Waterfowl breeding ground survey in Saskatchewan-1953: special study area-1954. Kindersley-Eston. U. S. Fish and Wildlife Serv. and Can. Wildlife Serv. Spec. Sci. Rep.-Wildlife 25:65-73.

Gollop, J. B., and J. J. Lynch
 1955. Waterfowl breeding ground survey, Saskatchewan-1954. U. S. Fish and Wildlife Serv. and Can. Wildlife Serv. Spec. Sci. Rep.-Wildlife 27:45-55.

Gollop, J. B., John J. Lynch, and William Hyska

[1952.] Waterfowl breeding ground survey in Saskatchewan. U. S. Fish and Wildlife Serv. and Can. Wildlife Serv. Spec. Sci. Rep.: Wildlife 21:33-40.

Gower, W. Carl

The use of the bursa of Fabricius as an indication of age in game birds. N. Am. Wildlife Conf. Trans. 4:426-30. 1939.

Hammond, M. C.

- Sample variation in local waterfowl sex ratios. Miss. Flyway Waterfowl Com. News 1949. Letter 9:7-9.
- Some observations on sex ratio of ducks contracting botulism in North Dakota. Jour 1950. Wildlife Mgt. 14(2):209-14.
- Hanson, Harold C.
 - Methods of determining age in Canada geese and other waterfowl. Jour. Wildlife 1949. Mgt. 13(2):177-83.
- Hawkins, Arthur S.
 - 1948. Waterfowl breeding conditions in Manitoba, 1947. U. S. Fish and Wildlife Serv. Spec. Sci. Rep. 45:39-57.
 - Waterfowl breeding ground survey in Manitoba-1949. U. S. Fish and Wildlife Serv. 1949. and Dominion Wildlife Serv. Spec. Sci. Rep.: Wildlife 2:53-65.
 - [1950.] Waterfowl breeding ground survey in Manitoba, 1950. U. S. Fish and Wildlife Serv. and Can. Wildlife Serv. Spec. Sci. Rep.: Wildlife 8:41-8.
 - Waterfowl breeding ground survey in Manitoba. U. S. Fish and Wildlife Serv. and 1954. Can. Wildlife Serv. Spec. Sci. Rep .- Wildlife 25:74-80.

Hawkins, Arthur S., Frank C. Bellrose, Jr., and Robert H. Smith 1946. A waterfowl reconnaissance in the Grand Prairie region of Arkansas. N. Am. Wildlife Conf. Trans. 11:394-401.

- Hawkins, Arthur S., and F. Graham Cooch
 - 1948. Waterfowl breeding conditions in Manitoba, 1948. U. S. Fish and Wildlife Serv.

and Dominion Wildlife Serv. Spec. Sci. Rep. 60:76-98.
Hawkins, A. S., J. B. Gollop, and E. G. Wellein
[1951.] Waterfowl breeding ground survey in Manitoba, 1951. U. S. Fish and Wildlife Serv. and Can. Wildlife Serv. Spec. Sci. Rep.: Wildlife 13:41-9.
Hawkins, Arthur S., and Edward G. Wellein

- [1952.] Waterfowl breeding ground survey in Manitoba. U. S. Fish and Wildlife Serv. and Can. Wildlife Serv. Spec. Sci. Rep.: Wildlife 21:61-5.

Hickey, Joseph J.

1952. Survival studies of banded birds. U. S. Fish and Wildlife Serv. Spec. Sci. Rep.: Wildlife 15. 177 pp.

Hjelle, Brandt V.

1950. Bag check-1949 season. N. Dak. Outdoors 12(8):14.

Hochbaum, H. Albert

- 1942. Sex and age determination of waterfowl by cloacal examination. N. Am. Wildlife Conf. Trans. 7:299-307.
- 1944. The canvasback on a prairie marsh. American Wildlife Institute, Washington, D. C. 201 pp.
- 1946. Recovery potentials in North American waterfowl. N. Am. Wildlife Conf. Trans. 11:403-16.

Hopkins, Ralph C.

- 1947. Waterfowl management research. Wis. Wildlife Res. Prog. Reps. 5(4):12-33. Johnsgard, Paul A., and Irven O. Buss
- - 1956. Waterfowl sex ratios during spring in Washington state and their interpretation. Jour. Wildlife Mgt. 20(4):384-8.

Jordan, James S.

1953. Consumption of cereal grains by migratory waterfowl. Jour. Wildlife Mgt. 17(2): 120-3.

Jordan, James S., and Frank C. Bellrose

1951. Lead poisoning in wild waterfowl. Ill. Nat. Hist. Surv. Biol. Notes 26. 27 pp.

Kabat, Cyril, R. K. Meyer, Kenneth G. Flakas, and Ruth L. Hine

1956. Seasonal variation in stress resistance and survival in the hen pheasant. Wis. Cons. Dept. Tech. Wildlife Bul. 13. 48 pp.

Kalmbach, E. R.

Crow-waterfowl relationships in the Prairie Provinces. N. Am. Wildlife Conf. Trans. 1937. 2:380-92.

Labisky, Ronald F.

1957. Relation of hay harvesting to duck nesting under a refuge-permittee system. Jour. Wildlife Mgt. 21(2):194-200.

Lebret, T.

The sex-ratios and the proportion of adult drakes of teal, pintail, shoveler and 1950. wigeon in the Netherlands, based on field counts made during autumn, winter and spring. Ardea 38(1-2):1-18.

Leopold, Aldo

1933. Game management. Charles Scribner's Sons, New York. 481 pp.

Lincoln, Frederick C.

1932. Do drakes outnumber susies? Am. Game 21(1):3-4, 16-7.

Low, Jessop B.

- 1941. Spring flight of the diving ducks through northwestern Iowa. Condor 43(3):142-51. Lynch, John J.
 - 1948. Waterfowl breeding conditions in Saskatchewan, 1947. U. S. Fish and Wildlife Serv. Spec. Sci. Rep. 45:21-38.
 - Waterfowl breeding ground survey in Saskatchewan, 1949. U. S. Fish and Wildlife Serv. and Dominion Wildlife Serv. Spec. Sci. Rep.: Wildlife 2:48-52. 1949.

Lynch, J. J., and J. B. Gollop 1954. Waterfowl breeding ground survey in Saskatchewan. U. S. Fish and Wildlife Serv. and Can. Wildlife Serv. Spec. Sci. Rep.-Wildlife 25:+3-54.

Mann, Roberts, David H. Thompson, and John Jedlicka

1947. Report on waterfowl banding at McGinnis Slough Orland Wildlife Refuge for the years 1944 and 1945. Forest Preserve District of Cook County, Illinois. 235 pp.

Mainland, Donald, Lee Herrera, and Marion I. Sutcliffe

Statistical tables for use with binomial samples-contingency tests, confidence limits, sample size estimates. New York University College of Medicine, New York, N. Y. 1956. 83 pp.

Mayr, Ernst

1939. The sex ratio in wild birds. Am. Nat. 73(745):156-79.

McIlhenny, E. A.

1940. Sex ratio in wild birds. Auk 57(1):85-93.

Milonski, Mike

1958. The significance of farmland for waterfowl nesting and techniques for reducing losses due to agricultural practices. N. Am. Wildlife Conf. Trans. 23:215-26.

Mumford, Russell E.

1954. Waterfowl management in Indiana. Ind. Dept. Cons. P.-R. Bul. 2. 99 pp. Munro, J. A.

1950. A study of waterfowl sex ratios during spring migration-Minnesota, 1950. Flicker 22(4):114-20.

Owen, Richard

On the anatomy of vertebrates. Vol. 2, Birds and mammals. Longmans, Green, and 1866. Co., London. 592 pp.

Petrides, George A.

1944. Sex ratios in ducks. Auk 61(4):564-71.

Petrides, George A., and Charles R. Bryant

An analysis of the 1949-50 fowl cholera epizootic in Texas Panhandle waterfowl. 1951. N. Am. Wildlife Conf. Trans. 16:193-216.

Pirnie, Miles David

1935. Michigan waterfowl management. Michigan Department of Conservation, Lansing. 328 pp.

^{1943.} Studies of waterfowl in British Columbia: mallard. Can. Jour. Res. 21(D):223-60. Nelson, Harvey K.

Rosen, Merton N., and Arthur I. Bischoff

The epidemiology of fowl cholera as it occurs in the wild. N. Am. Wildlife Conf. 1950. Trans. 15:147-53.

1959. No water! No ducks! N. Dak. Outdoors 22(4):4-5.

Selye, Hans

1956. The stress of life. McGraw-Hill Book Company, Inc., New York. 325 pp.

Singleton, J. R.

- 1953. Texas coastal waterfowl survey. Tex. Game and Fish Comn. FA Rep. Ser. 11. 128 pp. Smith, Allen G.
 - [1959.] Progress report: the 1959 waterfowl surveys of the Lousana study area, Lousana, Alberta, Canada. United States Bureau of Sport Fisheries and Wildlife, Wildlife Research Laboratory, Denver, Colorado. 15 pp.

Smith, Robert H.

Aerial reconnaissance of the Prairie Provinces. U. S. Fish and Wildlife Serv. Spec. 1948. Sci. Rep. 45:58-68.

Soper, J. Dewey

1948. Waterfowl breeding conditions in Saskatchewan, 1948. U. S. Fish and Wildlife Serv. and Dominion Wildlife Serv. Spec. Sci. Rep. 60:56-75.

Sowls, Lyle K.

- [1950.] Notes on the chronology of the 1950 waterfowl nesting season in southern Manitoba. U. S. Fish and Wildlife Serv. and Can. Wildlife Serv. Spec. Sci. Rep.: Wildlife 8:59-61.
- 1955. Prairie ducks, a study of their behavior, ecology and management. Stackpole Company, Harrisburg, Pennsylvania, and Wildlife Management Institute, Washington, D. C. 193 pp.

Stokes, Allen W.

[1954.] Population studies of the ring-necked pheasants on Pelee Island, Ontario. Ont. Dept. Lands and Forests Tech. Bul.: Wildlife Ser. 4. 154 pp.

Stoudt, Jerome H.

- [1952.] Waterfowl breeding ground survey of Redvers area, Saskatchewan. U. S. Fish and Wildlife Serv. and Can. Wildlife Serv. Spec. Sci. Rep.: Wildlife 21:52-60.
- [1959.] 1959 progress report: Redvers Waterfowl Study Area with comparative data for seven previous years. United States Bureau of Sport Fisheries and Wildlife, Wildlife Research Laboratory, Denver, Colorado. 110 pp. Stoudt, Jerome H., and Raymond J. Buller

1954. Waterfowl breeding ground survey of Redvers area, Saskatchewan. U. S. Fish and Wildlife Serv. and Can. Wildlife Serv. Spec. Sci. Rep.: Wildlife 25:55-64.

Stoudt, Jerome H., and Marshall Stinnett

1955. Waterfowl breeding ground survey of Redvers area, Saskatchewan, 1952–1954. U. S. Fish and Wildlife Serv. and Can. Wildlife Serv. Spec. Sci. Rep.-Wildlife 27:56-65.

Teplov, V. F., and N. N. Kartashev

Wildfowl research in Russia; biological foundations for the regulation of wild-1958. fowling in the central districts of the European part of the U.S.S.R. Pp. 157-69 in Ninth Annual Report of the Wildfowl Trust, 1956-1957. Country Life, Ltd., London. 239 pp.

Ticehurst, Claud B.

1938. On a character of immaturity in the Anatidae. Ibis, fourteenth series, 2(4):772-3.

Van Den Akker, John B., and Vanez T. Wilson

1951. Public hunting on the Bear River Migratory Bird Refuge, Utah. Jour. Wildlife Mgt. 15(4):367-81. Weller, Milton W.

1957. Growth, weights, and plumages of the redhead, Aythya americana. Wilson Bul. **69**(1):5-38.

Williams, C. S.

1953. 1953 status report of waterfowl. U. S. Fish and Wildlife Serv. Spec. Sci. Rep .--Wildlife 22, 64 pp.

Yocom, Charles F.

1949. A study of sex ratios of mallards in the state of Washington. Condor 51(5):222-7.

Schroeder, Charles H.

The following index covers Articles 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, and 6 of Volume 27 of the ILLINOIS NATURAL HISTORY SURVEY BULLETIN. Indexing has been limited for the most part to the names of birds, fish, insects, mammals, and plants mentioned in the articles. In most cases, the singular form of the word has been used in the index, even though the plural form has been used in the text, as mouse for both mice and mouse. Place names have not been indexed.

Of necessity, variation occurs in some of the terms. For example, *peach* in the index may refer to either the fruit or the tree.

Α

Abelia, 151

Abutilon theophrasti, 326 Acanthocephala (acanthocephalan), 66, 137 Acarina, 297, 300, 303, 305, 307, 309, 312, 319, 322, 339 Acnida altissima, 294, 298, 302, 304, 314, 323-4, 332-3; see also Water-hemp Aeshna, 296, 305 Aix sponsa, 254, 312, 394; sec also Duck, wood Alderfly, 140 Alfalfa, 150-1, 185, 425 Algae, 16, 18, 20-2. 161. 170, 306, 303-10, 325, 355, 357-8, 380, 387 plankton, 355–7 Alisma subcordatum, 325 Alona, 12 Amaranth, green, 326 Amaranthus retroflexus, 326 Amaryltis, 158 Ambloplites rupestris, 1; see also Bass, rock Ambrosia artemisiifolia, 321, 325 psilostachya, 325 trifida, 325 Ammannia coccinca, 327 Amnicola, 296, 299, 320, 338, 340 binneyana, 319 peracuta, 316 Amphibia (amphibian), 134, 136, 297, 339-40, 372 Amphipoda (amphipod), 12, 15-6, 18, 24, 27, 52, 296, 299, 305, 340 Anacharis canadensis, 326 Anas acuta, 233, 297, 393; see also Duck, pintail (American) carolinensis, 247, 303, 394; see also Duck, green-winged teal cyanoptera, 247; see also Duck, cinnamon teal diazi, 394 discors, 247, 393; see also Duck, blue-winged teal fulvigula, 394 platyrhynchos, 238, 293, 393; see also Duck, mallard rubripes, 257, 293, 314, 394; see also Duck, black strepera, 247, 308, 394; see also Duck, gadwall Anax junius, 299, 316 Ancylidae, 12 Anguilla rostrata, 5 Animal, 119, 125, 127-8, 132, 134, 144, 161, 180, 197 - 8aquatic, 163, 178 domestic, 346

moss, 338 wild, 201 Animal foods [of waterfowl], 292-3, 295-7, 299-303, 305-23, 337-40, 343 Anisoptera, 12, 14-6, 18, 20-2, 29, 296, 299, 305, 338 Annelida (annelid), 12, 15, 22 Annuals, 145 Anser albifrons, 247; see also Goose, whitefronted Anseriformes, 191 Ant, 29, 297, 300, 305, 316, 339 Anthomyiidae, 316, 339 Aphid, 100, 112, 118, 121, 132-5, 138-40 corn root, 117 pea, 118, 123 spotted alfalfa, 118, 123 Aphis, 121 Aphodius distinctus, 297, 299, 309, 312, 319; see also Beetle, scarab femoralis, 312, 316 Apidae, 13 Apis mellifera, 13 Apple, 105-10, 130, 151-2 crab, 157 Apple-leaf folder, lesser, 105 Apricot, 107, 151 Arachnida (arachnoid), 137, 297, 300, 303, 305, 307, 309, 316, 319, 322, 339 Araneae, 12, 16, 18, 22, 300, 316, 319 Arbor vitae American, 146 Chinese, 146 Siberian, 146 Ardes herodias, 65 Argia apicalis, 12 Argiopoidea, 300, 322 Armyworm, 114-5, 117, 123 fall, 123-4 Arrenurus, 12 Arrowhead, common, 300, 337 Arthropoda, 296, 299, 303, 305, 307, 309, 312-4, 316, 319-20, 322, 338 Asellus, 12, 15, 296, 299, 305 communis, 15 Ash, 158, 160 mountain, 157 wafer, 151 Aster, 158 Ataenius, 13 Avena sativa, 327; see also Oats Avens, 327 Aythya, 430 affinis, 239, 315, 393; see also Duck, lesser scaup americana, 254, 321, 394; see also Duck, redhead

[475]

Aythya-continued collaris, 254, 317, 393; see also Duck, ringnecked marila, 233, 323; see also Duck, greater scaup valisineria, 238, 320, 394; see also Duck, canvasback Azalea, 158 B Backswimmer, 296, 338 Bacteria, 27, 77, 147, 153, 161 Bagworm, 118 Barley, 117, 151 Bass, 165, 171-2, 176, 353-6, 362-5, 367, 371-2, 375-7, 379-88 black, 164 largemouth, 5-6, 10-3, 22-3, 28-9, 36, 49, 62, 68-77, 79, 164, 170, 172-8, 345, 353-4, 359-61, 365-6, 368, 370-1, 37+, 376, 378-82, 384, 387 rock, 1, 43 smalimouth, 5, 72, 74-6, 79, 175-6, 178 spotted, 5 yellow, 5 Bean, 152 navy, 326 trailing wild, 326 wild, 326 Beaver, 196 Bedbug, 104, 123 Bee, 29, 121, 135 bumble, 100 Beet, 111 Beetle, 29, 129, 142 asparagus, 105 bark, 118 beau leaf, 118 carpet, 123 Colorado potato, 104-5, 109, 111-2, 115, 123-+ corn flea, 151 cucumber, 111 diving, 296, 299, 303, 305, 307, 309, 312, 314, 316, 319-20, 322, 339 ground, 296, 299, 303, 305, 312, 316, 319-20, 339 Japanese, 104 June, 135, 143 leaf, 135, 297, 300, 305, 307, 313, 319, 339 Mexican bean, 104 rove, 135, 296, 299, 305, 312, 339 scarab, 297, 299, 307, 309, 312, 319, 339 snout, 106, 297, 300, 303, 316, 320, 339 water, 135, 322 water scavenger, 296, 299, 314, 316, 339 whirligig, 296, 303, 305, 312, 319, 339 Beggar-tick, 325 Begonia, 158 Belostoma, 13 Belostomatidae (on some pages misspelled Belastomatidae), 13, 296, 299, 319, 338 Benacus, 319 Berosus, 13 Bidens frondosa, 325 Billbug, 117 Birch, 118 Bird, 86, 96, 105, 120-1, 129-30, 136, 179-81, 183-4, 188, 206, 210

fish-eating, 164 game, 181, 183, 199 migratory game, 182 nongame, 182-3 song-, 198 upland game, 182, 186 water, 205 Blackberry, 152 Blackbird, red-winged, 121 Blastobasidae, 144 Blight, 112 Blissus leucopterus, 116-7; see also Bug, chinch Blue jay, 186 Bluegill, 4-6, 8-10, 39-40, 62, 67-9, 71-2, 74-6, 172-3, 176-8, 345, 353-6, 362-8, 370-88; see also Lepomis macrochirus Bobwhite, see Quail Bonasa umbellus, 467 Bootjack, 337 Borer clover root, 118 elm, 154 European corn, 104, 112, 122-3, 143 flat-headed, 118 flatheaded wood, 296, 339 peach tree, 108 round-headed, 118 squash vine, 111 stalk, 117 tree, 108 Branchiopoda, 305, 338, 340 Branta canadensis, 239, 425; see also Goose, Canada Bream, 71 Bruchus granarius, 105 Bryophyte, 161 Bryozoa (bryozoan), 12, 16, 20, 22, 296, 299, 303, 305-8, 312, 316, 319, 322, 338, 340 Bucephala albeola, 259; see also Duck, bufflehead clangula, 247, 323; see also Duck, common goldeneye Buckeye, 158 Buckwheat climbing false, 294, 324 common, 325 Buffalo, 128 Buffalo [fish], 164, 172-3 mongrel, 172 redmouth, 172 Bug, 29, 86, 89, 91, 114 chinch, 93, 114-7, 121, 123-4, 296, 299, 338 plant, 140, 338 squash, 316, 339 stink, 139, 296, 339 water, 296, 299, 319, 338 Bullhead black, 5, 172, 176 yellow, 5 Bulrush alkali, 322, 325 American, 292, 325 green, 325 hard-stem, 309, 318, 325 river, 196, 309, 318, 321, 323, 325, 334, 337, 343 soft-stem, 292, 309, 318, 321, 325 Buprestidae, 13, 296, 339 Bur-reed, 193

giant, 292, 318, 323, 325, 337, 343 Buttercup, 325 Butterfly, imported cabbage, 104 Buttonbush, 292, 294, 298, 300, 302, 304, 306, 311, 313-4, 318, 323-4, 334, 343 Buttonweed, 327 C Cabbage, 111, 113 Caddistly, 15, 21, 23-6, 28, 52, 135, 140, 142, 297, 300, 303, 305, 307, 309, 312, 314, 316, 339-40 Caenis, 12, 14-5, 27, 29, 299, 305, 338 Calla, 158-9 Callimorpha Lecontei, 105 Camallanus oxycephalus, 65-6, 78 Cambarus virilis, 296, 316 Campeloma, 296, 299, 316, 319, 338, 340 Candona, 305 Cankerworm, 109, 118, 183 spring, 155 Canthocampus, 305 Carabidae, 296, 299, 303, 305, 307, 312, 316, 319-20, 339 Carex rostrata, 326 Carinifex, 338 newberryi, 296 Carnation, 158 Carp, 4-5, 164, 172-3, 386 Carpiodes cyprinus, 5 Carpsucker, quillback, 5 Carrot, 111 Casnonia pennsylvanica, 299 Cassia fasciculata, 326 Catalpa, 157, 160 Caterpillar pear, 105 tent, 118 walnut, 113 Catfish, 6, 172 channel, 5, 176, 386 flathead, 5 Catostomus commersoni, 5 Cattail, 196 Cattle, 178, 346 Cedar deodar, 146 of Lebanon, 146 red, 146 Celithemis, 12 Celtis occidentalis, 326; see also Hackberry Centrarchidae (centrarchid), 1, 65, 70, 78, 171, 178 Cephalanthus occidentalis, 294, 298, 302, 304, 306, 311, 313-4, 318, 323-4, 334; see also Buttonbush Ceratophyllum demersum, 294, 298, 302, 304, 306, 309, 311, 313-5, 318, 320-1, 323-4, 330; see also Coontail Ceratopogonidae, 13 Cestoda (cestode), 12, 15, 21-2 Chaenobryttus gulosus, 1, 5, 13, 76, 17+; see also Warmouth Chaetocnema, 319 pulicaria, 151 Chafers, vine leaf, 183 Chaoborus, 13, 26 Chara, 355, 358, 376, 387 Charadriiformes, 191

Chen caerulescens, 244; see also Goose, blue hyperborea, 244; see also Goose, snow Chenopodium album, 325 Cherry, 107, 151-2, 327 Chicken, prairie, 103, 183, 186-7, 198 Chironomidae (chironomid), 13, 139, 297, 300, 303, 305, 307, 309, 312, 316, 319-20, 322, 339 Chordata, 297, 300, 319-20, 339 Chrysanthemum, 158 Chrysomelidae, 13, 143, 297, 300, 305, 307, 313, 319, 339 Chubsucker, lake, 5 Chufa, 247, 292, 294-5, 298, 302, 304, 311, 318, 323-4, 335, 343 Chydorus, 12 Cicadellidae, 141, 296, 307, 338 Cicadellinae, 142 Ciliate, 27 Cinquefoil, 326 Cladium mariscoides, 326 Cladocera (cladoceran), 12, 15-6, 18, 20-2, 24-5, 27-8, 51 Clam, fresh-water, 296, 299, 338 Clangula hyemalis, 323; see also Duck, oldsquaw Clover, 108, 114, 185, 327 sweet, 151 Cloverworm, green, 118 Coccidia, 191 Cockroach, 123 Coenagrionidae, 296, 299, 305 Coleoptera, 13, 16, 18, 20-2, 29, 129, 142, 296, 299, 303, 305, 307, 309, 312-4, 316, 319-20, 322, 339 Coleus, 159 Colinus virginianus, 341; see also Quail Collembola (collembolan), 12, 15, 20, 22, 144 Colymbetes, 299 Compositae, 326 Coniothyrium, 155 Convolvulus arvensis, 327 Coontail, 193, 269, 294-5, 298, 300-2, 304, 306, 308-11, 313-5, 317-8, 320-4, 327, 330, 343 Coot, 261 Copepoda (copepod), 12, 15-6, 18, 20-2, 25, 27-8, 65, 303, 305, 312, 338 Coralberry, 327 Cord-grass, prairie, 326 Coreidae, 316, 339 Corixa, 296, 299, 303, 305, 307, 309, 312-3, 316, 319-20, 322 Corixidae, 13, 296, 299, 316, 319, 338 Cormorant, 176 Corn, 113-4, 117, 150-1, 160, 177, 192, 195, 199-200, 247-9, 269, 282, 289, 293-5, 298, 300, 304, 306, 308, 311, 313-5, 321, 323-4, 327-8, 343, 348, 350 broom, 151 field, 112 Indian, 204 sweet, 112-3 Cornus, 325 Corydalis cornuta, 296 Cotton, 118 Cottontail, see Rabbit (cottontail) Cottonwood, 148

Cow, 120

Cowbird, 186 Cowpea, 151, 325 Crab-grass, 309-10, 326 smooth, 309, 326 Crapp.e, 164, 171, 379 black, 5, 172-3 white, 5, 172-3 Crataegus, 326; see also Hawthorn and Haw Craynsh, 14-5, 21-3, 24-5, 27-30, 51-2, 77, 296, 316, 340 Cricket, 69 Crop, 143, 151, 158 cercal, 113, 117, 123-4, 160 economic, 148, 159-60 field, 149, 352 [fish], 376-7, 379-80, 383 tioricultural, 158-60 forage, 113, 123-4, 148, 160 fruit, 113, 123, 147, 149, 160 grain, 148, 160 ornamental, 159-60 pasture, 160 vegetable, 113, 147, 160 Crow, 186 Crustacea (crustacean), 130, 133-4, 137, 163, 261, 296, 299, 301, 303, 305-9, 312, 316, 319, 338-+0 Cucumber, 151 Culicidae, 13 Curculio, plum, 104, 106-8 Curculionidae, 297, 300, 316, 320, 339 Currant, 151-2 Cuscuta, 327 Cutworm, 115, 117, 123 Cyclops, 12, 305 Cyclorrhapha, 309 Cyperus crythrorhizos, 294, 298, 302, 304, 311, 318, 323-4, 332; see also Nut-grass, red-rooted esculentus, 247, 294, 298, 302, 304, 311, 318, 323-4, 335; see also Chufa ferax, 304, 324 lancastriensis, 153 strigosus, 294, 298, 302, 304, 311, 323-4, 334; sec also Nut-grass Cypress (tree), 349 Cyprinus carpio, 5; see also Carp coronarius, 1 Cypris, 305 Cytosporina, 155 D Damselfly, 14-5, 22-6, 28-30, 52, 77, 139, 296, 299, 305, 338 Daphnia, 12 Darter, Johnny, 5, 74 Daucus pusillus, 153 Decapoda (decapod), 12, 14-6, 18, 20-2, 296 Deer, 197-8 red, 129 Deer's tongue, 332 Dendrocygna autumnalis, 394 bicolor, 394 Deutzia, 151 Dewberry, northern, 327

Diabrotica, 307

undecimpunctata howardi, 300, 305, 313

Dickcissel, 184 Dicliptera brachiata, 153 Digitaria ischaemum, 309, 326 sanguinalis, 309, 326; see also Crab-grass Diodia teres, 327 Diptera (dipteran), 13, 15-6, 18, 20-2, 24-6, 28-30, 51-2, 135, 139-40, 142, 297, 300, 303, 305, 307, 309, 312, 316, 319-20, 322, 339 Dobsonfly, 140 Dock, pale, 325 Dodder, 327 Dog domestic, 196 hunting, 199 Dogwood, 313, 325 Dolomedes triton sexpunctatus, 12 Dorosoma cepedianum, 5, 340; see also Shad, gizzard Dothiorella quercina, 156 Dothiorella wilt fungus, 155 Dove, mourning, 194-5, 199 Draeculacephala, 307 Dragoniły, 15, 22-5, 28-30, 52, 77, 139, 296, 299, 305, 307, 316, 338 Dryopidae, 320, 339 Duck, 111, 177, 191-4, 196, 199, 239, 242-50, 252-73, 275-6, 278-80, 282-3, 284-6, 289-343, 391-+70 baldpate, 247, 254, 258-62, 264-6, 282, 284, 291, 293, 306-8, 327, 330, 341-3, 404-7, 410-4, 416-7, 420-3, 425-8, 438-9, 447-8, 458, 470 black, 193, 242, 257-65, 267-8, 285, 289, 291, 293, 314-5, 340-1, 343, 394, 396-7, 399-401, 406-8, 421, 435-7, 447, 466, 470 black-bellied tree, 394 blue-winged teal, 193-4, 247, 253-60, 264, 282, 284, 291, 293, 301-5, 311, 332, 334-5, 337, 341, 343, 393-4, 398, 403-4, 406-7, 412-4, 416-8, 420-2, 425, 427-8, 437-8, 466, 470 bufflehead, 259-61, 284 canvasback, 238, 247, 257, 259-65, 267-9, 282, 285, 291, 293, 320-1, 341, 343, 394, 400, 403-9, 412, 414, 416, 420-1, 426-8, 438, 466, 468, 470 cinnamon teal, 247, 412 common goldeneye, 247, 254, 259-61, 284, 291, 323, 341-3 fulvous tree, 394 gadwall, 247, 254, 258-62, 264-6, 282, 284, 291, 293, 308-10, 327, 330, 341-3, 394, 403-8, 411-3, 416-7, 420-2, 425, 427-30, +38, 448, 458, 466, 468, 470 greater scaup, 238, 246-7, 291, 323, 341, 343 green-winged teal, 241, 247, 253, 258-61, 263-6, 284, 291, 293, 303-6, 311, 331-2, 334-5, 337, 341, 343, 394, 403-9, 411-3, 416, 419-23, 425, 428-9, 438-9, 443, 448, 466, 468, 470 lesser scaup, 239, 241, 254, 256-61, 263-5, 267-9, 282, 285, 291, 293, 315-7, 337, 341-3, 207-7, 202, 203, 271, 273, 377, 337, 341-3, 393, 402-8, 412-4, 416-7, 419-21, 426-8, 438, 447, 466, 470 mallard, 191-4, 196, 236-50, 254-62, 264-86, 289, 291-7, 300-3, 307, 313, 315, 327-8, 330-1, 333, 335, 340-3, 393-401, 403-70 merganser, 259-61, 284 Mexican, 394

mottled, 394

oldsquaw, 291, 323, 341, 343 pintail (American), 196, 238-41, 244, 247, 253-62, 264-9, 281-2, 284-5, 291, 293, 297-303, 307-8, 327-8, 331-2, 333-5, 337, 341-3, 393, 402–23, 425–9, 438–9, 442–4, 447–8, 454–6, 462–3, 466, 468–70 redhead, 241, 254, 257-69, 282, 285, 291, 293, 321-2, 327, 341-3, 394, 400, 402-4, 406-3, 410-4, 416, 421, 426-8, 438-9, 447, 466, 468, 470 ring-necked, 254, 259-61, 263-5, 267-9, 282, 285, 291, 293, 317-9, 327, 330, 337, 341-3, 393, 405-8, 412-4, 427-9, 466, 468, 470 403, 406-14, 416, 421-2, 425-8, 437-9, 443, 448, 466, 470 teal, 308, 333, 342 wood, 103, 192, 196, 254-6, 259-61, 264, 282, 234, 291, 293, 312-4, 327, 341, 343, 394, 402-3, 405, 466 Duck-potato, 193, 292, 294-5, 298, 300, 304, 306, 318, 320-1, 323-4, 336-7, 343 Duckweed, 300, 308, 310 lesser, 298, 302, 304-6, 324 Dytiscidae, 13, 296, 299, 305, 307, 309, 312, 314, 316, 319-20, 322, 339 Earthworm, 69, 133, 137, 356 Earworm, corn, 111-3 Echinochloa crusgalli, 294, 298, 302, 304, 309, 311, 313-4, 321, 323-+, 330-1; see also Millet, wild frumentacea, 330; see also Millet, Japanese walteri, 294, 298, 302, 304, 311, 323-4, 335; sce also Millet, Walter's Ectoparasite, 132, 197 Eel, American, 5 Egg plant, 111 Elateridae, 13 Elderberry, 117 Eleocharis obtusa, 325 palustris, 325 parvula, 325 Elk, 128 Elm, 118, 15+-60 American, 155-6 Asiatic, 155 Chinese, 157 English, 157 slippery, 157 Elmidae, 297, 305, 339 Enallagma basidens, 12 carunculatum, 12 civile, 12 signatum, 12 Entomostraca, 29-30, 65 Ephemeroptera, 12, 14-6, 18, 20-2, 141, 296, 299, 303, 305, 307, 312, 314, 316, 319-20, 322, 338 Epicordulia princeps, 12

Eragrostis hypnoides, 298, 302, 304, 311, 323-4, 337; *see also* Grass, teal

Erichloa villosa, 153 Erimyzon sucetta, 5 Eristalis, 13 Erythemis simplicicollis, 12 Esox vermiculatus, 5 Etheostoma nigrum, 5, 74 Evergreen, 146 F Fagopyrum sagittatum, 325 Field-bindweed, 327 Fir balsam, 146 silver, 146 Fish, 1-79, 96, 103, 129-31, 134-6, 163-4, 166-78, 206, 210, 261, 297, 300-1, 319, 339-40, 342, 345, 350, 353, 355-6, 363-5, 367-8, 372, 375-7, 379-81, 384, 386-8 white, 170 Flagellate, 27 Flea, 104 water, 340 Flower, 146 wild, 153, 206 Fluke, strigeid, 65; see also Posthodiplostomum minimum Flumnicola, 296, 316, 319-20, 338 Fly, 119-20, 139, 339, 356 black, 119 buffalo, 119 flower, 135 hessian, 104, 114-5, 117, 122 horn, 120 horse, 119-20, 297, 339 house, 119-20 ichneumon, 300, 305, 319 lace-winged, 121 stable, 120, 123 Syrphus, 121 Tachinid, 123 two-winged, 111 Fog-fruit, 325 Folder, lesser apple-leaf, 105 Formicidae, 13, 297, 300, 305, 316, 339 Fowl, domestic, 111 Fox, red, 196 Foxtail green, 326 yellow, 326 Frog, 297, 339-+0 Fruit, 106-10, 113, 123, 148, 151, 261 grain, 146 Fulica americana, 261 Fundulus diaphanus, 5 notatus, 5 Fungus (fungi), 121, 147-9, 151-3, 156-7, 160-2, 170-1 bracket, 161 Dothiorella wilt, 155

G

Galliformes, 191 Gammarid, 319 Gammarus, 296, 299, 305 fasciatus, 319 Gar, short-nosed, 176 Gardenia, 158 Gastropoda (gastropod), 12, 16, 18, 20-2, 26, Helminth, 191 Hemerobius, 121

Herring, 36

Hog, 107-8

Hollyhock, 158

Hornwort, 330

Heron, great blue, 65

plantain

limbata, 12, 14

Hibiscus militaris, 326

Hemiptera, 13, 16, 18, 21-2, 29, 296, 299, 303,

305, 307, 309, 312-3, 316, 319-20, 322, 33

Heteranthera dubia, 309, 325; see also Mud-

Hexagenia, 296, 299, 303, 305, 307, 312, 314,

316, 319-20, 322, 338, 340

Homoptera, 13, 22, 296, 307, 338 Honeysuckle, bush, 151

Gastropoda (gastropod)-continued 296, 299, 303, 305, 307, 312, 316, 319-20, 338 Geotrupes, 307 Geranium, 158 Gerbera, 158 Gerridae, 13, 296, 316, 338 Gerris, 13 remigis, 316 Geum, 327 Gladiolus, 158-60, 162 Goggle-eye, 1 Goldenrain (tree), 157 Goldfish, 173 Gomphus notatus, 296 Goose, 238, 259-60, 428, 431 American brant, 260 blue, 241, 244, 246-7, 259-60, 282, 284 Canada, 190-1, 239-40, 243, 246-7, 257-60, 284, 425, 428, 431 lesser snow, 244 snow, 241, 246-7, 259-60, 202, 284 white-fronted, 247, 260 Gooseberry, 152 ornamental, 151 Goshawk, 186 Grackle, 186 Grain, 123, 149 small, 117, 150, 160 Gramineae, 326 Grape, 107, 152 frost, 313, 326 Grass, 115, 117, 146, 188 barnyard, 295, 331 corn, 335 eel, 336 Hungarian, 114 old-witch, 326 prairie, 113, 117 teal, 298, 300, 302, 304-5, 311, 323-4, 336-7, 343 wild, 117 Grasshopper, 69, 105, 114-5, 117, 121, 123, 299, 305, 313 Grouse, ruffed, 467 Grub, 69 white, 114, 117, 121, 159, 162 Gyraulus, 338 parvus, 12, 299, 303, 305, 307, 312, 316 Gyrinidae, 296, 305, 312, 319, 339 н Hackberry, 160, 326 Haliplidae, 13, 296, 299, 339 Haliplus, 13 Haplopappus ciliatus, 153 Haw, 107; see also Hawthorn and Crataegus Hawk, 186 Cooper's, 186 duck, 186 pigeon, 186

sharp-shinned, 186

Hellgrammite, 296, 338

Helianthus angustifolius, 153

Heliotropium tenellum, 153

Hay, 425

Helisoma, 338 trivolvis, 296, 299

Hawthorn, 157-8, 326; see also Haw

Horse, 119-20 Horsechestnut, 158 Hyalella azteca, 12 Hydracarina, 300, 303, 305, 307, 309, 312, 319, 322 Hydrachnellae (on one page misspelled Hydrochnellae), 12, 18, 20, 22 Hydrangea, 158 Hydrophilidae, 13, 296, 299, 307, 314, 316, 339 Hydroporus, 13 Hydropsyche, 297, 300 Hydropsychidae, 142, 297, 300, 339 Hydroptilidae, 13, 15, 142, 297, 300, 303, 305, 309, 312, 316, 339 Hymenoptera, 13, 16, 18, 21-2, 29, 144, 297, 300, 305, 316, 319, 339 Ichneumonidae (ichneumon), 300, 305, 319, 339 Ictalurus melas, 5; see also Bullhead, black natalis, 5; see also Bullhead, yellow punctatus, 5; see also Catfish, channel Illinobdella moorei, 66, 78 Ilybius, 13 Insect (see also Insecta), 51, 89, 91, 102, 104-7, 109, 112-4, 117-25, 129-30, 132, 134-5, 137-8, 139-40, 143, 210, 261, 319 aquatic, 163 scale, 108, 118 Insecta (see also Insect), 296, 299, 303, 305, 307, 309, 312-4, 316, 319-20, 322, 338, 340 Ipomea hederacea, 326 Iris, 151, 158 Ischnura posita, 12 verticalis, 12 Isopoda (isopod), 12, 15-6, 18, 20-2, 25, 28, 296, 299, 305 Ivy, 158 poison, 326 J Juncus, 304, 325 Juniper, 118, 157 Irish, 146 savin, 146 Swedish, 146 Jussiaea leptocarpa, 153 K Killifish, banded, 5

480

Kinglets, 183

Knotweed, prostrate, 324 Labidesthes sicculus, 5 Ladybug, 121 Lady's thumb, 294, 298, 304, 324 water, 294, 298, 324 Lamb's-quarters, 325 Lamprey, 177 Leafhopper, 123, 135, 141-2, 296, 307, 338 potato, 112 Leafworm, cotton, 104 Leech, 66, 78, 137, 163 Leersia oryzoides, 294, 298, 302, 304, 306, 309, 313-4, 323-4, 328; see also Rice cut-grass Leguminosae (legumes), 118, 326 Lemna minor, 298, 302, 304, 306, 324; see also Duckweed, lesser Lepidoptera, 135, 143, 297, 309, 322, 339 Lepomis, 67 cyanellus, 5; see also Sunfish, green gibbosus, 5; see also Pumpkinseed humilis, 5 macrochirus, 5, 13, 178, 345; see also Bluegill megalotis, 5; see also Sunfish, longear microlophus, 177; see also Sunfish, redear Leptorhynchoides thecatus, 66 Lespedeza, 151 Leucorrhinia, 12 Libellula pulchella, 12 Lichens, 146, 161 Lily, 151, 158 water, 146 Limnesia fulgida, 12 Linden, 157 Lioplax, 338 subcarinata, 316 subglobosus, 299 Lippia lanceolata, 325 Liverworts, 146, 161 Livestock, 119-20, 123 *Lixus*, 300 Locust, 105, 114 17-year, 118 Locust [tree], black, 147, 157 Logperch, 5 Lophotocarpus (lophotocarpus), 292, 326 Lotus, 313, 334 American, 292, 311, 325 Louse, 123 apple-root plant, 107 bark, 105, 107, 118 melon, 111 plant, 121 Luperina stipata, 117; see also Cutworm Lycosidae, 12 Lydella stabulans grisescens, 123 Lygaeidae, 296, 299, 338 Lygaeus, 296 kalmii, 299 Lygus, 338 lineolaris, 299, 307 Lymnaeidae, 12 M Macrocentrus ancylivorus, 122

Macropus leucopterus, 117

Madtom, 5

Magdalis armicollis, 154 Maggot, 111 Magnolia, 157 Magpie, 128 Malacostraca, 296, 299, 305, 338, 340 Mallard, see Duck, mallard Mammal, 129, 136, 182, 195-6, 198-9, 206, 210, 283 furbearing (furbearer), 177, 195–6, 199 game, 197 Man, 119, 125 Maple, 148, 157-8 hard, 160 Mareca americana, 247, 307; see also Duck, baldpate Mastodon, 129 Mayfly, 14, 22-30, 52, 77, 135, 141-2, 296, 299, 303, 305, 307, 312, 314, 316-7, 319-20, 322, 338, 340 Meadowlark, 179, 183 Medicago arabica, 153 Megaloptera, 13, 140 Melanotus, 13 Membracidae, 13 Mergus, 259; see also Duck, merganser Microcaddisfly, 142 Microfilaria, 191 Microlepidoptera, 144 Micropterus dolomieui, 5, 175; see also Bass, smallmouth punctulatus, 5 salmoides, 5, 13, 345; see also Bass, largemouth Microvelia, 13 Midge, 28, 139, 297, 300-1, 303, 305-7, 309-10, 312, 316, 319-20, 322-3, 339-40 wheat, 11+5, 122 Millet, 193, 246, 300 German, 326 Japanese, 292, 330 Walter's 292, 294, 298, 302, 304, 311, 323-4, 335-6, 343 wild, 292, 294-5, 298, 302, 304, 309-11, 313-4, 321-4, 330-1, 336, 343 Mimidae, 183 Mink, 195, 198-9, 425 Minnow, 30, 49, 69, 72-4, 76, 172, 175, 356 bluntnose, 5 bullhead, 73 fathead, 5, 74 Minytrema melanops, 5 Miridae (mirid), 135, 140, 142, 299, 338 Mite, 111, 119, 133, 157 orobatid, 137 water, 297, 300-1, 303, 305, 307, 309, 312, 319, 322, 339-40 Mold, 161 slime, 161 Mole, 195 Mollusca (mollusc, mollusk), 128, 134, 296, 299, 303, 305-9, 312, 314, 316-7, 319-20, 338-40 Morning-glory, ivy-leaved, 326 Mosquito, 27, 119-20, 139 Moss, 146, 161 Moth, 130, 143-4, 309 clothes, 123 codling, 104, 108-10, 123-4 cutworm, 297

gypsy, 118

Moth-continued oriental fruit, 104, 108, 122 Mouse, 200 Moxostoma anisurum, 5 aurcolum, 5 Mud-plautain, 309-10, 325 Mulberry, 151 Mule, 119 Musculium, 338, 340 transversum, 296, 299, 316, 319 Mushroom, 147, 161 Muskrat, 195-6, 198, 342, 463 Mussel, 170, 296-7, 299, 303, 312, 314, 316, 319-20, 338-9, 343 Myriophyllum heterophyllum, 325

N

Naiad northern, 325 southern, 325 Najas flexilis, 325 guadalupensis, 325 Nectarine, 107 Nelumbo lutca, 311, 325; see also Lotus, American Nematode, 65, 150, 161 Neoconocephalus, 299 Neodiprion scrtifer, 122 Nepidae, 13, 296, 299, 338 Neritina, 316-7, 338, 340 Nettle, horse, 111 Neuroptera, 13, 16, 20, 22, 296, 338 Nightshade, 326 Noctuidae, 297 Notemigonus crysoleucas, 5; see also Shiner, golden Notonecta, 296 Notonectidae, 13, 296, 338 Notropis volucellus volucellus, 30 Noturus, 5 Nut-grass, 193, 294, 298, 300, 302, 304, 311, 324, 334, 343 red-rooted, 294, 298, 302, 304, 311, 318, 323-4, 332, 334, 343

Nymphaca tuberosa, 325

0

Oak, 118, 145-6, 156-8, 160 blackjack, 147 pin, 148, 191, 313, 325 white, 325 Oats, 114, 151, 199, 327 Odonata, 12, 14, 29, 139, 296, 299, 305-7, 316, 338, 340 Oecctis cinerascens, 13 inconspicua, 13 Oligochaeta, 12 Olor buccinator, 239; see also Swan, trumpeter columbianus, 238; see also Swan, whistling Omophron, 307, 339 Onion, 111 Orange, osage, 183 Orchid, 158 Orconectes propinguus propinguus, 12

cirilis, 12 Organism, 171, 200 animal, 261 aquatic, 163, 169, 178 Orthoptzra, 94, 133, 137, 299, 305, 313, 338 Orthorrhapha, 309 *Orthotrichia*, 13 Ostrocoda (ostracod), 12, 15-6, 18, 20-1, 25-7, 30, 296, 299, 303, 305, 309, 312, 338, 340 Owl, 186 great horned, 186 *Oxyethira*, 13 *Uxyura jamaicensis*, 247, 322; see also Duck, ruddy

P

Pachydiplax longipennis, 12 Paddlefish, 174 Palaemonetes paludosa, 30 Panic-grass, fall, 302, 326 Panicum capillare, 326 dichotomiflorum, 302, 326 Parasite, 144, 149, 187, 189, 191 blood, 195 helminth, 188, 191, 195 oriental fruit moth, 122 Parsnip, 111 Partridge-pea, 326 Paspalum, ciliate-leaved, 326 Paspalum ciliatifolium, 326 Pea, 152 sweet, 158-9 Peach, 106-8, 122, 149, 151-2 Pear, 106, 108, 147, 151-2 Pecan, 151, 191 Pectinatella, 340 Pelecypoda, 296, 299, 303, 305, 312, 314, 316, 319-20, 338 Peltodytes, 13, 29 Pentatomidae, 296, 339 Pentatomoidea, 139 Peony, 158-9 Peperomia, 158-9 Pepper, 151-2, 161 Perca flavescens, 5 Perch, yellow, 5 Percina caprodes, 5 Perithemis tenera, 12 Periwinkle, 158 Petunia, 158 Phalangid, 134, 137 Phaseolus, 326 Phasianus colchicus, 425; see also Pheasant Pheasant, 187-8, 190, 198, 425 ring-necked, 189-90, 341, 464 Phoma, 155 Phryganeidae (on one page misspelled Phyrganeidae), 13, 15 Phyllophaga futilis, 13, 143 Phyllotreta, 13 Physa, 65, 296, 307, 319, 338 gyrina, 12, 299, 305 integra, 12 Physidae, 12 Phytolacca americana, 327 Pickerel, grass, 5 Pickerelweed, 292 heart-shaped, 326

Pigeon, wild, 128 Pigweed, 332-3 Pike northern, 164 wall-eyed, 170 Pillbug, 340 Pimcphales notatus, 5 promelas, 5, 74 vigilax, 73 Pine, 157, 161, 349 Araucarian, 146 Austrian, 146 Himalayan, 146 red, 160 Scotch, 146 white, 146, 160 Pintail, see Duck, pintail (American) Pisauridae, 12 Pisces, 13-6, 18, 21-2, 297, 300, 319-20, 339-40 Pisidium, 296, 299, 303, 312, 316, 319, 338, 340 Plankton, 137, 147, 166-71, 184 Planorbidae, 12 Planorbis, 296, 299, 338 Plant, 129, 145-7, 151-4, 159-63, 178, 210, 261, 343 aquatic, 166, 193, 261, 358 crop, 147, 149 drug, 154 evergreen, 146 floricultural, 158 marsh, 193 moist-soil, 193, 300-1, 308, 310, 318, 327, 331-2, 337, 343 ornamental, 118-9, 148-9, 158, 162 wild, 195, 329, 343 Plant disease collection, 152 Plant disease survey, 149 Plant foods [of waterfowl], 154, 193, 292-5, 298, 300-15, 317-37, 343 Plant-louse, cabbage, 111 Plathemis lydia, 13 Plecoptera, 139-40, 142 Pleurocera, 296, 316, 319, 338, 340 Plum, 106-7, 152 Plumatella, 12, 340 Podura aquatica, 12 Poinsettia, 158 Pokeweed, common, 327 Polygonum amphibium, 294, 298, 324 aviculare, 324 coccineum, 294, 298, 302, 304, 306, 309, 311. 313-4, 318, 321, 323-4, 329; see also Smart-weed, marsh hydropiper, 294, 298, 304, 318, 324 hydropiperoides, 294, 298, 306, 313, 324; see also Smartweed, swamp lapathifolium, 294, 298, 302, 304, 306, 309, 311, 318, 321, 323-4, 333; see also Smartweed, nodding pensylvanicum, 294, 298, 302, 304, 306, 309, 311, 318, 321, 323-4, 334; see also Smartweed, large-seeded

persicaria, 294, 298, 304, 324 punctatum, 294, 298, 302, 304, 306, 311, 318, 321, 324

INDEX

sagittatum, 294; see also Tearthumb, arrowleaved scandens, 294, 324 Polyodon spathula, 17+ Pondweed, 193, 306, 317 horned, 326 large-leaved, 294, 324 leafy, 294, 298, 304, 306, 315, 318, 320, 322, 324 longleaf, 294, 298, 300, 302, 304, 306, 309-11, 313-5, 318, 320-4, 331-2, 343 ribbon-leaf, 302, 304, 324 sago, 294, 298, 301-2, 304, 306, 311, 313-5, 318, 320-1, 323-4, 336, 343 small, 294, 298, 304, 314-5, 318, 320, 324 thoroughwort, 294, 324 white-stem, 294, 318, 324 variable-leaf, 318, 324 Pomoxis annularis, 5; see also Crappie, white *nigromaculatus,* 5; *see also* Crappie, black Pontederia cordata, 326 Poplar, 157 Portulaca, 327 Posthodiplostomum minimum, 65-6, 78 Potamogeton, 358 amplifolius, 294, 324 epihydrus, 302, 304, 324 foliosus, 72, 294, 298, 304, 306, 315, 318, 320, 324; see also Pondweed, leafy gramineus, 318, 324 nodosus, 294, 298, 302, 304, 306, 309, 311, 313-5, 318, 320-1, 323-4, 331-2,; see also Pondweed, longleaf pectinatus, 294, 298, 302, 304, 306, 311, 313-5, 318, 320-1, 323-4, 336; see also Pondweed, sago perfoliatus, 294, 324 praelongus, 294, 318, 324 pusillus, 294, 298, 304, 314-5, 318, 320, 324 Potato Irish, 111-2, 123, 152 wild, 111 Potentilla, 326 Poultry, 112, 119 Probythinella binneyana, 316, 319 Procambarus blandingii acutus, 12 Proteocephalidae, 12 Proteocephalus ambloplites, 65-6, 78 Protozoa (protozoan), 27, 77, 122, 134, 137, 163, 166, 191 Prunus, 327; see also Plum americana, 107 domestica, 107 Pseudoscorpion, 134, 137 Psocid, 135 Pumpkinseed, 5, 10, 39, 62 Purslane, 327 Pylodictis olivaris, 5 Q Quail, 188-9, 198 bobwhite, 187-8, 199, 341 Quercus alba, 325 palustris, 313, 325; see also Oak, pin

Quince, 108 Japanese, 160 Rabbit (cottontail), 197-9 Raccoon, 192, 196, 425 Ragweed common, 321, 325 giant, 117 great, 325 western, 525 Ranatra, 13, 296, 299 Ranunculus, 325 Raspberry, 152 Rat, Norway, 104 Red 10p, 151 Redbud, 157 Redhorse northern, 5 silver, 5 Redtop (marsh smartweed), 329 Reptile, 103, 134, 136, 210 Rhagovelia, 13 Rhaphidophorinae, 313 Rhizoclonium, 357 Rhubarb, 151 Rhus glabra, 326 radicans, 326 Rice, 178, 239 Rice cut-grass, 193, 294-5, 298, 300, 302, 304, 306, 309-10, 313-4, 323-4, 328, 343 Roccus mississippiensis, 5 Rosa, 327 Rose, 158-9, 327 multiflora, 157, 188 Rose-mallow, scarlet, 326 Rotifer, 137, 163, 166 Rubus flagellaris, 327 Rudbeckia missouriensis, 153 Rumex acetosella, 325 altissimus, 325 Rush, bog, 304, 325 Rye, 114, 348 S Sagittaria

cuneata, 294, 324; see also Wapato latifolia, 294, 298, 304, 306, 318, 320, 323-4, 336-7; see also Duck-potato Salix, 325 Saperda tridentata, 154 Saw-fly, 135, 144 currant, 105 pine, 122 Saw-grass, 328 Scale, San Jose, 97, 104, 109-11 Scarabaeidae, 13, 297, 299, 307, 309, 312, 316, 319, 339 Scirpus acutus, 309, 318, 325 americanus, 325; see also Bulrush, American atrovirens, 325 fluviatilis, 309, 318, 321, 323, 325, 337; see also Bulrush, river paludosus, 325; see also Bulrush, alkali validus, 309, 318, 321, 325; see also Bulrush, soft-stem Screwworm, 123 Scud, 30 Sedge, beaked, 326

Setaria faberii, 153 glauca, 326 italica, 326 viridis, 326 Shad, gizzard, 4-6, 172-3, 340 Sheep, 109 Shiner golden, 5, 173, 176 northern mimic, 30 Shrimp, 30 fairy, 305 Shrub, 104, 145, 153, 157, 159-60, 206 Sialidae, 13 Sialis, 13 Sida, prickly, 326 Sida spinosa, 326 Silverside, brook, 5 Simocephalus, 12, 28 Simulium, 119 Siphlonurus, 12, 14, 26-9 Sisyridae, 13 Skunk, 424 Smartweed, 193, 246 dotted, 294, 298, 302, 304, 306, 311, 318, 321, 324 large-seeded, 292, 294, 298, 302, 304, 306, 309, 311, 318, 321, 323-4, 333, 334, 343 marsh, 292, 294-5, 298, 300, 302, 304-6, 309-11, 313-4, 318, 321, 323-4, 329-30, 334, 343 nodding, 292, 294, 298, 300, 302, 304, 306, 309, 311, 318, 321, 323-4, 333, 343 Pennsylvania, 334; see also Smartweed, large-seeded swamp, 292; see also Polygonum hydropiper-oides or Water-pepper, mild Snail, 25, 27, 30, 65, 296-7, 299, 303, 305-7, 312, 316-7, 319-20, 323, 338-9, 343 fresh-water, 340 land, 137, 206 water, 317 Snapdragon, 158 Snout-beetle, reddish elm, 154 Solanum, 326 Somatogyrus, 338 subglosus, 316, 319 Sorghum, 114, 327 Sorghum vulgare, 327 Sorrel, field, 325 Soybean, 151 Sparganium eurycarpum, 318, 323, 325, 337; see also Bur-reed, giant Sparrow, English, 183 Spartina pectinata, 326 Spatula clypeata, 247, 310, 394; see also Duck, shoveler Specularia biflora, 153 Sphaeriidae, 296, 299, 316, 319, 338 Sphaerium, 296, 299, 303, 305, 319-20, 338, 340 stamineum, 316 Spider, 300, 316, 319, 322, 340 Spike-rush blunt, 325 common, 325 dwarf, 325 Spindleworm, 117 Spittlebug, 123 Springtail, 135, 144 Spruce, 157

black, 146 Douglas, 146 Norway, 146, 160 red, 146 Squash, 151 Squirrel, 157 fox, 192, 197-8, 425, 467 gray, 197 Stagnicola, 296, 319, 338 Staphylinidae, 296, 299, 305, 312, 339 Stevia, 158 Stock, 158 Stonefly, 100, 135, 139-40, 142 Stratiomyidae, 13 Strawberry, 107, 114, 152 Strophostyles helvola, 326 Sucker spotted, 5 white, 5 Sumac fragrant, 151, 160 smooth, 326 Sunfish, 1, 7, 15, 30, 39, 42, 48-9, 67, 74 green, 5, 47, 67–8, 74, 178, 354 longear, 5, 62 orangespotted, 5 redear, 74-5, 176-8 Sunflower, 118 Swan, 191 trumpeter, 239, 245, 247 whistling, 238-+1, 2+3-6, 28+ Swine, 109, 178 Sycamore, 148, 157-8 Sympetrum obtrusum, 13 Symphoricarpos orbiculatus, 327 Syrphidae, 13

Т Tabanidae (tabanid), 13, 120, 123, 297, 320, 339 Tabanus, 119-20, 320 Tadpole, 30 Tamarack, 161 Tapeworm, 39, 187 bass, 65; see also Proteocephalus ambloplites Tearthumb, arrow-leaved, 294, 324 Tetragoneuria, 13 Tettigoniidae, 305 Thistle, Canada, 147 Thrips, 135, 143 Thysanoptera, 143 Tick, 119, 123, 133-4, 197 Timothy, 114, 117, 151 Tiphia, 297, 300, 339 Tiphiidae, 297, 300, 339 Tomato, 152 Tooth-cup, 327 Topminnow, blackstripe, 5 Tortrix malivorana, 105 Tree, 104, 107, 118-9, 149, 153-5, 157, 159-60, 188, 191, 206; see also individual species forest, 147-8, 154 roadside, 147 shade, 154 street, 147 Trichoptera, 13-6, 18, 20-2, 142, 297, 300, 305, 307, 309, 312, 314, 316, 319, 322, 339 Trifolium, 327

Triticum aestivum, 294, 324; see also Wheat Tropisternus, 13 Trout, 170, 357 salmon, 170 Tubercularia ulmi, 155 Tuberose, 158 Tubulifera, 143 Tulip, 158 Tupelo, 157 Turdidae, 183 Turkey, 111–12 Turnip, 111 Twig-rush, 326

U

Ulmus parvifolia, 155 pumila, 155 Ulothrix zonata, 306 Unionidae, 296, 299, 338

Vegetable, 106, 111, 113, 123, 148 Veliidae, 13 Velvet-leaf, 326 Verbascum virgatum, 153 Verbena hastata, 326 Vervain, blue, 326 Vingna sinensis, 325; see also Cowpea Vine, 146 Violet, 158–9 African, 158 Viits cordifolia, 313, 326 Viviparus, 338 viviparus, 316, 319

W

Walleye, 164 Walnut, 158 Wapato, 294, 324, 337 Warbler, prothonotary, 186 Warmouth, 1-79, 174-6, 178 Wasp, tiphiid, 297, 339 Water boatman, 296, 299, 303, 305-10, 312-3, 316, 319-20, 322, 338, 340 Water strider, 296, 316, 338 Waterfowl, 191-4, 196, 198, 235-86, 289, 291, 332-3, 335, 340, 391-5, 422-4, 427-8, 430, 447-9, 456, 458, 462-70 Water-hemp, 292, 294, 298, 300, 302, 304, 306, 314, 323-4, 332-3, 343 Water-lily, yellow, 325 Watermelon, 151 Water-milfoil, 325 Water-pepper, 294, 298, 304, 318, 324 mild, 294, 298, 306, 313, 324; see also Smartweed, swamp Water-plantain, 325 Waterscorpion, 296, 299, 338 Waterweed, 326 Wayfaring [tree], 157 Webworm burrowing, 117 sod, 117 Weeds, 1+5, 154, 188, 358 Weevil, 135 clover leaf, 118 red elm bark, 154 sweet clover, 118, 123

Yew

Wheat, 113-5, 117, 122, 149-51, 178, 294, 324, 348, 350 Willow, 157, 325 Wireworm, 117-8 Wood duck, see Duck, wood Woodchuck, 199 Worm, 68, 356 apple, 104, 107-9 aquatic, 166 cabbage, 113 catalpa, 356 joint, 114 parasitic, 297, 339

Yellow-wood, 157 English, 146 Irish, 146

Ζ Zannichellia palustris, 326 Zea mays, 294, 298, 304, 306, 311, 313-4, 321, 323-4, 327; see also Corn Zinnia, 158 Zygoptera, 12, 14-6, 18, 20-2, 29, 296, 299, 305, 338

Y







BULLETIN

- Volume 26, Article 4.—Efficiency and Selectivity of Commercial Fishing Devices Used on the Mississippi River. By William C. Starrett and Paul G. Barnickol. July, 1955. 42 pp., frontis., 17 figs., bibliog.
- Volume 26, Article 5.—Hill Prairies of Illinois. By Robert A. Evers. August, 1955. 80 pp., frontis., 28 figs., bibliog.
- Volume 26, Article 6.—Fusarium Disease of Gladiolus: Its Causal Agent. By Junius L. Forsberg. September, 1955. 57 pp., frontis., 22 figs., bibliog.
- Volume 27, Article 1.—Ecological Life History of the Warmouth. By R. Weldon Larimore. August, 1957. 84 pp., color frontis., 27 figs., bibliog.
- Volume 27, Article 2.—A Century of Biological Research. By Harlow B. Mills, George C. Decker, Herbert H. Ross, J. Cedric Carter, George W. Bennett, Thomas G. Scott, James S. Ayars, Ruth R. Warrick, and Bessie B. East. December, 1958. 150 pp., 2 frontis., illus., bibliog. \$1.00.
- Volume 27, Article 3.—Lead Poisoning as a Mortality Factor in Waterfowl Populations.
 By Frank C. Bellrose. May, 1959. 54 pp., frontis., 9 figs., bibliog. 50 cents.
- Volume 27, Article 4.—Food Habits of Migratory Ducks in Illinois. By Harry G. Anderson. August, 1959. 56 pp., frontis., 18 figs., bibliog. 50 cents.
- Volume 27, Article 5.—Hook-and-Line Catch in Fertilized and Unfertilized Ponds. By Donald F. Hansen, George W. Bennett, Robert J. Webb, and John M. Lewis. August, 1960. 46 pp., frontis., 11 figs., bibliog. Single copies free to Illinois residents; 25 cents to others.

CIRCULAR

- 46.—Illinois Trees: Their Diseases. By J. Cedric Carter. April, 1961. (Second printing, with alterations.) 99 pp., frontis., 93 figs. Single copies free to Illinois residents; 25 cents to others.
- 48.—Diseases of Wheat, Oats, Barley, and Rye. By G. H. Boewe. June, 1960. 159 pp., frontis., 56 figs. Single copies free to Illinois residents; 25 cents to others.

BIOLOGICAL NOTES

- 33.—A New Technique in Control of the House Fly. By Willis N. Bruce. December, 1953. 8 pp., 5 figs.
- 34.—White-Tailed Deer Populations in Illinois. By Lysle R. Pietsch. June, 1954. 24 pp., 17 figs., bibliog.
- An Evaluation of the Red Fox. By Thomas G. Scott. July, 1955. (Second printing.) 16 pp., illus., bibliog.
 A Spectacular Waterfowl Migration
- 36.—A Spectacular Waterfowl Migration Through Central North America. By Frank C. Bellrose. April, 1957. 24 pp., 9 figs., bibliog.
- 37.—Continuous Mass Rearing of the European Corn Borer in the Laboratory. By Paul Surany. May, 1957. 12 pp., 7 figs., bibliog.
- Ectoparasites of the Cottontail Rabbit in Lee County, Northern Illinois. By Lewis J. Stannard, Jr., and Lysle R. Pietsch. June, 1958. 20 pp., 14 figs., bibliog.
- 39.—A Guide to Aging of Pheasant Embryos. By Ronald F. Labisky and James F. Opsahl. September, 1958. 4 pp., illus., bibliog.
- 40.—Night-Lighting: A Technique for Capturing Birds and Mammals. By Ronald F. Labisky. July, 1959. 12 pp., 8 figs., bibliog.
- 41.—Hawks and Owls: Population Trends From Illinois Christmas Counts. By Richard R. Graber and Jack S. Golden. March, 1960. 24 pp., 24 figs., bibliog.
- 42.—Winter Foods of the Bobwhite in Southern Illinois. By Edward J. Larimer. May, 1960. 36 pp., 11 figs., bibliog.
- 43.—Hot-Water and Chemical Treatment of Illinois-Grown Gladiolus Cormels. By J. L. Forsberg. March, 1961. 12 pp., 8 figs., bibliog.
- 44.—The Filmy Fern in Illinois. By Robert A. Evers. April, 1961. 15 pp., 13 figs., bibliog.
- Techniques for Determining Age of Raccoons. By Glen C. Sanderson. August, 1961.
 16 pp., 8 figs., bibliog.

MANUAL

4.—Fieldbook of Illinois Mammals. By Donald F. Hoffmeister and Carl O. Mohr. June, 1957. 233 pp., color frontis., 119 figs., glossary, bibliog., index. \$1.75.

List of available publications mailed on request.

Single copies of ILLINOIS NATURAL HISTORY SURVEY publications for which no price is listed will be furnished free of charge to *individuals* until the supply becomes low, after which a nominal charge may be made. More than one copy of any free publication may be obtained without cost by educational institutions and official organizations within the State of Illinois; prices to others on quantity orders of these publications will be quoted upon request.

Address orders and correspondence to the Chief, Illinois Natural

HISTORY SURVEY, Natural Resources Building, Urbana, Illinois

Payment in the form of money order or check made out to State Treasurer of Illinois, Springfield, Illinois, must accompany requests for those publications on which a price is set.